

Original Research

Development of a Nomogram Based on Diffusion-Weighted Imaging and Clinical Information to Predict Delayed Encephalopathy after Acute Carbon Monoxide Poisoning

Shenghai Wang^{1,2,†}, Wenxuan Han^{1,†}, Tianze Sun¹, Hui Wang³, Zhenxian Zhang², Haining Li^{1,*}

¹Department of Medical Imaging, The First Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an Jiaotong University, 710061 Xi'an, Shaanxi, China ²Department of Medical Imaging, Yan'an People's Hospital of Shaanxi Province, 716000 Yan'an, Shaanxi, China

³School of Future Technology, Xi'an Jiaotong University, 710049 Xi'an, Shaanxi, China

*Correspondence: li9717@stu.xjtu.edu.cn (Haining Li)

[†]These authors contributed equally.

Academic Editor: Gernot Riedel

Submitted: 20 February 2023 Revised: 20 March 2023 Accepted: 6 April 2023 Published: 23 November 2023

Abstract

Background: Delayed encephalopathy after acute carbon monoxide poisoning (DEACMP) is a severe complication that can arise from acute carbon monoxide poisoning (ACOP). This study aims to identify the independent risk factors associated with DEACMP and to develop a nomogram to predict the probability of developing DEACMP. **Methods**: The data of patients diagnosed with ACOP between September 2015 and June 2021 were analyzed retrospectively. The patients were divided into the two groups: the DEACMP group and the non-DEACMP group. Univariate analysis and multivariate logistic regression analysis were conducted to identify the independent risk factors for DEACMP. Subsequently, a nomogram was constructed to predict the probability of DEACMP. **Results**: The study included 122 patients, out of whom 30 (24.6%) developed DEACMP. The multivariate logistic regression analysis revealed that acute high-signal lesions on diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI), duration of carbon monoxide (CO) exposure, and Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score were independent risk factors for DEACMP (Odds Ratio = 6.230, 1.323, 0.714, *p* < 0.05). Based on these indicators, a predictive nomogram was constructed. **Conclusions**: This study constructed a nomogram for predicting DEACMP using high-signal lesions on DWI and clinical indicators. The nomogram may serve as a dependable tool to differentiate high-risk patients and enable the provision of personalized treatment to lower the incidence of DEACMP.

Keywords: delayed encephalopathy after acute carbon monoxide poisoning; nomogram; diffusion weight imaging; prediction model; calibration curve; multivariate logistic regression

1. Introduction

The global prevalence of acute carbon monoxide poisoning (ACOP) is 137 cases per million people, with a mortality rate of 4.6 cases per million people [1]. ACOP can result in dysfunction of multiple organs, particularly the cardiovascular and central nervous systems. Carbon monoxide (CO) poisoning can result in non-specific symptoms, with mild exposure leading to headache, myalgia, dizziness, or neuropsychological impairment, while severe exposure can cause more serious symptoms such as confusion, loss of consciousness, or even death [2-4]. Following ACOP, most affected patients recover from the acute stage of poisoning. However, in some cases, patients may experience a recurrence of neuropsychiatric symptoms after a latent period of normal or near-normal neurological function, which is commonly referred to as the "lucid interval" and typically lasts for 3-60 days. These recurring symptoms are collectively known as delayed encephalopathy after acute carbon monoxide poisoning (DEACMP) [5].

Although the mortality rate from ACOP is decreasing, the major concern following carbon monoxide poisoning is the risk of DEACMP in survivors [6,7]. Care for patients with CO poisoning is not limited to preliminary measures. Accurate identification of patients with suspected neuropsychiatric injury, and the provision of drugs and rehabilitation therapy can help reduce the mortality of DEACMP [8]. Therefore, identifying risk factors and providing appropriate treatment are crucial in preventing DEACMP. Well-established independent risk factors for DEACMP include low initial Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score, CO exposure time, number of hyperbaric oxygen treatments (HBOT), and abnormal magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) findings during the acute phase [9-12]. Additionally, laboratory indicators such as leukocyte count, myocardial enzyme levels, and troponin I levels have been found to predict DEACMP [11,13,14]. A nomogram, an intuitive graphical prediction model, can integrate several risk factors to provide accurate and individualized risk predictions, thereby enabling a more individualized prediction of prognosis and risk assessment for each patient [15].



