

Original Research Gut Microbiome and Atherosclerosis: A Mendelian Randomization Study

Yue Li¹, Yunxian Chen¹, Zhe Li², Yanrong Li¹, Yicai Chen¹, Liangqiu Tang^{1,*}

¹Department of Cardiology, Yue Bei People's Hospital, Shantou University Medical College, 512099 Shaoguan, Guangdong, China
²Department of Rheumatology and Immunology, Shenzhen People's Hospital, The Second Clinical Medical College, Jinan University, 518071 Shenzhen, Guangdong, China

*Correspondence: Rangochan99@hotmail.com (Liangqiu Tang)

Academic Editors: Gianluca Rigatelli and Hirofumi Tanaka

Submitted: 4 July 2023 Revised: 19 September 2023 Accepted: 19 October 2023 Published: 29 January 2024

Abstract

Background: According to recent studies, atherosclerosis and gut microbiota are related. Nevertheless, it has been discovered that the gut microbiota varies across studies, with its function still being debated, and such relationships not proven to be causal. Thus, our study aimed to identify the key gut microbiota taxa (GM taxa) at different taxonomic levels, namely, the phylum, class, order, family, and genus, to investigate any potential causal links to atherosclerosis. **Methods**: We employed summary data from the MiBioGen consortium on the gut microbiota to conduct a sophisticated two-sample Mendelian randomization (MR) analysis. Pertinent information regarding atherosclerosis statistics was acquired from the FinnGen Consortium R8 publication. To assess causality, the utilized principal analytical technique was the inverse variance-weighted (IVW) method. Supplementary to IVW, additional MR methodologies were employed, including weighted median, MR-Egger, weighted methods, and simple mode. Sensitivity analyses involved the application of Cochrane's Q-test, MR-Egger intercept test, MR-PRESSO global test, and leave-one-out analysis. **Results**: Finally, after performing an MR study on the risk of 211 GM taxa on atherosclerosis, we discovered 20 nominal links and one strong causal link. Firmicutes (phylum ID: 1672) (odds ratio (OR) = 0.852 (0.763, 0.950), p = 0.004) continued to be connected with a lower incidence of coronary atherosclerosis, even after Bonferroni correction. **Conclusions**: Based on the discovered data, it was established that the phylum Firmicutes exhibits a causal relationship with a reduced occurrence of coronary atherosclerosis. This investigation could potentially provide novel insights into therapeutic objectives for atherosclerosis by focusing on the gut microbiota.

Keywords: coronary atherosclerosis; cerebral atherosclerosis; intestinal microbiota; phylum Firmicutes

1. Introduction

Amongst the array of afflictions categorized as cardiovascular diseases (CVD), atherosclerosis reigns as a prevailing force. Characterized by the accumulation of lipids and pronounced inflammation within pivotal arteries, atherosclerosis advances steadily, potentially resulting in dire clinical consequences, such as myocardial infarction (MI) and stroke. Despite its gradual nature and declining prevalence in certain nations, this insidious malady continues to claim a prominent position among the leading causes of mortality within our global community [1]. Atherosclerosis has emerged as a matter of international apprehension, and despite remarkable progress in comprehending the origin and management of ailments, there still exists an inadequacy in its prevention [2,3]. The discovery of shielding or instigating elements in atherosclerosis carries paramount importance and necessitates further exploration into newly found treatment objectives.

The "microbiota" (referring to the myriad of bacteria, viruses, and fungi comprising the human gut) plays a vital role in maintaining a harmonious and flourishing human ecological system that abundantly thrives within the intestinal tract. Specifically, bacteria contribute to the processes of food digestion, fortify the immune system, and generate unique metabolites capable of permeating the host's bloodstream [1]. Consequently, a more all-encompassing perception of metabolism is presently being proffered, asserting that modifications in the host's metabolic processes, in conjunction with interactions between the gut microbiota and the host's remote organs, collectively impact the entirety of human metabolism [4]. Mounting evidence suggests that the gut microbiota (GM) exerts regulatory control over the host's immune responses, inflammatory processes, metabolism, and cardiovascular function [5]. For instance, it has been reported in some studies that the metabolites of gut microbiota, such as trimethylamine Noxide (TMAO), short-chain fatty acid butyrate, and indole-3-propionic acid (IPA), have indirect influences on the occurrence of atherosclerosis [4,6,7]. Despite extensive epidemiological research, the underlying cause of the association between the composition of the gut microbiota and various diseases, such as CVD, remains largely elusive [8]. The intricacy arises from the presence of various supplemental factors, such as gender, age, and ethnicity, which can potentially impact both the progression of atherosclerosis and the composition of the gut microbiota. It poses a

Copyright: © 2024 The Author(s). Published by IMR Press. This is an open access article under the CC BY 4.0 license.

Publisher's Note: IMR Press stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

considerable challenge to adequately encompass these variables within an observational study, thereby limiting the capacity to definitively establish a causal relationship between the gut microbiome and atherosclerosis.

