

Systematic Review Vitamin D on Cardiac Function in Heart Failure: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of 10 RCTs

Xuemeng Chen^{1,†}, Wenli Zhao^{2,†}, Yan Zhao¹, Jingchao Ma¹, Huaien Bu^{3,*}, Ye Zhao^{1,*}

¹Department of Public Health, International College, Krirk University, 10220 Bangkok, Thailand

²Liver Center, Saga University Hospital, Saga University, 849-8501 Saga, Japan

³School of Health Science and Engineering, Tianjin University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, 300193 Tianjin, China

*Correspondence: huaienbu@tjutcm.edu.cn (Huaien Bu); zhao.ye@staff.krirk.ac.th (Ye Zhao)

[†]These authors contributed equally.

Academic Editor: Ferdinando Carlo Sasso

Submitted: 8 April 2023 Revised: 29 May 2023 Accepted: 9 June 2023 Published: 23 November 2023

Abstract

Background: Systematic evaluation of the effects of vitamin D supplementation in heart failure (HF) patients. **Methods**: Searches were conducted on National Library of Medicine, Web of Science, Cochrane Library, Google Scholar, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, and WANFANG databases. We analyzed data by using Review Manager 5.4 software. All are from the earliest records to March 2023. Outcome indicators analyzed the left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF), the left ventricular end-diastolic internal diameter (LVEDD), the B-type brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) level and the 25-hydroxy vitamin D (25(OH)D) level. **Results**: Ten studies with 1099 patients were included. LVEF (mean difference (MD) = 0.74, 95% CI: -0.29 to 1.76, p = 0.41), LVEDD (MD = -0.59, 95% CI: -1.83 to 0.66, p = 0.25), BNP (MD = -0.08, 95% CI: -0.24 to 0.08, p = 0.34), 25(OH)D (MD = 0.41, 95% CI: -0.28 to 1.11, p = 0.25) are not statistically significant. And there is no heterogeneity in the results of LVEF, LVEDD and BNP indicators. **Conclusions**: Vitamin D supplementation may not be helpful in the clinical management of patients with HF.

Keywords: vitamin D; heart failure; cardiac function; meta-analysis; randomized controlled trial

1. Introduction

Heart failure (HF) is a potential outcome of end stage of heart disease. It impairs the cardiac circulation due to a systolic and/or diastolic function damage of the heart [1,2]. HF occurs mainly due to remodeling of heart muscle cells [3]. Vitamin D deficiency triggers excessive activation of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS) which damages the endothelial function, accelerates the ventricular remodeling, and thus may lead to HF [4]. More than 1 billion people worldwide are deficient in vitamin D leading to the World Health Organization defining it as a public health problem [5]. Vitamin D deficiency is associated with lifestyle risk factors, living conditions, and diseases which usually reduce vitamin D intake, absorption, or synthesis [6]. Low levels of vitamin D may exacerbate chronic HF [7]. However, it has been reported that vitamin D supplementation does not produce long-term benefits in HF patients [8]. Furthermore, a meta-analysis has indicated that vitamin D supplementation does not reduce mortality or improve the left ventricular function [9].

Vitamin D is an essential fat-soluble steroid hormone [10]. Under the ultraviolet B radiation from sunshine, 7-dehydrocholesterol inside skin is converted to vitamin D under the non-enzymatic photolysis [11]. After being released into blood circulation, it is metabolized into 25-hydroxy vitamin D (25(OH)D) by the 25-hydroxylase in the liver [12]. Then 25(OH)D is converted to the active

calcitriol by the enzyme 1a-hydroxylase in the kidney [13]. The best method of assessing vitamin D levels in human body is through 25(OH)D [14]. Decreased levels of 1α , 25-dihydroxy vitamin D3, an active form of 25(OH)D in the heart extracellular matrix, influence other forms of vitamin D and contribute to HF progress [15].

Vitamin D plays an important regulatory role in calcium and phosphorus metabolism [16]. It is antiinflammatory, immunomodulatory, and affects vascular remodeling, blood glucose regulation, reduction of renin, angiotensin, and aldosterone activity in addition to playing a variety of other biological roles [17]. When calcitriol binds to the vitamin D receptor (VDR), its physiological effects are exerted [18]. Because VDR can express in vascular tissues, it may affect calcium in-flow, muscle relaxation, and diastolic function of vascular tissues [19]. As a result, the vitamin D has potential inhibitory effects on cardiac hypertrophy and anti-heart failure [20]. Vitamin D on cardiac function in patients with HF has controversial findings. This study intends to clarify the role of vitamin D in patients with HF.