Copyright: © 2023 The Author(s). Published by IMR Press. This is an open access article under the CC BY 4.0 license.

Publisher's Note: IMR Press stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

However, currently, there is no accurate nomogram model available to assess the risk factors for DEACMP. Therefore, the aim of this study was to investigate whether acute high-signal lesions on diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI), routine blood test and clinical data characteristics could be identified as risk factors for DEACMP and to develop a nomogram for predicting the risk of DEACMP.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Study Design and Setting

The case-control study included patients with acute carbon monoxide poisoning admitted to the emergency department (ED) of Yan'an People's Hospital of Shaanxi Province from September 2015 to June 2021. This study was approved by the medical ethics committee of the hospital. The study was retrospective and the data extracted were anonymous, so the need for written informed consent was waived.

2.2 Participants

Our study included patients with ACOP who received treatment in the ED from September 2015 to June 2021.

The diagnostic criteria for ACOP were a positive qualitative result of carboxyhemoglobin (COHb) and an established history of CO exposure [7]. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (i) age 18–80, (ii) diagnosed as ACOP, (iii) suffered from ACOP for the first time, regardless of the cause of poisoning, (iv) did not participated in any other clinical studies within the last six months. Exclusion criteria were: (i) no MRI examination, (ii) persistent neurological symptoms at discharge, (iii) death in acute stage after poisoning, (iv) pregnant women, (v) transfer to hospital or discharge before assessment.

2.3 Management of Carbon Monoxide Poisoning

All ACOP patients who arrived in the ED were treated with 100% high-flow oxygen therapy through a face mask or mechanical ventilator following endotracheal intubation. Although emergency HBOT is not generally considered superior to atmospheric oxygen therapy to prevent these sequelae, many poisoned patients, even intentional poisoning, are treated with HBOT [16]. The main indications for HBOT were loss of consciousness, neurological dysfunction, cognitive dysfunction or severe acidosis [11]. Patients were treated with HBOT using a monoplace hyperbaric chamber. The total HBOT time was 90 min per session, and the target pressure was 2.5 standard atmospheres. Upon admission, all patients underwent conventional magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) scans to assess the severity of brain injury. However, MRI is not performed when patients or their agents disagree or when medical conditions prohibit MRI scanning. The MRI scans were performed using a 1.5 Tesla scanner (GE Signa HDe 1.5T superconducting magnetic resonance, 14519YR3, GE Healthcare Japan Corporation,

Tokyo, Japan). The MRI scans included DWI (repetition time (TR), 4450 ms; echo time (TE), 92.9 ms; field of view (FOV), 240 mm \times 240 mm; slice thickness, 6 mm; and interslice gap, 1 mm), T1-weighted imaging (T1WI) (TR, 1750 ms; TE, 24 ms; FOV, 240 mm \times 240 mm; slice thickness, 6 mm; and interslice gap, 1 mm), and T2-weighted imaging (T2WI) (TR, 5650 ms; TE, 150 ms; FOV, 240 mm \times 240 mm; slice thickness, 6 mm; and interslice gap, 1 mm). Two senior radiologists, who were blinded to the aim of the study, separately analyzed the imaging results.

2.4 Evaluation of DEACMP

Routinely, neurological and psychiatric evaluations were performed prior to discharge to screen for any potential neuropsychiatric disorders. Patients who did not exhibit neurological symptoms were informed of DEACMP symptoms prior to discharge and were required to visit the neurology department or ED for regular follow-ups after discharge. Furthermore, if any neurological symptoms arose before a scheduled visit, patients were advised to return to the hospital. Additionally, we conducted monthly telephone interviews with patients or their relatives to assess DEACMP. As reliable diagnostic criteria for DEACMP are currently lacking, we referred to previous studies [7,12,17]. The diagnostic criteria utilized in this study were the emergence of any new neurological symptoms or signs after recovery from neurocognitive symptoms resulting from acute CO poisoning. These symptoms included memory impairment, motor impairment, cognitive decline, dysarthria, Parkinson's disease, incontinence, and others [17]. Finally, based on the presence or absence of DEACMP, patients were classified into two groups: the DEACMP group and the non-DEACMP group.