However, additional investigations are necessary to ascertain the precise role that various gut microbiota taxa (GM taxa) play in the development of atherosclerosis. Mendelian randomization (MR), a novel method for exploring the relationship between gut microbiota and atherosclerosis in this context, bears a resemblance to randomized controlled trials (RCT) [9]. Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) are referred to as instrumental variables (IVs) in MR investigations, serving to quantify the causal connection between exposure and the outcome of interest [10]. SNPs adhere to the concept that genetic variation is randomly assigned during meiosis, thereby circumventing confounding influences and potential reverse causation, thereby ensuring that relationships between genetic variants and outcomes remain unaffected [11]. Consequently, MR analysis can swiftly identify the causal link between a specific exposure and an outcome, more so than RCTs. Thus, to determine the potential impact of GM taxa on atherosclerosis in three distinct vascular locations: atherosclerosis (excluding cerebral, coronary, and peripheral arterial disease), coronary atherosclerosis, and cerebral atherosclerosis, we conducted MR analysis by utilizing the genome-wide association study (GWAS) summary statistics from the FinnGen and MiBioGen consortia. This approach may provide validation for existing evidence and yield novel insights pertaining to the treatment and prevention of atherosclerosis.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Study Design

Fig. 1 presents a comprehensive depiction of our research. Through the implementation of a two-sample MR analysis, we unveiled GM taxa that exhibit influential effects on atherosclerosis, specifically excluding cerebral, coronary, and peripheral arterial disease (PAD). Notably, our findings encompass the domains of coronary atherosclerosis and cerebral atherosclerosis. In reporting these findings, we have adhered to the guidelines of the esteemed strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology-MR (STROBE-MR) [12].

2.2 Data Source for Exposure

We obtained gut microbiota GWAS data from the genomic research on the gut microbiome by the MiBioGen consortium [13,14]. This genome-wide association study delved into 211 transgenic taxa and encompassed 18,340 participants. Ultimately, it unveiled genetic variability associated with 131 genera, 35 families, 16 classes, and 9 phyla.

2.3 Data Source for Outcome

GWAS summary statistics for atherosclerosis, coronary atherosclerosis, and cerebral atherosclerosis were from FinnGen Release 8, one of the earliest personalized medicine initiatives to collect and analyze medical and genomic information from Finnish Biobank users, to identify links between genotype and phenotype (see https://www.finngen.fi/en) [15]. FinnGen uses the International Classification of Diseases (ICD) 10th Revision I70, I25.1, and I67.2 criteria to diagnose atherosclerosis, coronary atherosclerosis, and cerebral atherosclerosis, respectively. According to the I70 diagnostic criteria, atherosclerosis in our study included: atherosclerosis in the aorta, atherosclerosis in extremity arteries, atherosclerosis in the renal artery, generalized and unspecified atherosclerosis, and atherosclerosis in other arteries. Meanwhile, the following should be excluded: cerebral, coronary, mesenteric, pulmonary, and PAD. Table 1 summarizes the exposure and outcome in more detail.

2.4 Instrumental Variable Selection

The IVs for the 211 GM taxa were selected using the following criteria: (1) Potential IVs were chosen based on SNPs associated with each taxon, with a statistical significance threshold of $p < 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ [16]. Only SNPs with F-statistics exceeding 10 were considered, while potential bias from weak IVs was disregarded if the Fstatistic was greater than 10 [17]. (2) IVs were required to have independent and reduced linkage disequilibrium (LD), achieved by removing SNPs within a 10,000 kb range with a threshold of $r^2 < 0.001$. SNPs with the lowest p values were preserved. (3) SNPs connected to the outcome with a p value greater than 1.0 \times 10^{-5} were excluded. (4) In cases of palindromic SNPs, harmonization was performed to exclude palindromic and incompatible SNPs. (5) To avoid potential pleiotropy, SNPs associated with confounding factors were identified using PhenoScanner V2 (http://www.phenoscanner.medschl.cam.ac.uk/). SNPs associated with atherosclerosis, such as obesity, hypertension, smoking, and other high-risk factors, were excluded [18,19].

2.5 Statistical Analysis

In this study, various approaches, such as inverse variance-weighted (IVW), MR-Egger, weighted median, simple mode, and weighted mode were employed to investigate the potential causal link between GM and atherosclerosis in different vascular locations. To establish causality, the IVW technique (p < 0.05) was utilized as the primary technique since it combines Wald ratio estimates with a meta-analysis approach for each SNP, to provide a comprehensive assessment of the exposure impact on the outcome. The IVW approach is further enhanced by incorporating the Wald ratio estimator, which is a methodology grounded in meta-analysis. If there were no instances of



Fig. 1. Flowchart of the entire study. Flowchart shows the general steps involved in our work, from the acquisition of data to the analysis methods used, which will be developed in detail below. GWAS, genome-wide association study; GM, gut microbiota; PAD, peripheral arterial disease; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; MR, Mendelian randomization; IVW, inverse variance-weighted.