2. Methods

2.1 Search Strategy

Searches were conducted on National Library of Medicine, Web of Science, Cochrane Library, Google Scholar, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, and



Publisher's Note: IMR Press stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Fig. 1. PRISMA 2009 Flow Diagram.

WANFANG databases. All are from the earliest records to March 2023. Searching terms included "heart failure", "vitamin D", "Cardiac failure", "Randomized Controlled Trials", "Vitamin D3" and "cardiac function". The languages of the literature were mainly English and Chinese. The relevant literature was traced in the references of the retrieved clinical trial report papers or reviews. Protocol registration prior to initiating the meta-analysis was not possible due to missing a selective time point in the design period.

2.2 Inclusion Criteria

We include randomized controlled trials (RCT) of vitamin D in patients with HF. The definition of HF is based on the New York Heart Association (NYHA) classification \geq II or the left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) \leq 40%. Study subjects are supplemented with only vitamin D as the micronutrient. The treatment group adds vitamin D and the control group uses placebo or no drug, both under the maintenance of a usual treatment.

2.3 Exclusion Criteria

The followings are excluded: (1) trials reported in abstract only, (2) low-quality literature, (3) cohort studies, (4) retrospective case-control studies, (5) conference literature, (6) repetitive articles, (7) and nonclinical trials.

2.4 Literature Quality Assessment

Evaluators first independently completed the initial screening of the included literature by reading the title and abstract. The methodological criteria of quality assessment

Author	Experimental design	Vitamin D supplementation	Periodicity	Test population	Key outcome indicators
	6	dose			
Qu et al. [23],	Forward looking	1000 U/d	3 months	Ischemic heart failure; NYHA classification	25(OH)D; BNP; LVEF
2015				III–IV	
Li <i>et al</i> . [24],	Forward looking	1000 U/d	3 months	Children with chronic heart failure; 3 years <	25(OH)D; NYHA
2015				age >1 month	classification; Cardiac efficacy
Wu et al. [25],	Forward looking	1600 U/d	10 weeks	Chronic heart failure; NYHA classification \geq	25(OH)D; BNP; NYHA
2011				II; $25(OH)D < nmol/L$	classification; 6-minute
					walking distance (6MWD)
Nicolas [26],	Forward looking	2000 U/d	6 weeks	Chronic heart failure; age ≥ 18 years; LVEF	25(OH)D; NYHA
2013				<45%; NYHA classification II	classification; 6MWD
Zittermann et	Forward looking	4000 U/d	12 months	Advanced heart failure; 25(OH)D <75	25(OH)D; LVEF
al. [27], 2019	-			nmol/L; 18 years < age >79 years; NYHA	
				classification \geq II	
Soad et al.	Forward looking	1000 U/d	3 months	Infants with ischaemic heart failure; EF	25(OH)D; LVEF; RAS
[28], 2012				$<\!\!40\%$	cytokines
Klaus <i>et al</i> .	Forward looking	4000 U/d	12 months	Chronic heart failure; LVEF \leq 45%; 25(OH)D	25(OH)D; LVEF; 6MWD
[29], 2016				<50 nmol/L; NYHA classification II-III	
Rebecca et al.	Forward looking	50,000 U/w	6 months	Heart failure; age \geq 50 years; 25(OH)D	25(OH)D; PTH
[30], 2014				≤37.5 ng/mL; NYHA classification II–IV	
Woo et al.	Forward looking	4000 U/d	4 months	Chronic heart failure; 25(OH)D <75nmol/L;	25(OH)D; LVEF; NYHA
[31], 2022	-			NYHA classification II–III	classification; 6MWD
Heidi [32],	Forward looking	10,000 U/d	6 months	Heart failure; NYHA classification II-III; age	25(OH)D; BNP; QOL; CPX;
2017	-			\geq 18 years; 25(OH)D \geq 32 ng/mL	PTH

Note: 25(OH)D, 25-hydroxy vitamin D; BNP, B-type brain natriuretic peptide; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; NYHA, New York Heart Association; 6MWD, 6-minute walking distance; RAS, renin-angiotensin; PTH, parathyroid hormone; QOL, quality of life; CPX, complete physical examination.

are based on Cochrane and meet the inclusion criteria. By reading some full texts, the following evaluation criteria were used: (1) randomization, (2) concealment of allocation, (3) subject and intervention blinding, (4) blinding on outcome assessments, (5) data integrity, (6) selective outcome reporting, and (7) other biases. "Uncertain risk", "low risk", and "high risk" evaluations were used for the assessment of bias. Two independent researchers evaluated data quality. One third party was solicited to advice when discussion could not resolve the inconsistent opinion of a particular study's inclusion.