2.5 Data Collection

We retrospectively collected data on all patients from the electronic case management system, including sex, age, smoking habits, time intervals (coma time, CO exposure time, time interval from poisoning to MRI, time interval from poisoning to ED, time interval from poisoning to HBOT), treatment modality, number of HBOT before MRI scan, heart rate (HR), GCS score upon arrival, and MRI findings. Additionally, initial laboratory data were analyzed. For HR and laboratory indicators, we categorized the results into normal or abnormal (increased or decreased).

2.6 Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics 18.0 (Version 18.0, IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) and R software (version 3.6, Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). Continuous variables were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation or as median and interquartile ranges (25th and 75th percentiles), depending on normality as assessed by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Differences between the two groups were



Fig. 1. Flow diagram of the process of patient inclusion and exclusion in this study. ACOP, acute carbon monoxide poisoning; GCS, Glasgow coma scale; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; DEACMP, delayed encephalopathy after carbon monoxide poisoning.

tested using either the Mann-Whitney U test or the Student's t-test, depending on normality. Count data were expressed as frequency (%), and the chi-square test or corrected chi-square test was used for between-group comparisons. Variables with a p < 0.05 in univariate analyses were included in multivariate logistic regression analysis using the forward stepwise elimination method to determine independent risk factors for DEACMP. A backward stepwise elimination method was further utilized for all analyses to confirm the predictive model. The odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) of the predictors were calculated. A two-tailed p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. To optimize the intuitiveness and convenience of the model for clinical application, factors with statistical significance were used to develop a nomogram using R software with the "rms" package. The calibration curve was used to evaluate the performance of the nomogram in clinical application [18]. To further quantitatively evaluate the identification performance of the nomogram, the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was plotted using the "ROCR" package in R software [19]. Furthermore, the clinical usefulness of the nomogram was evaluated using decision curve analysis (DCA) with the "rmda" package, which quantifies net benefits at different threshold probabilities. DCA also considers the benefits and potential harms of clinical decisions, thus helping clinicians to choose treatment modalities [20].

3. Results

A total of 181 patients with ACOP were initially identified. After applying inclusion and exclusion criteria, 122 patients were ultimately included in the study. Based on the presence or absence of DEACMP, 30 patients were assigned to the DEACMP group and 92 to the non-DEACMP group (Fig. 1).

3.1 Baseline Characteristics of the Patients

The baseline characteristics of the patients are presented in Table 1. Thirty (24.6%) of the 122 patients developed DEACMP. There was no statistical difference in sex, smoking habits, the time interval from poisoning to MRI or time interval from poisoning to first aid between the two groups. The initial GCS of the DEACMP group was significantly lower than that of the non-DEACMP group (p < 0.001). The CO exposure time and coma duration were significantly longer in the DEACMP group (p < 0.001). Of the 122 patients, three patients refused HBOT because they thought they did not need treatment. There was no statistical difference in the number of HBOT between the two groups. Laboratory tests revealed statistically significant differences only in white blood cell counts and hemoglobin levels between the two groups (p < 0.001; p= 0.032). Acute brain damage associated with CO poisoning was detected on DWI in 33 patients, and 26 of them developed DEACMP. Univariate analysis showed that acute

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of	patients and univariate analysis between the DEACMP and non-DEACMP gr	roups.
--------------------------------------	---	--------