	Cohort	Data source	Sample (N)	Case (N)	Control (N)
Exposure	211 GM taxa	MiBioGen	18,340	NA	NA
Outcome	Atherosclerosis	FinnGen (R8)	331,333	13,434	317,899
Outcome	Coronary atherosclerosis	FinnGen (R8)	328,042	42,421	285,621
Outcome	Cerebral atherosclerosis	FinnGen (R8)	342,499	282	342,217

GM taxa, gut microbiota taxa; NA, not available.

horizontal pleiotropy, the results obtained from the IVW method would remain unbiased [20]. Once the demonstration of causality was accomplished through the IVW method, four additional MR techniques were employed to supplement the IVW findings: MR-Egger, weighted median, simple mode, and weighted mode [21,22]. The foundation of the MR-Egger regression lies in the hypothesis that Instrument Strength Independent of Direct Effect (InSIDE) assesses the presence of pleiotropy by using the intercept term. If there is no evidence of horizontal pleiotropy and the intercept term equals zero, the results obtained from the MR-Egger regression align with those achieved through IVW [23]. Thus, to elucidate causality, the odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated and are presented. The significance level (p value) for the analyses was set at 0.05, with multiple testing corrections performed using the Bonferroni method. The significance threshold for each level was adjusted to divide 0.05 by n, where n represents the quantity of the different considered classifications.

2.6 Sensitivity Analysis

The level of conviction in the causal evidence is heightened when estimations demonstrate a persistent pattern across each MR method. To evaluate the steadfastness of the causal association, we undertook several sensitivity analyses. Initially, the MR-Egger intercept and MR-PRESSO global tests were employed to detect any potential horizontal pleiotropy [24,25]. Additionally, a leave-oneout analysis was conducted to verify the reliability of the findings. Furthermore, the heterogeneity of the effect sizes resulting from the selected genetic IVs was quantified using the Cochran Q statistic.

R version 4.2.1 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) was used to complete all statistical analyses. MR analysis was performed using the TwosampleMR (version 0.5.6) packages in R [25,26].

3. Results

3.1 Instrumental Variable

Upon filtration, 2686 SNPs were selected as IVs from a wide selection of 122,110 SNPs. These SNPs were categorized into five distinct classifications based on their phylum, class, order, family, and genus. Specifically, each phylum consisted of 116 IVs, each class comprised 215 IVs, each order encompassed 264 IVs, each family contained 462 IVs, and each genus included an impressive 1629 IVs.

3.2 MR Analysis

3.2.1 Relationship between Gut Microbiota and Atherosclerosis

In accordance with the evaluation conducted by the IVW, it was found that the phylum Bacteroidetes (ID: 905) were associated with an elevated susceptibility to atherosclerosis, whereas the genera Coprococcus2 (ID: 11302), Parabacteroides (ID: 954), and RuminococcaceaeUCG010 (ID: 11367) were associated with a decreased risk (Fig. 2A). However, it is noteworthy that the causal correlation between these gut microbiota taxa and atherosclerosis was rendered insignificant after the Bonferroni adjustment was applied. Furthermore, the results derived from Cochran's Q test indicated an absence of heterogeneity.

3.2.2 Relationship between Gut Microbiota and Coronary Atherosclerosis

The findings from further assessments conducted by IVW revealed that the genus Turicibacter (ID: 2162) was associated with an elevated susceptibility to coronary atherosclerosis, while the family Bifidobacteriaceae (ID: 433); genera: Bifidobacterium (ID: 436), Lachnospira (ID: 2004), unknown genus (ID: 959), unknown genus (ID: 2071); orders Bifidobacteriales (ID: 432), Burkholderiales (ID: 2874); phyla Actinobacteria (ID: 400), and Firmicutes (ID: 1672) were associated with a reduced risk of atherosclerosis (Fig. 2B). In addition, the results derived from Cochran's Q test indicated an absence of heterogeneity. The phylum of Firmicutes (ID: 1672) (OR = 0.852 (0.763, 0.950), p = 0.004) remained linked to a lower risk of coronary atherosclerosis after Bonferroni adjustment.

3.2.3 Relationship between Gut Microbiota and Cerebral Atherosclerosis

Ultimately, the outcomes indicated by the IVW technique demonstrated that the classifications Eubacterium nodatum (ID: 11297), Collinsella (ID: 815), and Intestinibacter (ID: 11345) were associated with a decreased likelihood of developing atherosclerosis. Conversely, the classifications Actinomyces (ID: 423), Paraprevotella (ID: 962), and Veillonella (ID: 2198) were associated with an increased risk of cerebral atherosclerosis (Fig. 2C). However, it is worth noting that the causality between these specific gut microbiota taxa and cerebral atherosclerosis lacked significance following Bonferroni adjustment. Additionally, the results derived from Cochran's Q test indicated an absence of heterogeneity.

The impact of these specific gut microbiota taxa on the development of atherosclerosis (as depicted in Fig. 3), coronary atherosclerosis (as depicted in Fig. 4), and cerebral atherosclerosis (as depicted in Fig. 5) was assessed through the implementation of four supplementary methodologies: MR-Egger, weighted median, simple mode, and weighted mode. The findings were consistent with those obtained by IVW analysis (refer to **Supplementary Figs. 1,2,3**).

3.3 Sensitivity Analysis

The absence of horizontal pleiotropy was indicated by outcomes of p > 0.05, which were obtained from both the MR-Egger intercept test and the MR-PRESSO global test (Tables 2,3,4). Through the leave-one-out analysis, it was demonstrated that there were no significant alterations in the risk estimates for the genetically estimated risks, thereby suggesting that the overall outcome remained robust, even when removing any single SNP (**Supplementary Figs. 4,5,6**).

4. Discussion

The MR method was utilized to investigate a potential causal relationship between various GM taxa and atherosclerosis across three distinct vascular sites. The findings revealed a total of 20 causal connections, with one demonstrating strong causality and the remaining 19 showing nominal causality.

