

The role of lipids in host microbe interactions

Roland Lang¹, Jochen Mattner¹

¹*Mikrobiologisches Institut, Klinische Mikrobiologie, Immunologie und Hygiene, Universitätsklinikum Erlangen and Friedrich-Alexander Universität (FAU) Erlangen-Nürnberg, Wasserturmstr. 3/5, D-91054 Erlangen, Germany*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Abstract
2. Introduction
3. Role of host lipids
 - 3.1. Role of host lipids in phagosome maturation
 - 3.2. Role of host lipids in autophagy
 - 3.3. Role of host lipids in signaling processes
4. Recognition of microbial lipids by distinct receptors
 - 4.1. Lipid reactive receptors on myeloid cells
 - 4.1.1. Lipopolysaccharide (LPS) and TLR4
 - 4.1.2. Lipoteichoic acid (LTA) and TLR2
 - 4.1.3. Trehalose-6,6'-dimycolate (TDM) Cord factor) and mincle
 - 4.2. Lipid reactive receptors on lymphoid cells
 - 4.2.1. Lipid-reactive T cells
 - 4.2.2. CD1d-restricted NKT cells
5. Summary and perspective
6. Acknowledgements
7. References

1. ABSTRACT

Lipids are one of the major subcellular constituents and serve as signal molecules, energy sources, metabolic precursors and structural membrane components in various organisms. The function of lipids can be modified by multiple biochemical processes such as (de-)phosphorylation or (de-)glycosylation, and the organization of fatty acids into distinct cellular pools and subcellular compartments plays a pivotal role for the morphology and function of various cell populations. Thus, lipids regulate, for example, phagosome formation and maturation within host cells and thus, are critical for the elimination of microbial pathogens. Vice versa, microbial pathogens can manipulate the lipid composition of phagosomal membranes in host cells, and thus avoid their delivery to phagolysosomes. Lipids of microbial origin belong also to the strongest and most versatile inducers of mammalian immune responses upon engagement of distinct receptors on myeloid and lymphoid cells. Furthermore, microbial lipid toxins can induce membrane injuries and cell death. Thus, we will review here selected examples for mutual host-microbe

interactions within the broad and divergent universe of lipids in microbial defense, tissue injury and immune evasion.

2. INTRODUCTION

Lipids are membrane-bound compounds found in all living organisms. They might exist in complex forms, for example linked to polysaccharides or in simple free forms. Thus, lipid structures are very divergent and range, to name a few, from saturated or unsaturated fatty acids to glyco- (sphingo-) lipids, phosphoglycerolipids, glycerophospholipids, lysophospholipids or cholesterol esters. Glycerophospholipids represent the main structural constituent, particularly within the inner leaflet of biological membranes and thus, form the main barrier between the cell's interior and its environment. Depending on the cell type, the lipid composition of each leaflet can significantly vary. Plasmalogens, a type of phosphoglyceride, for example, are key

components of muscle and nerve membranes. The cytosolic leaflet side in the plasma membrane of human erythrocytes consists instead mainly of phosphatidylethanolamine, phosphatidylserine and phosphatidylinositol in contrast to the *exoplasmic* side which predominantly contains phosphatidylcholine and sphingomyelin. While plasma membranes of animal cells consist also of cholesterol, cholesterol is not part of bacterial or mitochondrial membranes (1), which originate from alphaproteobacteria (endosymbiont theory).

Many lipids and glycolipids are also found in the cell wall of bacteria and fungi. Bacteria, for example, have distinct cell walls. The envelope of Gram-negative bacteria such as *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella enterica*, is composed of two distinct lipid membranes. While the inner membrane consists similar as in mammalian cells mainly of glycerophospholipids such as phosphatidylethanolamine, phosphatidylglycerol and cardiolipin, the highly distinctive outer membrane is an asymmetric bilayer; its outer leaflet contains in most species predominantly lipopolysaccharides (LPS) (2). However, there exists several Gram negative bacterial genera that do not contain any LPS. These include *Ehrlichia*, which utilize, for example, the host's cholesterol for their membranes (3, 4) or *Sphingomonas* species, which replace LPS by Glycosphingolipids (5-7). Other bacteria such as *Streptococci*, *Borrelia* or *Mycobacteria* express also different and distinct lipids in their cell walls. Those include diacylglycerols and cholesteryl galactosides in *Streptococci* (8) and *Borrelia* (9, 10). Major classes of mycobacterial glycolipids are glycopeptidolipids, trehalose containing lipooligosaccharides, lipoarabinomannan and phenolic glycolipids, which represent highly immunogenic antigens (11, 12). In contrast to Gram-negative bacteria, teichoic acids are major constituents of the cell wall of most Gram-positive bacteria. They can be linked to the peptidoglycan layer, but also to lipid anchors and are referred to then as lipoteichoic acids (LTA). Similar as observed for LPS in Gram-negative bacteria, the structure of LTA varies also between the different species of Gram-positive bacteria.