2.5 Data Extraction

The data extracted includes the following: (1) general information, such as title, author, year of publication and trial quality score, (2) comparability of data and interventions across patient data groups, and (3) outcome data including 25(OH)D, LVEF, left ventricular end-diastolic internal diameter (LVEDD), and B-type brain natriuretic peptide (BNP).

2.6 Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using Review Manager 5.4 software (International Cochrane Collaboration Network, TX, USA), with a test level of $\alpha = 0.05$. Continuous variables were analyzed by using the mean difference (MD) and 95% confidence intervals (CI). Clinical heterogeneity of the included studies was first analyzed, followed by statistical heterogeneity using the I^2 test [21]. When p > 0.1 and $I^2 < 50\%$, homogeneity among several similar studies can be considered and a fixed effect model is used to analyze. When p < 0.1 and $I^2 > 50\%$, heterogeneity is considered and a random effect model is used to analysis. $I^2 > 50\%$ indicates high heterogeneity, I^2 of 25%–50% reveals moderate heterogeneity, and $I^2 < 50\%$ shows low heterogeneity [22]. If heterogeneity was found, the source was analyzed followed by a sensitivity analysis.

3. Results

3.1 Characteristics of Study and the Quality

The basic characteristics of the included studies are shown in Table 1 (Ref. [23–32]). In all included studies, 25(OH)D is an outcome indicator, and the most timeframes are from 3 months to 4 months. The study's quality evaluation is indicated in Table 2 (Ref. [23–32]). Most studies have low risk for all items, so the included studies are quality.



Fig. 2. Bias of studies.

rable 2. Study quality evaluation.											
Included studies	Radom	Allocation	Double blind	Evaluation of	Data	Selective	Others				
included studies	allocation	concealment	method	blindness	integrity	y report					
Qu et al. [23], 2015	Unclear	Low risk	Low risk	Unclear	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk				
Li et al. [24], 2015	Unclear	Low risk	High risk	Unclear	Low risk	Unclear	Unclear				
Wu et al. [25], 2011	Unclear	Low risk	Low risk	Unclear	Low risk	Unclear	Unclear				
Nicolas [26], 2013	Low risk	Unclear	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk				
Zittermann et al. [27], 2019	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Low risk	Unclear	Low risk				
Soad et al. [28], 2012	Unclear	Unclear	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Unclear				
Klaus et al. [29], 2016	Low risk	Unclear	Unclear	Low risk	Low risk	Unclear	Low risk				
Rebecca et al. [30], 2014	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Unclear	Low risk	Unclear	Low risk				
Woo et al. [31], 2022	Low risk	Unclear	Low risk	Unclear	Low risk	Unclear	Unclear				
Heidi [32], 2017	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Unclear	Low risk	Unclear	Low risk				

Unclear, Not specified in the article; Low risk, There are specific instructions in the article; High risk, Not mentioned in the article.

3.2 General Information on the Inclusion of Studies

In total, 10 prior studies met the eligibility criteria and were included [23–32]. The selection process is described in Fig. 1. There are a total of 1099 patients, with 548 in the vitamin D group and 551 in the control group. Overall, 5 studies [26,29–32] refer to the correct randomization method and 5 studies [23–25,30,32] adopt allocation concealment; 4 studies [26,29,31,32] report LVEF, 4 studies [27–29,31] report LVEDD, 4 studies [23,25,27,32] report BNP, 7 studies [24,27–32] report 25(OH)D and 1 study [24] report the occurrence of adverse events during treatment . The bias of the study is analyzed in Fig. 2.

3.3 Vitamin D Effects on Cardiac Function 3.3.1 LVEF

Levels of LVEF were reported in four studies [26,29, 31,32]. There is no heterogeneity in the results among studies (p = 0.41, $I^2 = 0\%$) with the use of a fixed effect model. Based on the overall-effect test, there is no statistically significant difference in LVEF between the two groups (Z = 1.41, p = 0.16). There is no significant difference in LVEF

4

between the vitamin D group and the control group (MD = 0.74, 95% CI: -0.29 to 1.76). According to the vitamin D usage subgroup analysis, there is no statistically significant difference between the two groups for doses <2000 U/d (p = 0.15) or for doses >2000 U/d (p = 0.85). The results of the different subgroups according to the different doses show that LVEF is not statistically significant between the test and control groups. The results are shown in Fig. 3.