This study also unveiled a strong causal relationship following Bonferroni correction, which indicated that the Firmicutes phylum (ID: 1672) (OR = 0.852 (0.763, Α

Atherosclerosis ID Method OR(95%CI) Cochran's Q_pval Exposure SNP P-value Genus 11302 IVW 0.017 Coprococcus2 0.792(0.653,0.960 0.839 Parabacteroides IVW 0.802(0.648,0.991) 0.944 0.041 954 RuminococcaceaeUCG010 11367 IVW 0.804(0.683.0.946) 0.563 0.008 6 Phylum 905 11 IVW 1.183(1.016,1.378) 0.426 0.031 Bacteroidetes 1.2 1.3

Odds Ratio(95%CI)

_
_

_				Coronary atheroscl	erosis			
Exposure	ID	SNP	Method	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		OR(95%CI)	Cochran's Q_pval	P-value
Family								
Bifidobacteriaceae	433	11	IVW	H		0.899(0.829,0.975)	0.902	0.009
Genus								
Bifidobacterium	436	12	IVW	ŀ		0.923(0.857,0.994)	0.431	0.035
Lachnospira	2004	6	IVW	k{		0.852(0.741,0.978)	0.541	0.023
Turicibacter	2162	8	IVW		ŀł	1.111(1.025,1.205)	0.970	0.010
unknowngenus	959	11	IVW	I		0.934(0.878,0.993)	0.173	0.029
unknowngenus	2071	15	IVW	·····•		0.905(0.827,0.990)	0.099	0.028
Order								
Bifidobacteriales	432	11	IVW	F		0.899(0.830,0.975)	0.901	0.009
Burkholderiales	2874	10	IVW			0.902(0.816,0.996)	0.670	0.042
phylum								
Actinobacteria	400	14	IVW	l		0.912(0.833,0.998)	0.364	0.045
Firmicutes	1672	14	IVW	⊢		0.852(0.763,0.950)	0.243	0.004

С

				Cer	ebral atherosclerosis			
Exposure	ID	SNP	Method			OR(95%CI)	Cochran's Q_pval	P-value
Genus								
Eubacteriumnodatumgroup	11297	11	IVW	e		0.617(0.395,0.962)	0.474	0.033
Collinsella	815	8	IVW	·- e		0.285(0.090,0.899)	0.741	0.032
Actinomyces	423	7	IVW		}I	2.668(1.225,5.812)	0.976	0.013
Intestinibacter	11345	15	IVW	<u> </u> ●		0.487(0.243,0.976)	0.697	0.042
Paraprevotella	962	13	IVW			1.960(1.135,3.861)	0.468	0.016
Veillonella	2198	5	IVW		ŀ	3.953(1.342,11.644)	0.775	0.013
				0.5 1	.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0 3.5 4.0 4.5 5.0 5.5			

Odds Ratio(95%CI)

Fig. 2. Forest plots for all outcomes using the IVW method. (A) Forest plot of the links between gut microbiota taxa and atherosclerosis. (B) Forest plot of the link between gut microbiota taxa and coronary atherosclerosis. (C) Forest plot of the link between gut microbiota taxa and cerebral atherosclerosis. SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; IVW, inverse variance-weighted; OR, odds ratio; ID, identifier of gut microbiota.

Gut microbiota taxa	MR-H	Egger intercept tes	MR-PRESSO global test		
	Egger value	Standard error	p value	RSS obs	<i>p</i> value
Coprococcus2 (ID: 11302)	0.010	0.048	0.839	16.086	0.126
Parabacteroides (ID: 954)	-0.001	0.058	0.997	1.089	0.950
Ruminococcaceae (ID: 11367)	-0.004	0.016	0.813	5.175	0.636
Bacteroidetes (ID: 905)	0.004	0.013	0.731	12.831	0.424

MR, Mendelian randomization; ID, identifier of gut microbiota; RSS obs, observed residual sum of squares.

0.950), p = 0.004) markedly mitigates the risk of coronary atherosclerosis. Therefore, this MR analysis reveals the protective function of the Firmicutes phylum in relation to coronary atherosclerosis. Among the assemblages prevalent in the human gut microbiota, the Firmicutes phylum stands out as a dominant faction [27]. The abundance of Eubacterium and Roseburia, which belong to the Firmicutes phylum, in the gut microbiota of patients with atherosclerosis was found to be reduced compared to the healthy control group [8,28,29]. Our findings align with those from other