Over the years, lipids have not only been perceived as structural component of membrane bilayers or efficient energy stores, but are also now recognized as signaling molecules and mediators of apoptosis or inflammation. Furthermore, lipids play an important role in microbial pathogenesis and even act as virulence factors. Thus, lipids influence the immune system and host-microbe interactions in multiple ways. Lipids regulate, for example, phagosome formation, antigen presentation or cytokine production. Many of the cell populations expressing lipid-reactive receptors share innate (-like) immune features. Here, we will

describe the function of distinct immune cells with specific lipid-reactive receptors and the effects of undirected lipid actions on a few examples.

3. ROLE OF HOST LIPIDS

As indicated above, lipids contribute to many (patho-)physiological processes and are part of complex signaling and functional networks with multiple nodes of interaction and cross-regulation. Due to their specific molecular structure, tissue location, modifications and embedding into cellular components/networks, lipids exert distinct functions. Thus, for example, ceramides and sphingosines act pro-apoptotic and antiproliferative; however, when sphingosine is converted into sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P), cell growth and proliferation are promoted (13-17). Similar as sphingosine, lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) binds to a family of G protein coupled receptors and thus, functions in an autocrine and paracrine manner (18, 19). In addition, LPA, together with specific eicosanoids, binds to lipid-sensing transcription activators and thus, can modulate the repression of genes encoding, for example, fibrinogen or IFN-gamma (20-23). Imbalances in these pathways contribute to the pathogenesis and progression of multiple diseases (13). Despite the before mentioned additional functions of lipids within host cells, we will confine our discussion to lipid-mediated mechanisms of microbe digestion and immune cell signaling.

3.1. Role of host lipids in phagosome maturation

Phagocytosis is a pivotal mechanism for the removal of microbial pathogens and cell debris from the body. Phagocytes such as macrophages or neutrophilic granulocytes engulf microbial invaders or apoptotic cells into plasma membrane-derived phagosomes. These phagosome sequentially fuse with lysosomes as well as early or late endosomes and mature into phagolysosomes. This maturation process represents a carefully choreographed sequence of membrane fusion and fission events, which is coupled to the acquisition and activation of a panoply of oxidative, acidifying and hydrolytic enzymes, leading to the elimination of the ingested microbes or apoptotic cells (24, 25).

Lipids are known to exert numerous roles in phagosome formation and maturation. Notably phosphoinositides are involved in signaling, actin assembly and the cytoskeletal rearrangements that underlie engulfment. In addition, phosphoinositides and other lipids such as lysobisphosphatidic acid (LBPA) or cholesterol contribute to the regulation of multiple membrane budding, fission and fusion events required for maturation. Some bacterial

pathogens such as *Listeria*, *Mycobacterium*, *Shigella*, *Salmonella*, *Legionella* and *Yersinia* manipulate the phosphoinositide (PIP) composition of phagosomal membranes and are not delivered to phagolysosomes, pointing at an emerging role of PIPs in phagosome maturation (26-28).

The recognition of lipids from dying cells is also critical for the initiation of phagocytosis by macrophages or neutrophils. An exposure of phospholipids such as phosphatidylserine (PS) or phosphatidylethanolamine (PE) outside the cell membrane is a major factor in the recognition of apoptotic cells by phagocytes, involving membrane-bound receptors, such as the PS-receptor (29) or scavenger receptors (30, 31), and soluble opsonins which can connect apoptotic cells with phagocytes (32).

3.2. Role of host lipids in autophagy

Autophagy plays a critical role in the physiology of cells: it protects them against starvation, enables them to recycle nutrients from digested organelles or macromolecules and removes damaged or aberrantly folded proteins. Thus, similar like phagocytosis autophagy contributes to the maintenance of tissue homeostasis. Accordingly, perturbation(s) of autophagy have been linked to various disorders (33, 34).