3.3.2 LVEDD

Levels of LVEDD were reported in four studies [27–29,31]. There is no heterogeneity in the results among studies (p = 0.25, $I^2 = 27\%$) with the use of a fixed effect model. Based on the overall-effect test, there is no statistically significant difference in LVEDD between the two groups (Z = 0.92, p = 0.36). There is no significant difference in LVEDD between the vitamin D group and the control group (MD = -0.59, 95% CI: -1.83 to 0.66). According to the vitamin D usage subgroup analysis, there is no statistically significant difference between the two groups for doses <2000 U/d (p = 0.06) or for doses >2000 U/d (p

	Experimental			C	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference		
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl		
3.1.1 ≤2000U/d											
Nicolas 2013	36.7	8.7	50	33.6	7.5	51	10.4%	3.10 [-0.07, 6.27]			
Qu Jun 2015	38.4	2.1	27	37.9	2.3	27	75.7%	0.50 [-0.67, 1.67]	•		
Subtotal (95% CI)			77			78	86.1%	0.81 [-0.29, 1.92]	◆		
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	2.27, df	= 1 (P									
Test for overall effect	: Z = 1.4	5 (P = 0	0.15)								
3.1.2 >2000U/d											
Klaus 2016	26.5	10.62	83	25.6	10.8	80	9.7%	0.90 [-2.39, 4.19]	- -		
Wu Yongmin 2022	40.6	10.9	34	41.8	10.7	39	4.2%	-1.20 [-6.17, 3.77]	— 		
Subtotal (95% CI)			117			119	13.9%	0.26 [-2.48, 3.00]	•		
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	• 0.48, df	= 1 (P	= 0.49	Θ); $I^2 = 0$	0%						
Test for overall effect	: Z = 0.19	9 (P = 0	0.85)								
Total (95% CI)			194			197	100.0%	0.74 [-0.29, 1.76]	◆		
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	2.88, df	= 3 (P	= 0.42	L); $I^2 = 0$	0%						
Test for overall effect	: Z = 1.4	1 (P = 0)	0.16)						Favours [experimental] Favours [control]		
Test for subgroup differences: $Chi^2 = 0.13$, $df = 1$ (P = 0.71), $l^2 = 0\%$											

Fig. 3. Changes in LVEF after treatment in the vitamin D and control groups. LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction.

	Experimental				ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
5.1.1 ≤2000U/d									
Soad A 2012 Subtotal (95% CI)	30.7	5.2	38 38	32.81	4.6	42 42	33.1% 33.1%	-2.11 [-4.27, 0.05] - 2.11 [-4.27, 0.05]	•
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable								
Test for overall effect	: Z = 1.9	91 (P =	0.06)						
5.1.2 >2000U/d									
Klaus 2016	58	6.49	83	57.6	8.62	80	28.0%	0.40 [-1.95, 2.75]	— —
Wu Yongmin 2022	54.2	13.4	34	50.2	19.2	39	2.7%	4.00 [-3.52, 11.52]	
Zittermann 2019 Subtotal (95% CI)	67.3	10.3	201 318	67.6	10.8	199 318	36.1% 66.9%	-0.30 [-2.37, 1.77] 0.17 [-1.35, 1.69]	 ◆
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	1.23, d	f = 2 (P = 0.5	54); I ² =	0%				
Test for overall effect	: Z = 0.2	22 (P =	0.83)						
Total (95% CI)			356			360	100.0%	-0.59 [-1.83, 0.66]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	4.09, d	f = 3 (P = 0.2	$(25); I^2 =$	27%				
Test for overall effect	: Z = 0.9	92 (P =	0.36)						-10 -5 U 5 10 Favours [experimental] Favours [control]
Test for subgroup dif	ferences	: Chi ²	= 2.86	, df = 1	(P = 0)).09), I ²	= 65.0%		Tavou's [experimental] Tavou's [control]

Fig. 4. Changes in left ventricular end-diastolic internal diameter after treatment in the vitamin D and control groups.

	Experimental Control						9	Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	
4.1.1 ≤2000U/d										
Qu Jun 2015	725.75	222.1	27	787.22	267.76	27	9.4%	-0.25 [-0.78, 0.29]		
Wu Han 2011	40.68	26.68	40	46.25	32.04	38	13.6%	-0.19 [-0.63, 0.26]		
Subtotal (95% CI)			67			65	23.0%	-0.21 [-0.55, 0.13]	\bullet	
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	0.03, df	= 1 (P =	= 0.87)	$I^2 = 0\%$						
Test for overall effect:	: Z = 1.21	1 (P = 0)	23)							
4.1.2 >2000U/d										
Heidi 2017	400	1,900	20	30	950	20	7.0%	0.24 [-0.38, 0.86]		
Zittermann 2019	533	589	201	581	813	199	70.1%	-0.07 [-0.26, 0.13]		
Subtotal (95% CI)			221			219	77.0%	-0.04 [-0.23, 0.15]	•	
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	0.86, df	= 1 (P =	= 0.35)	$I^2 = 0\%$						
Test for overall effect:	: Z = 0.42	P = 0	68)							
			200			204	100.0%	0.00 [0.24 0.00]		
Total (95% CI)			200	.2		204	100.0%	-0.08 [-0.24, 0.08]		
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	1.63, df	= 3 (P =	= 0.65)	$ 1^{2} = 0\%$				-	-2 -1 0 1 2	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.95	5 (P = 0)	34)						Favours [experimental] Favours [control]	
Test for subgroup differences: $Chi^2 = 0.75$, $df = 1$ (P = 0.39), $l^2 = 0\%$										



= 0.83). The results of the different subgroups according to the different doses show that LVEDD is not statistically significant between the test and control groups. The results are shown in Fig. 4.