Exposure	ID	SNP	Method		OR(95%CI)	P-value
Genus						
Coprococcus2	11302	8	IVW	 ● 	0.792(0.653,0.960)	0.017
			MR Egger	hI	0.696(0.209,2.318)	0.577
			Weighted median	⊬ ●4	0.782(0.633,0.966)	0.022
			Simple mode	I	0.662(0.462,0.948)	0.059
			Weighted mode	€	0.684(0.460,1.018)	0.103
Parabacteroides	954	5	IVW	⊢ €	0.802(0.648,0.991)	0.041
			MR Egger	ŀ	l 0.804(0.214,3.014)	0.767
			Weighted median	F●1	0.808(0.625,1.045)	0.104
			Simple mode	I	0.811(0.581,1.133)	0.286
			Weighted mode	II	0.808(0.574,1.138)	0.289
RuminococcaceaeUCG010	11367	6	IVW	[++ -● +++]	0.804(0.683,0.946)	0.008
			MR Egger	I	0.848(0.544,1.321)	0.506
			Weighted median	[····•●·····]	0.819(0.656,1.024)	0.079
			Simple mode	[·····]	0.883(0.644,1.211)	0.474
			Weighted mode		0.836(0.649,1.077)	0.222
Phylum						
Bacteroidetes	905	11	IVW	 	1.183(1.016,1.378)	0.031
			MR Egger		1.114(0.769,1.613)	0.582
			Weighted median	II	1.280(1.045,1.568)	0.016
			Simple mode	ŀ	1.276(0.937,1.737)	0.152
			Weighted mode	hI	1.302(0.960,1.766)	0.121
				0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 2.0 2.2 2.4 2.6 : Odds Ratio(95%CI)	2.8 3.0	

Fig. 3. Forest plots of atherosclerosis for all methods. MR results for four gut microbiota taxa causality links to atherosclerosis. SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; IVW, inverse variance-weighted; OR, odds ratio; MR, Mendelian randomization; ID, identifier of gut microbiota.

	~ • • •		• .		
Table 3.	Sensitivity analysi	is for the correlation	on between coronary	atherosclerosis and	gut microbiota.

Gut microbiota taxa	MR-I	Egger intercept tes	MR-PRESSO global test		
Gut microsiou uxu	Egger value	Standard error	p value	RSS obs	p value
Bifidobacteriaceae (ID: 433)	-0.004	0.010	0.653	5.495	0.938
Bifidobacterium (ID: 436)	-0.007	0.008	0.406	13.113	0.461
Lachnospira (ID: 2004)	0.031	0.023	0.255	6.112	0.555
Turicibacter (ID: 2162)	-0.003	0.017	0.849	2.461	0.985
Unknown genus (ID: 959)	-0.001	0.019	0.952	17.199	0.224
Unknown genus (ID: 2071)	0.009	0.187	0.635	24.097	0.124
Bifidobacteriales (ID: 432)	-0.005	0.009	0.653	5.495	0.920
Burkholderiales (ID: 2874)	0.009	0.011	0.449	8.161	0.707
Actinobacteria (ID: 400)	0.015	0.011	0.208	16.377	0.352
Firmicutes (ID: 1672)	0.001	0.011	0.961	26.785	0.066

MR, Mendelian randomization; ID, identifier of gut microbiota; RSS obs, observed residual sum of squares.

early studies and corroborate the assertion that patients with coronary atherosclerosis have a low abundance of the phylum Firmicutes in their intestinal microbiota. Growing evidence has demonstrated the impact that gut microbiome can have on cardiovascular health [30]. The gut microbiota may exert its influence on atherosclerosis through various mechanisms, with gut metabolites being regarded as one of the primary mechanisms impacting atherosclerosis [31]. Although research on the phylum Firmicutes is relatively limited, some observational studies and animal models have reported on the impact that metabolites produced by gut microbiota belonging to the phylum Firmicutes have on the occurrence and progression of atherosclerosis. For example, bacteria in the phylum Firmicutes, Clostridium,

Exposure	ID	SNP	Method		OR(95%CI)	P-value
Bifidobacteriaceae	433	11	IVW		0 899(0 829 0 975)	0.009
Dilluobacieriaceae	455	11	MP Eggar		0.855(0.825,0.575)	0.735
			Weighted median		0.954(0.755,1.241)	0.755
			Simple mode		0.904(0.810,1.008)	0.070
			Weighted mode		0.900(0.779,1.000)	0.176
Genus			weighted hidde		0.904(0.790,1.035)	0.170
Bifidobacterium	436	12	IVW	H	0.923(0.857,0.994)	0.035
			MR Egger		0.996(0.826,1.200)	0.964
			Weighted median	F	0.909(0.822,1.007)	0.067
			Simple mode		0.940(0.795,1.111)	0.486
			Weighted mode	 	0.924(0.811.1.053)	0.259
Lachnospira	2004	6	IVW		0.852(0.741,0.978)	0.023
			MR Egger		0.515(0.243,1.095)	0.159
			Weighted median	·	0.809(0.682,0.961)	0.016
			Simple mode		0.787(0.580,1.069)	0.186
			Weighted mode	F	0.781(0.579,1.053)	0.167
Turicibacter	2162	8	IVW		1.111(1.025,1.205)	0.010
			MR Egger	 	1.147(0.832,1.580)	0.435
			Weighted median		1.104(0.996,1.224)	0.059
			Simple mode	-	1.096(0.943,1.274)	0.272
			Weighted mode	····•	1.074(0.929,1.240)	0.366
unknowngenus	959	11	IVW		0.934(0.878,0.993)	0.029
			MR Egger		0.943(0.675,1.318)	0.740
			Weighted median	⊦ ●	0.946(0.879,1.017)	0.135
			Simple mode		0.950(0.826,1.092)	0.489
			Weighted mode	⊦	0.966(0.852,1.095)	0.599
unknowngenus	2071	15	IVW	 	0.905(0.827,0.990)	0.028
-			MR Egger	l	0.808(0.508,1.285)	0.384
			Weighted median	F	0.926(0.835,1.026)	0.141
			Simple mode	II	0.932(0.772,1.126)	0.479
			Weighted mode		0.922(0.781,1.087)	0.349
Order						
Bifidobacteriales	432	11	IVW	<u>⊦</u> ●	0.899(0.830,0.975)	0.009
			MR Egger		0.954(0.733,1.241)	0.735
			Weighted median	I∳	0.904(0.813,1.005)	0.063
			Simple mode	F∮{	0.906(0.770,1.064)	0.257
			Weighted mode	F	0.904(0.788,1.038)	0.183
Burkholderiales	2874	10	IVW	⊦ ∮ {	0.902(0.816,0.996)	0.042
			MR Egger	ŀI	0.799(0.583,1.095)	0.200
			Weighted median		0.871(0.753,0.994)	0.041
			Simple mode	ŀŀ	0.858(0.690,1.066)	0.200
			Weighted mode		0.860(0.709,1.044)	0.162
phylum						
Actinobacteria	400	14	IVW	 	0.912(0.833,0.998)	0.045
			MR Egger		0.717(0.498,1.033)	0.099
			Weighted median	∳	0.891(0.785,1.012)	0.075
			Simple mode	 	0.896(0.723,1.111)	0.336
			Weighted mode	I	0.882(0.737,1.055)	0.192
Firmicutes	1672	14	IVW	⊢	0.852(0.763,0.950)	0.004
			MR Egger		0.845(0.628,1.138)	0.290
			Weighted median	F	0.897(0.794,1.012)	0.078
			Simple mode	<u>⊦</u>	0.843(0.696,1.020)	0.103
			Weighted mode		0.915(0.783,1.070)	0.289
				0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1.0 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5 Odds Ratio(95%CI)		