While there exist different forms of autophagy – macroautophagy, microautophagy and chaperone-mediated autophagy – we will focus on macroautophagy, which represents the most prevalent form of autophagy (35). The most distinguishing feature of macroautophagy is the formation of a double-membrane bound autophagosome. In particular, the characterization of autophagy (Atg) genes and the respective encoded proteins was not only used to mark the autophagosome, but led also to the identification of four major complexes required for the formation of the autophagosome: a) the Atg1/Unc-51-like kinase (ULK) complex, a key signaling intermediate for the target of rapamycin (TOR); (b) the autophagy-specific class III phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) complexes, which are critical for the formation and maturation of the autophagosome; (ic) the transmembrane protein Atg9/mAtg9 and its trafficking machinery; and (d) the ubiquitin-like proteins Atg12 and Atg8/LC3 and their conjugation machinery leading to the lipidation of Atg8/LC3 with phosphatidylethanolamine (PE) (36-38).

Although these major complexes consist predominantly of proteins, lipids and lipid metabolizing enzymes have been increasingly implicated in the control of biochemical processes and membrane remodeling underlying autophagic processes. First, phosphatidylinositol-3,4,5-trisphosphate, for

example, the product of class I PI3Ks, regulates signaling cascades converging onto the mammalian TOR (mTOR) pathway, which, in turn, negatively regulates the initiation of autophagy (39). Second, lipids such as PI3P act as membrane-bound localized signals controlling membrane dynamics due to the recruitment of cytosolic protein effectors that mediate membrane deformation, expansion and vesicle transport and thus control the biogenesis and maturation of the autophagosome (40, 41). Third, covalent binding of amine-containing phospholipids, such as PE, to Atg8/LC3 family members confers a unique mode of regulation by stably anchoring these critical factors to the membrane of phagophores that mediate their elongation and closure (36). Finally, lipids directly affect the physicochemical properties of lipid bilayers independently of proteins. Examples of this regulation of membrane dynamics include phosphatidic acid (PA), diacylglycerol or cholesterol, which promotes or stabilizes membrane bilayers (38).

3.3. Role of host lipids in signaling processes

Lipids are part of complex signaling networks and thus, regulate profound physiological responses. Lipids with signaling function such as eicosanoids, phosphoinositides, sphingolipids or fatty acids control cell growth, proliferation, apoptosis, metabolism and motility (13, 42-44). Vice versa, extracellular signals from cytokines, growth factors and nutrients modify the activity of several pivotal lipid-modifying enzymes such as phospholipases, prostaglandin synthase, 5-lipoxygenase, phosphoinositide 3-kinase, sphingosine kinase and sphingomyelinase (13) and thus the availability of the respective signaling lipids.

A modulation of lipids or lipid-metabolizing enzymes which influence inflammatory processes has been a target for therapeutic intervention for centuries. The pharmacological inhibition of the enzyme cyclooxygenase-2 (Cox-2), which catabolizes the formation of inflammatory lipid mediators such as prostaglandins, represents the best-known example in this context. In contrast, other arachidonic acid derivatives such as lipoxins exert anti-inflammatory and pro-resolution activities. Thus, the goal would be to amplify the availability of these promising molecules in the context of an anti-inflammatory therapy. However, metabolic pathways that induce adverse (side) effects as well as cross-regulatory or para-/autocrine effects as exemplified for sphingosine above, need to be carefully considered. Thus, in addition to the biosynthesis of lipoxins by host cells, microbes might contribute. For example, pathogens such as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* or *Toxoplasma gondii* express the enzyme 15-lipoxygenase which can metabolize linoleic acid,

alpha-linolenic acid, gamma-linolenic acid, arachidonic acid, eicosapentaenoic acid, and/or docosahexaenoic acid as physiological substrates. Thus, microbes potentially interact with endogenous biosynthetic circuits of the host in multiple ways and thus can divert the host's immune defence (45).

Glycosphingolipids represent another group of lipids which transmit multiple signals. Glycosphingolipids in combination with protein receptors are organized in glycolipoprotein microdomains termed lipid rafts (46, 47). These specialized membrane microdomains compartmentalize cellular processes by serving as organizing centers for the assembly of signaling molecules, influencing membrane fluidity and membrane protein trafficking, and regulating neurotransmission and receptor trafficking (47, 48). Lipid rafts are more ordered and tightly packed than the surrounding bilayer, but freely float within the membrane bilayer (49). Although more common in plasma membrane, lipid rafts have also been reported in other parts of the cell, such as the Golgi apparatus and the lysosomes.