Variable	Total (n = 122)	DEACMP $(n = 30)$	Non-DEACMP ($n = 92$)	<i>p</i> -value
Gender, n (%)				0.464
Male	46 (37.3%)	13 (43.3%)	33 (35.9%)	
Female	76 (62.3%)	17 (56.7%)	59 (64.1)	
Age, years	51.4 ± 17.0	58.5 ± 16.5	49.0 ± 16.6	0.008*
Current smoking, n (%)	28 (23.0%)	8 (26.7%)	20 (21.7%)	0.577
Coma duration, h	1.0 (0.0–7.0)	10.0 (6.0-20.0)	0.1 (0.0–2.0)	< 0.001*
CO exposure time, h	10.0 (5.0–11.0)	13.0 (10.0–19.0)	9.0 (5.0–10.0)	< 0.001*
Time interval from poisoning to ED, h	2.0 (1.0-10.0)	2.0 (1.0-3.0)	2.0 (1.0-13.0)	0.351
Time interval from poisoning to MRI, h	22.0 (14.0–96.0)	25.0 (12.0-96.0)	36.0 (24.0-96.0)	0.227
Time interval from poisoning to HBOT, h	8.5 (4.0-24.0)	6.0 (3.0–10.0)	11.5 (4.0–26.0)	0.010*
Treatment, n (%)				0.149
НВОТ	119 (97.5%)	28 (93.3%)	91 (98.9%)	
NBOT	3 (2.5%)	2 (6.7%)	1 (1.1%)	
Number of HBOT before MRI scan	2 (1–3)	2 (1–2)	2 (1-3)	0.073
HR (≥100/min) ^{<i>a</i>} , n (%)	17 (13.9%)	4 (13.3%)	13 (14.1%)	0.913
Initial GCS score	12.5 (6.0–14.3)	6.0 (4.8–7.0)	14.0 (10.0–15.0)	< 0.001*
Abnormal Routine Blood Tests ^a , n (%)				
WBC (<4 or >10 × $10^9/L$)	62 (50.8%)	24 (80.0%)	38 (41.3%)	< 0.001*
NEUT% (<50 or >70%)	75 (61.5%)	20 (66.7%)	55 (59.8%)	0.501
LYMPH% (<17 or >50%)	77 (63.1%)	21 (70.0%)	56 (60.9%)	0.368
MONO% (<3 or >10%)	24 (19.7%)	6 (20.0%)	18 (19.6%)	0.959
RBC (<4.5 or >5.5 × $10^9/L^b$; <3.5 or >5.0 × $10^9/L^c$)	14 (11.5%)	6 (20.0%)	8 (8.7%)	0.175
HB (<120 or >160 g/L ^b ; <110 or >150 g/L ^c)	45 (36.9%)	16 (53.3%)	29 (31.5%)	0.032*
$PLT(<100 \text{ or } >300 \times 10^9/L)$	12 (9.8%)	2 (6.7%)	10 (10.9%)	0.750
High signal lesion on DWI, n (%)	33 (27.0%)	26 (86.7%)	7 (7.6%)	< 0.001*
Hypertension, n (%)	24 (19.7%)	10 (33.3%)	14 (15.2%)	0.030*

Count data are expressed as frequency (%) and measurement data are expressed as means \pm standard deviations or medians and interquartile ranges (25th and 75th percentiles).

p-value*, statistically significant.

a, all the continuous variables were transformed into categorical variables and displayed as counts and percentages.

b, cut-off value men.

c, cut-off value women.

DEACMP, delayed encephalopathy after carbon monoxide poisoning; CO, carbon monoxide; ED, emergency department; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; HBOT, hyperbaric oxygen therapy; NBOT, 100% normobaric oxygen therapy; HR, heart rate; GCS, Glasgow coma scale; WBC, white blood cell; NEUT%, neutrophil percentage; LYMPH%, lymphocyte percentage; MONO%, monocyte percentage; RBC, red blood cell; HB, Hemoglobin; PLT, platelet; DWI, diffusion-weighted imaging.

high-signal lesions on DWI, age, coma duration, CO exposure time, the time interval from poisoning to HBOT, white blood cell count, hemoglobin levels, GCS score at admission and hypertension were related factors for DEACMP.

3.2 Multivariate Logistic Regression Analysis

Multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed with the statistically significant variables identified in the univariate analysis. The results revealed that acute high-signal lesions (OR 6.230, 95% CI 1.228–31.601, p =0.027), CO exposure time (OR 1.323, 95% CI 1.034–1.693, p = 0.026), and Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score at admission (OR 0.714, 95% CI 0.556–0.916, p = 0.008) were independent risk factors for DEACMP (Table 2). In summary, prolonged CO exposure time increases the likelihood of DEACMP. Patients with high-signal nodules on DWI have an approximately 6-fold increased risk of developing DEACMP. A lower initial GCS score is associated with a worse mental state after poisoning, and an increased likelihood of subsequent delayed neurological symptoms. As a result, the equation of the model predicting DEACMP is:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Logit}(p) &= -2.087 + 0.280 \times \text{CO exposure time } -0.337 \\ &\times \text{GCS score } +1.829 \times \text{ high signal lesion on DWI} \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

3.3 Construction and Evaluation of the Nomogram

Using CO exposure time, the presence of acute highsignal lesions on DWI, and GCS score at admission in multivariate analysis, a nomogram prediction model for

 Table 2. Multivariate logistic regression analysis of risk factors for DEACMP.