Fig. 4. Forest plots of coronary atherosclerosis for all methods. MR results for 10 gut microbiota taxa causality links to coronary atherosclerosis. SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; IVW, inverse variance-weighted; OR, odds ratio; MR, Mendelian randomization; ID, identifier of gut microbiota.

Table 4. Sensitivity analysis for the correlation	n between cerebral atherosclerosis and gut microbiota.

Gut microbiota taxa	MR-Egger intercept test			MR-PRESSO global test	
	Egger value	Standard error	p value	RSS obs	p value
Eubacterium nodatum (ID: 11927)	0.005	0.152	0.973	11.488	0.504
Actinomyces (ID: 423)	0.043	0.109	0.711	1.973	0.967
Collinsella (ID: 815)	0.251	0.161	0.170	5.624	0.748
Intestinibacte (ID: 11345)	0.101	0.091	0.291	12.504	0.716
Paraprevotella (ID: 962)	-0.097	0.019	0.411	13.575	0.504
Veillonella (ID: 2198)	-0.727	0.929	0.491	2.851	0.784

MR, Mendelian randomization; ID, identifier of gut microbiota; RSS obs, observed residual sum of squares.



Fig. 5. Forest plots of cerebral atherosclerosis for all methods. MR results for six gut microbiota taxa causality links to cerebral atherosclerosis. SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; IVW, inverse variance-weighted; OR, odds ratio; MR, Mendelian randomization; ID, identifier of gut microbiota.

and Peptostreptococcaceae, are capable of producing IPA, a microbial metabolite derived from tryptophan. IPA has been found to downregulate the expression of miR-142-5p in macrophages, which promotes the transportation of extracellular cholesterol. Low levels of IPA have been associated with risk factors of coronary atherosclerosis, and this study also uncovered the potential of using IPA supplementation to suppress the progression of arterial atherosclerosis [32]. Meanwhile, Karlsson et al. [28] discovered that bacteria belonging to the phylum Firmicutes, specifically Ruminococcaceae spp, Eubacterium, and Roseburia, are capable of producing short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs). It has been observed that the abundance of these bacterial populations is reduced in patients with atherosclerosis [8,28,29], and SCFAs have been found to possess anti-inflammatory properties toward certain epithelial cells. This may potentially slow down the progression of atherosclerosis [33]. Furthermore, Rath et al. [34] found that Clostridia bacteria degrade nutrients such as phosphatidylcholine to produce TMAO, while the levels of TMAO are associated with the occurrence of atherosclerosis. However, current research has yet to confirm a causal relationship between them [31].

It is noteworthy that the criteria for Bonferroni correction are excessively stringent, sacrificing some statistical efficiency and potentially resulting in false negative outcomes. Initial associations between 19 gut microbiota taxa were observed in our study; however, these associations disappeared after applying the Bonferroni correction. This may be attributed to the possibility that a single microbiota genus might not play as substantial a role in disease as previously postulated, in relation to the gut microbiome and cardiovascular disease, which are influenced by multiple factors [35]. Furthermore, our results indicated several gut microbiota taxa with preliminary causal links, thereby corroborating prior research discoveries. For example, Karlsson et al. [28] observed decreased levels of Eubacterium in the gut microbiota of atherosclerosis patients compared to healthy controls. Likewise, Liu et al. [29] found that Ruminococcaceae were reduced in atherosclerosis patients compared to healthy controls. Although these gut microbiota taxa exhibit only preliminary causal associations at the genus level, further investigations into the collaboration and interaction among diverse gut microbiota taxa remain worthwhile.