3.3.3 BNP

Levels of BNP were reported in four studies [23,25,27, 32]. There is no heterogeneity in the results among studies (p = 0.65, $I^2 = 0\%$) with the use of a fixed effect model. Based on the overall-effect test, there is no statistically significant difference in BNP between the two groups (Z =

	Experimental Control				9	Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference			
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI	
6.1.1 ≤2000U/d										
Li Shuchao 2016	38.35	3.05	22	18.12	2.56	24	8.7%	7.09 [5.47, 8.71]		
Soad A 2012	14	2.46	38	13.4	2.21	42	15.4%	0.25 [-0.19, 0.70]	+	
Subtotal (95% CI)			60			66	24.1%	3.63 [-3.07, 10.32]		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	22.99;	$Chi^2 = 0$	63.54,	df = 1 (P < 0.0	0001);	$ ^2 = 98\%$			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.0	06 (P = 0)	0.29)							
6.1.2 >2000U/d										
Heidi 2017	20	9	20	70	28	20	13.3%	-2.36 [-3.18, -1.53]	-	
Klaus 2016	36.4	20.24	83	38.2	24.81	80	15.9%	-0.08 [-0.39, 0.23]	•	
Rebecca 2014	17.8	9	33	19.1	9.3	31	15.2%	-0.14 [-0.63, 0.35]	*	
Wu Yongmin 2022	13.3	3	34	11.8	3.2	39	15.3%	0.48 [0.01, 0.94]	*	
Zittermann 2019	38	16.7	201	34.6	16.8	199	16.2%	0.20 [0.01, 0.40]		
Subtotal (95% CI)			371			369	75.9%	-0.26 [-0.80, 0.28]		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.32; 0	$2hi^2 = 32$	9.51, d	f = 4 (P	< 0.00	001); I ²	= 90%			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.9	95 (P = 0)	0.34)							
Total (95% CI)			431			435	100.0%	0.41 [-0.28, 1.11]	•	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.76; 0	$hi^2 = 1$	11.58,	df = 6 (P < 0.0	0001);	$l^2 = 95\%$	-		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.1	6 (P = 0)).25)						Eavours [experimental] Eavours [control]	
Test for subgroup differences: $Chi^2 = 1.29$, $df = 1$ (P = 0.26), $l^2 = 22.2\%$										

Fig. 6. Changes in 25-hydroxy vitamin D after treatment in the vitamin D and control groups.

0.95, p = 0.34). There is no significant difference in BNP between the vitamin D group and the control group (MD = -0.08, 95% CI: -0.24 to 0.08). According to the vitamin D usage the subgroup analysis, there is no statistically significant difference between the two groups for doses <2000 U/d (p = 0.23) or for doses >2000 U/d (p = 0.68). The results of the different subgroups according to the different doses show that BNP is not statistically significant between the test and control groups. The results are shown in Fig. 5.

3.3.4 25(OH)D

Levels of 25(OH)D were reported in seven studies [24,27–32]. Because heterogeneity was found in the study results (p < 0.00001, $I^2 = 95\%$), a random effect model is used. Based on the overall-effect test, there is no statistically significant difference in 25(OH)D between the two groups (Z = 1.16, p = 0.25). There is no significant difference in 25(OH)D between the vitamin D group and the control group (MD = 0.41, 95% CI: -0.28 to 1.11). According to the vitamin D usage subgroup analysis, there is no statistically significant difference between the two groups for doses <2000 U/d (p = 0.29) or for doses >2000 U/d (p = 0.34). The results of the different subgroups according to the different doses show that 25(OH)D is not statistically significant between the test and control groups. The results are shown in Fig. 6.

3.4 Adverse Events

Adverse events (AEs) were only reported in a single study [24]. The most frequent AEs include panic, nausea, dizziness, and fatigue. However, the incidence of adverse events between the two groups is not statistically significant.