Variable	OR	95% CI	95% CI	n voluo
Vallable		(lower limit)	(upper limit)	<i>p</i> -value
CO exposure time	1.323	1.034	1.693	0.026
High signal lesion on DWI	6.230	1.228	31.601	0.027
GCS	0.714	0.556	0.916	0.008

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

DEACMP was developed (Fig. 2). The nomogram consists of 6 axes, with axes 2–4 representing each variable in the regression model. The performance of the nomogram was evaluated using the calibration curve and the ROC curve. The calibration curve demonstrated satisfactory consistency between the predicted probability of developing DEACMP by the nomogram and the actual probability of developing DEACMP (Fig. 3A). The ROC curve had an area under the curve (AUC) value of 0.959 (Fig. 3B), indicating good discrimination. DCA was used to evaluate the clinical efficacy of the predictive model, and the results showed that using the nomogram to predict DEACMP was more favorable than "no treatment" or "all treatment" strategies for threshold probabilities between 3% and 70% (Fig. 3C).



Fig. 2. Nomogram for predicting the probability of delayed encephalopathy after carbon monoxide poisoning. Each variable value is assigned a point, and the sum of points is converted to a probability on the lowest scale. CO, carbon monoxide; GCS, Glasgow coma scale.

4. Discussion

In this retrospective study, we constructed and validated a nomogram to forecast the occurrence of DEACMP after ACOP. The prediction model formulated in this study demonstrates good accuracy in anticipating the possibility of future DEACMP development. Moreover, the DCA provided evidence for the potential clinical advantages of implementing this nomogram.

DEACMP typically presents as a delayed onset of neurocognitive symptoms following apparent recovery from acute CO poisoning, usually occurring between 2-60 days of sobriety. The complex mechanism of DEACMP occurrence has yet to be fully understood, leading to differences in reported DEACMP incidence among various studies [21,22]. In our study, we observed that out of 122 patients with acute CO poisoning, 30 developed DEACMP, accounting for 24.6% of the total cases. Given the poor prognosis of DEACMP and its potential to significantly reduce patients' quality of life, predicting the occurrence of DEACMP with high sensitivity is crucial to prevent the disease from worsening. Through the analysis of suspected risk factors for DEACMP, our study identified high signal lesions on DWI, GCS score, and CO exposure time as independent risk factors for DEACMP. These findings are consistent with those of previous studies [7,17,21,23].

Several studies have suggested that high signal nodules on DWI can be used as an indirect marker for acute brain lesions and may predict the risk of DEACMP [7,12, 24]. Jeon et al. [12] confirmed through multivariate analysis that altered mental state, prolonged CO exposure, and acute brain lesions were all independently associated with DEACMP. They also found that patients with high-signal nodules on DWI during the acute phase of poisoning had a 14-fold higher risk of DEACMP compared to patients without such nodules, regardless of their pattern, location, or region involved [12]. Another study indicated that patients with abnormal DWI results were six times more likely to develop DEACMP than those with normal results [7]. Accompanied by an increased prevalence of CO-induced hypoxic consequences during the acute phase, a more pronounced demyelination of cerebral white matter emerges, representing a pathological hallmark of DEACMP [25,26]. Cognitive dysfunction constitutes the quintessential manifestation in patients afflicted with DEACMP [27]. Initial research accentuated the importance of not overlooking microvascular and diminutive macrovascular lesions within the purview of cognitive decline studies and interventions, as they represent the pivotal pathological element exacerbating cognitive deterioration [28,29]. In this context, Parkinson and colleagues discovered that carbon monoxide poisoning can lead to brain injury, characterized by white matter hyperintensities in early-phase conventional MRI, with centrum semiovale hyperintensities being associated with poorer cognitive performance [30]. Therefore, based on our own study and previous research, it can be inferred that high-signal nodules on DWI have the potential to serve as a valuable screening tool for patients at a high risk of DEACMP, as they predict its occurrence more accurately by reflecting the extent of brain tissue injury. Moreover,