Moreover, it is imperative to acknowledge the inherent constraints in our investigation. Primarily, we employed a rather lenient criterion ($p < 1 \times 10^{-5}$) to filter the IVs owing to the minuscule count of IVs that satisfied the stringent threshold ($p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$). Furthermore, to augment the study's validity, future analyses necessitate the utilization of a larger sample size of GWAS data due to the relatively sparse frequency of cerebral atherosclerosis cases. Lastly, bearing in mind the predominantly European heritage of our participants, it is essential to exercise caution when generalizing these findings to other populations.

Overall, these MR research findings align with the majority of the previously published studies. These studies collectively suggest that the impact on atherosclerosis by the phylum Firmicutes is primarily mediated through its metabolites. Thus, increasing the abundance of Firmicutes in the gut microbiota may serve as a protective measure against atherosclerosis. However, further experimental validation is required to confirm this hypothesis. The results of our MR study can provide a confident direction for future research endeavors.

5. Conclusions

Conclusively, a total of 20 nominal connections and a strong causal relationship were successfully identified by conducting a meticulous MR analysis on the risk of distinct GM taxa in relation to atherosclerosis across three diverse vascular sites. Notably, the phylum Firmicutes has been scientifically linked to a reduced occurrence of coronary atherosclerosis, as the gathered data reveals. To shed light on the significant beneficial impact of Firmicutes on coronary atherosclerosis, along with its distinct protective mechanisms, conducting future RCT studies is imperative. However, these findings present a fresh perspective on potential strategies for the prevention and treatment of atherosclerosis by targeting gut microbiota and exploring prospective therapeutic targets for this condition.

Availability of Data and Materials

Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. This data can be found at: https://mibiogen.gcc.rug.nl/, https://www.finngen.fi/fi.

Author Contributions

YL and YXC designed the research study. YL performed the research. YL and YXC provided help and advice on data curation. ZL analyzed the data. YL wrote the manuscript. LQT was also involved in supervision the manuscript and contributed to the preliminary design of the study. YRL and YCC were both involved in data collection. YRL also contributed to the writing of the manuscript, while YCC participated in a portion of the data analysis and figure creation. All authors contributed to editorial changes in the manuscript. All authors read and approved the fi-

🐞 IMR Press

nal manuscript. All authors have participated sufficiently in the work and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate

This study utilized publicly available deidentified data from participant studies that had already been approved by an ethical standards committee. As a result, no additional separate ethical approval was necessary for this study and informed consent is waived.

Acknowledgment

We thank the MiBioGen consortium for the GWAS summary data and the participants and investigators of the FinnGen study.

Funding

This research received no external funding.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at https://doi.org/10. 31083/j.rcm2502041.

References

- [1] Björkegren JLM, Lusis AJ. Atherosclerosis: Recent developments. Cell. 2022; 185: 1630–1645.
- [2] Libby P. The changing landscape of atherosclerosis. Nature. 2021; 592: 524–533.
- [3] Ezzati M, Obermeyer Z, Tzoulaki I, Mayosi BM, Elliott P, Leon DA. Contributions of risk factors and medical care to cardiovascular mortality trends. Nature Reviews. Cardiology. 2015; 12: 508–530.
- [4] Tang WHW, Bäckhed F, Landmesser U, Hazen SL. Intestinal Microbiota in Cardiovascular Health and Disease: JACC Stateof-the-Art Review. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2019; 73: 2089–2105.
- [5] Le Chatelier E, Nielsen T, Qin J, Prifti E, Hildebrand F, Falony G, *et al.* Richness of human gut microbiome correlates with metabolic markers. Nature. 2013; 500: 541–546.
- [6] Kasahara K, Krautkramer KA, Org E, Romano KA, Kerby RL, Vivas EI, *et al.* Interactions between Roseburia intestinalis and diet modulate atherogenesis in a murine model. Nature Microbiology. 2018; 3: 1461–1471.
- [7] Gregory JC, Buffa JA, Org E, Wang Z, Levison BS, Zhu W, et al. Transmission of atherosclerosis susceptibility with gut microbial transplantation. The Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2015; 290: 5647–5660.
- [8] Jie Z, Xia H, Zhong SL, Feng Q, Li S, Liang S, et al. The gut microbiome in atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. Nature Communications. 2017; 8: 845.
- [9] Swanson SA, Tiemeier H, Ikram MA, Hernán MA. Nature as a Trialist?: Deconstructing the Analogy Between Mendelian Randomization and Randomized Trials. Epidemiology (Cambridge, Mass.). 2017; 28: 653–659.