4. Discussion

Approximately ninety percent of people with chronic HF are vitamin D deficient and low levels of vitamin D are known to activate the RAAS system, triggering the inflammatory response, and leading to endothelial dysfunction [33]. This model predicts a correlation between deficiency of vitamin D and poor prognosis in chronic HF patients, suggesting that vitamin D supplementation may improve left ventricular remodeling and have a role in the recovery of cardiac function [34]. Vitamin D deficiency has been shown to result in cardiovascular complications, while a normal level may have protective effects in ventricular muscle [35]. It is notable that patients failing to complete the trial were excluded from the analysis, thus clinical events for this subgroup were not assessed. The results from this meta-analysis are in agreement with another study that found vitamin D supplementation resulted in no significant change to cardiac structure, systolic function or diastolic function, although the bioactive metabolite 25(OH)D, a nuclear hormone receptor ligand, has anti-hypertrophic activity [36].

The included studies did show an increase of 25(OH)D in the group of vitamin D compared to the control group, and the increase of 25(OH)D was accompanied with increased calcium concentrations in plasma. In one metaanalysis, it is shown that increased calcium concentrations are the feature of HF [37]. HF patients with vitamin D deficiency (25(OH)D <25.0 nmol/L) have a higher mortality rate than those with 25(OH)D >75.0 nmol/L (corrected heart rate 1.61 [95% CI: 1.08 to 2.41]) [38]. We can therefore infer that an increase of 25(OH)D may raise the incidence of HF.

The results of this study show that LVEF, LVEDD, BNP, and 25(OH)D are not statistically significant, nor is there any significant effect on vitamin D supplementation in BNP. There was no heterogeneity in the results of LVEF, LVEDD and BNP indicators, suggesting that vitamin D supplementation is not significantly correlated with left ventricular remodeling. It suggests that vitamin D supplementation is not helpful to treat HF.

A RCT shows that moderately high doses of cholecalciferol adversely affected HF patients [39]. However, the recommended frequency and dose of vitamin D supplementation are not clear. Our subgroup analysis suggests that none of the measured doses of vitamin D supplementation improve the cardiac function of HF patients.

In recent years a number of RCTs have been conducted on the effects of vitamin D in HF patients, but different studies report controversial results. A meta-analysis shows that low vitamin D levels may associate with increased risks of all-cause mortality [40]. Another meta-analysis reported that supplementation of vitamin D did not improve LVEF or mortality in chronic HF [41]. While RCTs provide basis for clinical evidence, trials are often conducted in highly controlled settings with narrow inclusion and exclusion criteria, which can also reduce their generalizability and external validity [42].

This study has some limitations: (1) the included population number is small, (2) the presence of heterogeneity, particularly in blinding methods. It may also be related to differences in vitamin D doses and study populations, (3) the different dose cycles of vitamin D in different trials may also affect the results of the study, and (4) we did not include patients with preserved ejection fraction.

5. Conclusions

Since there is no advantage on the LVEF, LVEDD, BNP and 25(OH)D, Vitamin D supplementation may not be helpful in the clinical management of patients with HF.

Abbreviations

HF, heart failure; RASS, renin-angiotensin system; 25(OH)D, 25-hydroxy vitamin D; VDR, vitamin D receptor; RCT, randomized controlled trial; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; LVEDD, left ventricular end-diastolic internal diameter; BNP, B-type brain natriuretic peptide; MD, mean difference; CI, confidence intervals.

Availability of Data and Materials

The data used to support the findings of this study are included within the article.

Author Contributions

XMC, WLZ, YanZ, JCM, HEB and YeZ contributed to the design and concept. XMC and WLZ performed the literature searches and wrote the manuscript. YanZ and JCM critiqued the successive versions. HEB and YeZ approved the final manuscript. HEB and YeZ coordinated the effort and integrated the sections and comments. All authors read



and approved the final manuscript. All authors have participated sufficiently in the work and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate

Not applicable.

Acknowledgment

The authors thank Dr. Qinglong Wang for assistance with data extraction.

Funding

This study was supported by the 2022 Key Discipline Development in Preventive Medicine of Traditional Chinese Medicine.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at https://doi.org/10. 31083/j.rcm2411325.