Fig. 3. Evaluation of the nomogram. (A) Calibration curve of actual and predicted probabilities. The x-axis is the predicted probabilities measured by the final logistic regression model and the y-axis is the actual probabilities. (B) The ROC curve of the prediction model shows the predictive ability of DEACMP. The AUC value is 0.96. (C) Decision curve analysis for the nomogram. DEACMP, delayed encephalopathy after carbon monoxide poisoning; ROC, receiver operating characteristic; AUC, the area under the ROC curve.

due to the objectivity and high accuracy of DWI imaging and the simplicity of the imaging process, it is widely available in any hospital with MRI scanning equipment. In conclusion, as a powerful predictive tool for DEACMP, DWI has unique advantages. Further research is necessary to elucidate the complex mechanism underlying high-signal nodules and to establish a more solid relationship between high signal lesions on DWI and DEACMP.

A previous study has found that exposure to CO for a duration exceeding 6 hours increases the risk of DEACMP (OR, 1.28; 95% CI, 0.09–2.9) [11]. Additionally, another study conducted by Zhang *et al.* [31] concluded that patients exposed to CO for more than 4.8 hours had an increased possibility of developing DEACMP, as determined by ROC analysis. The findings of the current study are consistent with previous studies and suggest that prolonged CO exposure in patients increases the probability of DEACMP occurrence. As with other toxic gas poisonings, the extent

of damage inflicted on the body due to CO poisoning mainly depends on the concentration of CO in the air and the duration of CO inhalation. A prospective observational study has suggested that shortening the duration of CO exposure may reduce the risk of DEACMP [7]. Thus, prolonged CO exposure is considered an independent risk factor for DEACMP.

In our study, the GCS score was found to be an independent risk factor for the development of DEACMP. The GCS score reflects the patient's level of consciousness, with lower scores indicating poorer mental status and higher risk for future complications. Currently, various studies employ different GCS thresholds to evaluate the risk of DEACMP [9]. Furthermore, according to Zhang *et al.*'s study [31], both the GCS score at the exposure site and the GCS score in the emergency room were found to be predictors of DEACMP, with cut-off values of 7.5 and 10.5, respectively. The GCS score at the exposure site may be a more sensitive indicator of DEACMP, with a higher Youden index, compared to the GCS score in the emergency room. However, the majority of studies have only evaluated GCS scores after patients arrived at the hospital, with a cut-off value ranging between 9 and 13 [11,32]. While one study did evaluate both on-site and emergency room GCS scores, the latter had a lower AUC (0.90 vs. 0.86) [31]. Several researchers have proposed that DEACMP is more likely to occur in patients with a GCS score of less than 9 [11,33]. Thus, it is recommended that all first aid personnel become familiar with and conduct the GCS score assessment in the event of a suspected case.

However, some limitations should be noted. First of all, our study was a single-center study based on retrospective analysis, which may suffer from small sample size and selection bias. Secondly, there is no standardized diagnostic tool for DEACMP at present. More importantly, it is possible that we did not follow the patient long enough for the symptoms of DEACMP to occur during this period. Thirdly, the 6 mm slice thickness of the DWI may have caused us to miss some small nodules. In addition, our laboratory analysis of carboxyhemoglobin utilized a qualitative assessment method, and we did not have quantitative data on carboxyhemoglobin for our subjects. As a result, multicenter, large-scale randomized, double-blind trials may be required in the future to corroborate our findings.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, this study has developed a nomogram that utilizes clinical indicators and high-signal lesions on DWI to predict the likelihood of DEACMP. This nomogram may serve as a dependable tool to identify patients at high risk for DEACMP and allow for personalized treatment to reduce its incidence.

Availability of Data and Materials

The datasets generated for this study are available on request to the corresponding author.

Author Contributions

SW and WH designed the research study. SW performed the research. ZZ and TS provided help on data collection. HL and HW analyzed the data. SW and WH wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to editorial changes in the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. All authors have participated sufficiently in the work and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Ethics Committee of Yan'an People's Hospital of Shaanxi Province (study

🐞 IMR Press

no. 2021-01-021). The study was retrospective and the data were anonymous, so the need for written informed consent was waived.

Acknowledgment

We would like to thank the investigators for collecting the data and all patients for their cooperation.