- [10] Burgess S, Small DS, Thompson SG. A review of instrumental variable estimators for Mendelian randomization. Statistical Methods in Medical Research. 2017; 26: 2333–2355.
- [11] Burgess S, Thompson SG. Mendelian randomization: methods for causal inference using genetic variants. CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA. 2021.
- [12] Skrivankova VW, Richmond RC, Woolf BAR, Yarmolinsky J, Davies NM, Swanson SA, *et al.* Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology Using Mendelian Randomization: The STROBE-MR Statement. JAMA. 2021; 326: 1614–1621.
- [13] Kurilshikov A, Medina-Gomez C, Bacigalupe R, Radjabzadeh D, Wang J, Demirkan A, *et al*. Large-scale association analyses identify host factors influencing human gut microbiome composition. Nature Genetics. 2021; 53: 156–165.
- [14] Wang J, Kurilshikov A, Radjabzadeh D, Turpin W, Croitoru K, Bonder MJ, et al. Meta-analysis of human genome-microbiome association studies: the MiBioGen consortium initiative. Microbiome. 2018; 6: 101.
- [15] Kurki MI, Karjalainen J, Palta P, Sipilä TP, Kristiansson K, Donner K, *et al.* FinnGen: Unique genetic insights from combining isolated population and national health register data. MedRxiv. 2022; 2022.03.03.22271360. (preprint)
- [16] Sanna S, van Zuydam NR, Mahajan A, Kurilshikov A, Vich Vila A, Võsa U, *et al.* Causal relationships among the gut microbiome, short-chain fatty acids and metabolic diseases. Nature Genetics. 2019; 51: 600–605.
- [17] Pierce BL, Ahsan H, Vanderweele TJ. Power and instrument strength requirements for Mendelian randomization studies using multiple genetic variants. International Journal of Epidemiology. 2011; 40: 740–752.
- [18] Staley JR, Blackshaw J, Kamat MA, Ellis S, Surendran P, Sun BB, *et al.* PhenoScanner: a database of human genotypephenotype associations. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England). 2016; 32: 3207–3209.
- [19] Kamat MA, Blackshaw JA, Young R, Surendran P, Burgess S, Danesh J, *et al.* PhenoScanner V2: an expanded tool for searching human genotype-phenotype associations. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England). 2019; 35: 4851–4853.
- [20] Burgess S, Dudbridge F, Thompson SG. Combining information on multiple instrumental variables in Mendelian randomization: comparison of allele score and summarized data methods. Statistics in Medicine. 2016; 35: 1880–1906.
- [21] Bowden J, Davey Smith G, Haycock PC, Burgess S. Consistent Estimation in Mendelian Randomization with Some Invalid Instruments Using a Weighted Median Estimator. Genetic Epidemiology. 2016; 40: 304–314.
- [22] Burgess S, Thompson SG. Interpreting findings from Mendelian

randomization using the MR-Egger method. European Journal of Epidemiology. 2017; 32: 377–389.

- [23] Bowden J, Davey Smith G, Burgess S. Mendelian randomization with invalid instruments: effect estimation and bias detection through Egger regression. International Journal of Epidemiology. 2015; 44: 512–525.
- [24] Rees JMB, Wood AM, Burgess S. Extending the MR-Egger method for multivariable Mendelian randomization to correct for both measured and unmeasured pleiotropy. Statistics in Medicine. 2017; 36: 4705–4718.
- [25] Verbanck M, Chen CY, Neale B, Do R. Detection of widespread horizontal pleiotropy in causal relationships inferred from Mendelian randomization between complex traits and diseases. Nature Genetics. 2018; 50: 693–698.
- [26] Hemani G, Tilling K, Davey Smith G. Orienting the causal relationship between imprecisely measured traits using GWAS summary data. PLoS Genetics. 2017; 13: e1007081.
- [27] Qin J, Li R, Raes J, Arumugam M, Burgdorf KS, Manichanh C, *et al.* A human gut microbial gene catalogue established by metagenomic sequencing. Nature. 2010; 464: 59–65.
- [28] Karlsson FH, Fåk F, Nookaew I, Tremaroli V, Fagerberg B, Petranovic D, *et al.* Symptomatic atherosclerosis is associated with an altered gut metagenome. Nature Communications. 2012; 3: 1245.
- [29] Liu H, Chen X, Hu X, Niu H, Tian R, Wang H, *et al.* Alterations in the gut microbiome and metabolism with coronary artery disease severity. Microbiome. 2019; 7: 68.
- [30] Duttaroy AK. Role of Gut Microbiota and Their Metabolites on Atherosclerosis, Hypertension and Human Blood Platelet Function: A Review. Nutrients. 2021; 13: 144.
- [31] Verhaar BJH, Prodan A, Nieuwdorp M, Muller M. Gut Microbiota in Hypertension and Atherosclerosis: A Review. Nutrients. 2020; 12: 2982.
- [32] Xue H, Chen X, Yu C, Deng Y, Zhang Y, Chen S, et al. Gut Microbially Produced Indole-3-Propionic Acid Inhibits Atherosclerosis by Promoting Reverse Cholesterol Transport and Its Deficiency Is Causally Related to Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease. Circulation Research. 2022; 131: 404–420.
- [33] Li M, van Esch BCAM, Henricks PAJ, Folkerts G, Garssen J. The Anti-inflammatory Effects of Short Chain Fatty Acids on Lipopolysaccharide- or Tumor Necrosis Factor α-Stimulated Endothelial Cells via Activation of GPR41/43 and Inhibition of HDACs. Frontiers in Pharmacology. 2018; 9: 533.
- [34] Rath S, Heidrich B, Pieper DH, Vital M. Uncovering the trimethylamine-producing bacteria of the human gut microbiota. Microbiome. 2017; 5: 54.
- [35] Witkowski M, Weeks TL, Hazen SL. Gut Microbiota and Cardiovascular Disease. Circulation Research. 2020; 127: 553–570.