References

- [1] Baman JR, Ahmad FS. Heart failure. Journal of the American Medical Association. 2020; 324: 1015.
- [2] Nikolic M, Srejovic I, Jovic J, Sretenovic J, Jeremic J, Cekerevac I. Sacubitril/valsartan in Heart Failure and Beyond—From Molecular Mechanisms to Clinical Relevance. Reviews in Cardiovascular Medicine. 2022; 23: 238.
- [3] Mill JG, Stefanon I, dos Santos L, Baldo MP. Remodeling in the ischemic heart: the stepwise progression for heart failure. Brazilian Journal of Medical and Biological Research. 201; 44: 890–898.
- [4] Ferder M, Inserra F, Manucha W, Ferder L. The world pandemic of vitamin D deficiency could possibly be explained by cellular inflammatory response activity induced by the renin-angiotensin system. American Journal of Physiology-Cell Physiology. 2013; 304: C1027–C1039.
- [5] Dattilo G, Casale M, Avventuroso E, Laganà P. Vitamin D Dietary Supplementation: Relationship with Chronic Heart Failure. Journal of AOAC International. 2018; 101: 939–941.
- [6] Mozos I, Marginean O. Links between Vitamin D Deficiency and Cardiovascular Diseases. BioMed Research International. 2015; 2015: 109275.
- [7] Guo R, Ye W. The role of vitamin D in cardiovascular disease and research progress. Chinese Journal of Cardiovascular Rehabilitation Medicine. 2023; 32: 176–179. (In Chinese)
- [8] Busa V, Dardeir A, Marudhai S, Patel M, Valaiyaduppu Subas S, Ghani MR, *et al.* Role of vitamin D supplementation in heart failure patients with vitamin D deficiency and its effects on clinical outcomes: A literature review. Cureus. 2020; 12: e10840.
- [9] Wang T, Liu Z, Fu J, Min Z. Meta-analysis of vitamin D supplementation in the treatment of chronic heart failure. Scandinavian Cardiovascular Journal. 2019; 53: 110–116.
- [10] Liu MY. Clinical significance of fat-soluble vitamins A, D, E and K. China Swine Industry. 2009; 4: 46. (In Chinese)
- [11] McCullough PJ, Lehrer DS, Amend J. Daily oral dosing of vitamin D3 using 5000 TO 50,000 international units a day in long-

term hospitalized patients: Insights from a seven year experience. The Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology. 2019; 189: 228–239.

- [12] Roizen JD, Long C, Casella A, O'Lear L, Caplan I, Lai M, et al. Obesity decreases hepatic 25-hydroxylase activity causing low serum 25-hydroxy vitamin D. Journal of Bone and Mineral Research. 2019; 34: 1068–1073.
- [13] Qadir MI, Rasul A, Akash MSH, Irfan M, Ibrahim MR, Hussain SB. Review: Importance of vitamin D in cancer management. Pakistan Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences. 2020; 33: 1711– 1718.
- [14] Guo J, Lovegrove JA, Givens DI. 25(OH)D3-enriched or fortified foods are more efficient at tackling inadequate vitamin D status than vitamin D3. The Proceedings of the Nutrition Society. 2018; 77: 282–291.
- [15] Rahman A, Hershey S, Ahmed S, Nibbelink K, Simpson RU. Heart extracellular matrix gene expression profile in the vitamin D receptor knockout mice. The Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology. 2007; 103: 416–419.
- [16] Gasmi A, Bjørklund G, Peana M, Mujawdiya PK, Pivina L, Ongenae A, *et al.* Phosphocalcic metabolism and the role of vitamin D, vitamin K2, and nattokinase supplementation. Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition. 2022; 62: 7062–7071.
- [17] Khammissa RAG, Fourie J, Motswaledi MH, Ballyram R, Lemmer J, Feller L. The biological activities of vitamin D and its receptor in relation to calcium and bone homeostasis, cancer, immune and cardiovascular systems, skin biology, and oral health. BioMed Research International. 2018; 2018: 9276380.
- [18] Saponaro F, Marcocci C, Zucchi R. Vitamin D status and cardiovascular outcome. Journal of Endocrinological Investigation. 2019; 42: 1285–1290.
- [19] Noh K, Chow ECY, Quach HP, Groothuis GMM, Tirona RG, Pang KS. Significance of the Vitamin D Receptor on Crosstalk with Nuclear Receptors and Regulation of Enzymes and Transporters. The AAPS Journal. 2022; 24: 71.
- [20] Lin L, Zhang L, Li C, Gai Z, Li Y. Vitamin D and Vitamin D receptor: new insights in the treatment of hypertension. Current Protein and Peptide Science. 2019; 20: 984–995.
- [21] Higgins JPT, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. British Medical Journal. 2003; 327: 557–560.
- [22] Khan MS, Li L, Yasmin F, Khan SU, Bajaj NS, Pandey A, et al. Assessment of Heterogeneity in Heart Failure-Related Meta-Analyses. Circulation. Heart Failure. 2020; 13: e007070.
- [23] Qu J, Wang Z, Song HB, Wang ZH. Clinical effects of vitamin D3 supplementation on cardiac function in patients with ischemic heart failure. Chinese and Foreign Medical Research. 2015; 13: 3–5.
- [24] Li SZ. Observation on the efficacy of vitamin D intervention in the treatment of chronic congestive heart failure in infants and children. Chinese Pediatrics of Integrated Traditional and Western Medicine. 2015; 7: 362–364. (In Chinese)
- [25] Wu H. Effect of vitamin D3 on cardiac function and cytokines in patients with chronic heart failure. National Medical Frontiers of China. 2011; 6: 55, 71. (In Chinese)
- [26] Schroten NF, Ruifrok WPT, Kleijn L, Dokter MM, Silljé HH, Lambers Heerspink HJ, *et al.* Short-term vitamin D3 supplementation lowers plasma renin activity in patients with stable chronic heart failure: an open-label, blinded end point, randomized prospective trial (VitD-CHF trial). American Heart Journal. 2013; 166: 357–364.e2.