Funding

This research received no external funding.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Mattiuzzi C, Lippi G. Worldwide epidemiology of carbon monoxide poisoning. Human & Experimental Toxicology. 2020; 39: 387–392.
- [2] Rose JJ, Wang L, Xu Q, McTiernan CF, Shiva S, Tejero J, *et al.* Carbon Monoxide Poisoning: Pathogenesis, Management, and Future Directions of Therapy. American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine. 2017; 195: 596–606.
- [3] Weaver LK. Clinical practice. Carbon monoxide poisoning. The New England Journal of Medicine. 2009; 360: 1217–1225.
- [4] Yelmo-Cruz S, Dorta-González JF, Tascón-Cervera JJ. Delayed Neuropsychiatric Syndrome after Carbon Monoxide Poisoning. Actas Espanolas de Psiquiatria. 2022; 50: 65–67.
- [5] Zhao N, Liang P, Zhuo X, Su C, Zong X, Guo B, et al. After Treatment with Methylene Blue is Effective against Delayed Encephalopathy after Acute Carbon Monoxide Poisoning. Basic & Clinical Pharmacology & Toxicology. 2018; 122: 470–480.
- [6] Moon JM, Chun BJ, Lee SD, Jung EJ. Serum neuron-specific enolase levels at presentation and long-term neurological sequelae after acute charcoal burning-induced carbon monoxide poisoning. Clinical Toxicology (Philadelphia, Pa.). 2018; 56: 751– 758.
- [7] Sert ET, Kokulu K, Mutlu H. Clinical predictors of delayed neurological sequelae in charcoal-burning carbon monoxide poisoning. The American Journal of Emergency Medicine. 2021; 48: 12–17.
- [8] Borras L, Constant E, De Timary P, Huguelet P, Khazaal Y. Long-term psychiatric consequences of carbon monoxide poisoning: a case report and literature review. La Revue De Medecine Interne. 2009; 30: 43–48.
- [9] Yang S, Liu H, Peng Q, Li J, Liu Q. Predicting scale of delayed neuropsychiatric sequelae in patients with acute carbon monoxide poisoning: A retrospective study. The American Journal of Emergency Medicine. 2022; 52: 114–118.
- [10] Lee H, Kang H, Ko BS, Oh J, Lim TH, Cho Y. Initial creatine kinase level as predictor for delayed neuropsychiatric sequelae associated with acute carbon monoxide poisoning. The American Journal of Emergency Medicine. 2021; 43: 195–199.
- [11] Pepe G, Castelli M, Nazerian P, Vanni S, Del Panta M, Gambassi F, et al. Delayed neuropsychological sequelae after carbon monoxide poisoning: predictive risk factors in the Emergency Department. A retrospective study. Scandinavian Journal of Trauma, Resuscitation and Emergency Medicine. 2011; 19: 16.
- [12] Jeon SB, Sohn CH, Seo DW, Oh BJ, Lim KS, Kang DW, et al. Acute Brain Lesions on Magnetic Resonance Imaging and Delayed Neurological Sequelae in Carbon Monoxide Poisoning. JAMA Neurology. 2018; 75: 436–443.

- [13] Kao HK, Lien TC, Kou YR, Wang JH. Assessment of myocardial injury in the emergency department independently predicts the short-term poor outcome in patients with severe carbon monoxide poisoning receiving mechanical ventilation and hyperbaric oxygen therapy. Pulmonary Pharmacology & Therapeutics. 2009; 22: 473–477.
- [14] Kudo K, Otsuka K, Yagi J, Sanjo K, Koizumi N, Koeda A, et al. Predictors for delayed encephalopathy following acute carbon monoxide poisoning. BMC Emergency Medicine. 2014; 14: 3.
- [15] Ohori Tatsuo Gondo And Riu Hamada M, Gondo T, Hamada R. Nomogram as predictive model in clinical practice. Gan To Kagaku Ryoho. 2009; 36: 901–906. (In Japanese)
- [16] Costanza A, Ambrosetti J, Spagnoli P, Amerio A, Aguglia A, Serafini G, *et al.* Urgent hyperbaric oxygen therapy for suicidal carbon monoxide poisoning: from a preliminary survey to a proposal for an integrated somatic-psychiatric protocol. International Journal of Emergency Medicine. 2020; 13: 61.
- [17] Kim YS, Cha YS, Kim MS, Kim HJ, Lee YS, Youk H, et al. The usefulness of diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging performed in the acute phase as an early predictor of delayed neuropsychiatric sequelae in acute carbon monoxide poisoning. Human & Experimental Toxicology. 2018; 37: 587–595.
- [18] Kramer AA, Zimmerman JE. Assessing the calibration of mortality benchmarks in critical care: The Hosmer-Lemeshow test revisited. Critical Care Medicine. 2007; 35: 2052–2056.
- [19] Harrell FE, Jr, Lee KL, Califf RM, Pryor DB, Rosati RA. Regression modelling strategies for improved prognostic prediction. Statistics in Medicine. 1984; 3: 143–152.
- [20] Vickers AJ, Elkin EB. Decision curve analysis: a novel method for evaluating prediction models. Medical Decision Making: an International Journal of the Society for Medical Decision Making. 2006; 26: 565–574.
- [21] Nah S, Choi S, Kim HB, Lee J, Lee SU, Lee YH, et al. Cerebral White Matter Lesions on Diffusion-Weighted Images and Delayed Neurological Sequelae after Carbon Monoxide Poisoning: A Prospective Observational Study. Diagnostics (Basel, Switzerland). 2020; 10: 698.
- [22] Jung JW, Lee JH. Serum lactate as a predictor of neurologic outcome in ED patients with acute carbon monoxide poisoning. The American Journal of Emergency Medicine. 2019; 37: 823–827.
- [23] Moon JM, Chun BJ, Baek BH, Hong YJ. Initial diffusionweighted MRI and long-term neurologic outcomes in charcoalburning carbon monoxide poisoning. Clinical Toxicology

(Philadelphia, Pa.). 2018; 56: 161-169.

- [24] Kokulu K, Mutlu H, Sert ET. Serum netrin-1 levels at presentation and delayed neurological sequelae in unintentional carbon monoxide poisoning. Clinical Toxicology (Philadelphia, Pa.). 2020; 58: 1313–1319.
- [25] Kwon OY, Chung SP, Ha YR, Yoo IS, Kim SW. Delayed postanoxic encephalopathy after carbon monoxide poisoning. Emergency Medicine Journal: EMJ. 2004; 21: 250–251.
- [26] Kim JH, Chang KH, Song IC, Kim KH, Kwon BJ, Kim HC, et al. Delayed encephalopathy of acute carbon monoxide intoxication: diffusivity of cerebral white matter lesions. AJNR. American Journal of Neuroradiology. 2003; 24: 1592–1597.
- [27] Liu J, Si Z, Liu J, Lin Y, Yuan J, Xu S, *et al.* Clinical and Imaging Prognosis in Patients with Delayed Encephalopathy after Acute Carbon Monoxide Poisoning. Behavioural Neurology. 2020; 2020: 1719360.
- [28] Costanza A, Xekardaki A, Kövari E, Gold G, Bouras C, Giannakopoulos P. Microvascular burden and Alzheimer-type lesions across the age spectrum. Journal of Alzheimer's Disease: JAD. 2012; 32: 643–652.
- [29] Dewenter A, Jacob MA, Cai M, Gesierich B, Hager P, Kopczak A, et al. Disentangling the effects of Alzheimer's and small vessel disease on white matter fibre tracts. Brain: a Journal of Neurology. 2023; 146: 678–689.
- [30] Parkinson RB, Hopkins RO, Cleavinger HB, Weaver LK, Victoroff J, Foley JF, *et al.* White matter hyperintensities and neuropsychological outcome following carbon monoxide poisoning. Neurology. 2002; 58: 1525–1532.
- [31] Zhang Y, Lu Q, Jia J, Xiang D, Xi Y. Multicenter retrospective analysis of the risk factors for delayed neurological sequelae after acute carbon monoxide poisoning. The American Journal of Emergency Medicine. 2021; 46: 165–169.
- [32] Xu P, Wang Y, Cao L, Huang W, Zhang J, Gao X, et al. Glasgow Coma Scale is a better delayed neurological sequelae risk factor than neurological examination abnormalities in carbon monoxide poisoning. The American Journal of Emergency Medicine. 2020; 38: 2468–2469.
- [33] Han S, Choi S, Nah S, Lee SU, Cho YS, Kim GW, *et al.* Cox regression model of prognostic factors for delayed neuropsychiatric sequelae in patients with acute carbon monoxide poisoning: A prospective observational study. Neurotoxicology. 2021; 82: 63–68.