- [27] Zittermann A, Ernst JB, Prokop S, Fuchs U, Gruszka A, Dreier J, et al. Vitamin D supplementation of 4000 IU daily and cardiac function in patients with advanced heart failure: The EVITA trial. International Journal of Cardiology. 2019; 280: 117–123.
- [28] Soad A Shedeed. Vitamin D supplementation in infants with chronic congestive heart failure. Pediatric Cardiology. 2012; 33: 713–719.
- [29] Witte KK, Byrom R, Gierula J, Paton MF, Jamil HA, Lowry JE ,et al. Effects of vitamin D on cardiac function in patients with chronic HF: The VINDICATE Study. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2016; 67: 2593–2603.
- [30] Boxer RS, Hoit BD, Schmotzer BJ, Stefano GT, Gomes A, Negrea L, *et al*. The effect of vitamin D on aldosterone and health status in patients with heart failure. Journal of Cardiac Failure. 2014; 20: 334–342.
- [31] Woo JS, Woo Y, Jang JY, Ha SJ. Effect of vitamin D on endothelial and ventricular function in chronic heart failure patients: A prospective, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. Medicine. 2022; 101: e29623.
- [32] Moretti HD, Colucci VJ, Berry BD. Vitamin D₃ repletion versus placebo as adjunctive treatment of heart failure patient quality of life and hormonal indices: a randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled trial. BMC Cardiovascular Disorders. 2017; 17: 274.
- [33] Weng S, Sprague JE, Oh J, Riek AE, Chin K, Garcia M, *et al.* Vitamin D deficiency induces high blood pressure and accelerates atherosclerosis in mice. PloS ONE. 2013; 8: e54625.
- [34] Achinger SG, Ayus JC. The role of vitamin D in left ventricular hypertrophy and cardiac function. Kidney International Supplements. 2005; 65: S37–S42.
- [35] Zou YF, Deng HZ, Liang X, Luo WY. Study on the correlation between vitamin D and left ventricular hypertrophy in hemodialysis patients. Journal of Clinical Nephrology. 2020; 20: 465– 469.
- [36] Chandra A, Picard MH, Huang S, Gupta DK, Agusala K, Buring JE, *et al.* Impact of Vitamin D3 Versus Placebo on Cardiac Structure and Function: A Randomized Clinical Trial. Journal of the American Heart Association. 2022; 11: e025008.
- [37] Siri-Angkul N, Dadfar B, Jaleel R, Naushad J, Parambathazhath J, Doye AA, *et al.* Calcium and Heart Failure: How Did We Get Here and Where Are We Going? International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 2021; 22: 7392.
- [38] Dai L, Liu M, Chen L. Association of Serum 25-hydroxy vitamin D Concentrations With All-Cause and Cause-Specific Mortality Among Adult Patients With Existing Cardiovascular Disease. Frontiers in Nutrition. 2021; 8: 740855.
- [39] Zittermann A, Ernst JB, Prokop S, Fuchs U, Dreier J, Kuhn J, et al. Effect of vitamin D on all-cause mortality in heart failure (EVITA): a 3-year randomized clinical trial with 4000 IU vitamin D daily. European Heart Journal. 2017; 38: 2279–2286.
- [40] Gaksch M, Jorde R, Grimnes G, Joakimsen R, Schirmer H, Wilsgaard T, et al. Vitamin D and mortality: Individual participant data meta-analysis of standardized 25-hydroxy vitamin D in 26916 individuals from a European consortium. PloS ONE. 2017; 12: e0170791.
- [41] Huang WL, Yang J, Yang J, Wang HB, Yang CJ, Yang Y. Vitamin D and new-onset atrial fibrillation: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Hellenic Journal of Cardiology. 2018; 59: 72–77.
- [42] Kusunose K, Okushi Y, Okayama Y, Zheng R, Abe M, Nakai M, et al. Association between Vitamin D and heart failure mortality in 10,974 hospitalized individuals. Nutrients. 2021; 13: 335.