The importance and complexity of signaling through lipid rafts is exemplified here on mast cells, one of the key cell populations in allergic and anaphylactic immune reactions. Complexes consisting of antigen and immunoglobulin E (IgE) complexes, for example, trigger the crosslinking of high-affinity IgE receptors and their association with lipid rafts on mast cells, initiating a cascade of protein tyrosine kinases. These phosphorylate immunoreceptor tyrosine-based activation motifs (ITAMs) on the cytosolic part of the IgE receptor and cause the translocation of the kinase Syk through its two Src-homology-2 (SH2) domains (50). Further phosphorylation of membrane-anchored proteins (such as linker for activation of T cells (LAT) and non-T-cell activation linker (NTAL)) and soluble adaptor proteins (such as GAB2) starts a first wave of lipid signalling by recruitment of so-called class IA PI3K heterodimers that comprise the regulatory subunit p85 and catalytic subunit of PI3K (PI3K_c).

The catalytic subunit of PI3K δ (p110 δ) has an important role downstream of the IgE receptor (51), but it also relays signals from the B-cell receptor and, to a lesser extent, the T-cell receptor (52). Elevation of levels of PtdIns(3,4,5)P₃ by PI3K is crucial for the progression of antigen receptor signalling because the lipid recruits and activates proteins that contain pleckstrin homology (PH) domains - among them, Bruton's tyrosine kinase (Btk) and PLC γ . Activation of PLC γ through the generation of Ins(1,4,5)P₃ causes Ca²⁺ release from internal stores. Usually, overstimulation of immune cells at low antigen concentrations is prevented by the SH2-domain-containing inositol 5-phosphatase-1 (SHIP1), which reduces the levels of PtdIns(3,4,5)P₃ (53).

4. RECOGNITION OF LIPIDS BY DISTINCT RECEPTORS

4.1. Lipid reactive receptors on myeloid cells

Lipid structures on the microbial surface are directly accessible to macrophages and other phagocytes during the initial stages of phagocytosis. Thus, it is therefore not surprising that several microbial glycolipids function as pathogen associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) and induce innate immune activation upon recognition by transmembrane pattern recognition receptors on the surface of myeloid cells. Several Toll-like receptors (TLR) sense lipid-containing PAMPs, e.g. TLR4 which binds lipopolysaccharide (LPS) in cooperation with MD2, and TLR2 which is activated by mycobacterial lipoarabinomannan (LAM) (54, 55) or lipoteichoic acid (LTA). Another important group of cell surface-localized PRR are Syk-coupled signaling C-type lectin receptors (CLR) of the Dectin-1 and Dectin-2 families (56). While Dectin-1, the founding member of this group, binds beta-glucans from fungal and bacterial cell walls (57), several other signaling CLR directly interact with lipid-containing ligands found in bacterial, mycobacterial and fungal cell walls.

Glycolipids represent another interesting group of biological active lipids. As amphiphilic components of cell membranes, glycolipids are composed of a hydrophilic polar sugar headgroup and a hydrophobic apolar lipid moiety which anchors the molecule in the cell membrane. According to their detailed chemical structure, glycolipids exert a variety of biological functions in the recognition, adhesion and signaling of cells and influence membrane parameters such as domain formation (lipid rafts) similar as glycosphingolipids. While mammalian glycolipids might serve as transmitters and storage elements of information, glycolipids of microbial origin belong to the strongest activators of immune responses (58). Although lipids interfere with numerous pathways and processes, we will confine here our discussion to three prominent lipid-receptor interactions.

4.1.1. Lipopolysaccharide (LPS) and TLR4

Lipopolysaccharides (LPS) are not only the key components of the Gram-negative cell wall, but are also required for the survival, the virulence or the immunogenicity of many Gram-negative bacteria. Once released from the bacterial cell wall, LPS elicits strong immunogenic responses and triggers, for example, the release of many inflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNFalpha) and interleukin-1beta (IL-1beta). Therefore LPS has been implicated as etiological agent for a variety of pathologies including septic shock, organ failure and death (59).

The common basic structure of LPS consists of three distinct components: a covalently bound, hydrophobic lipid component, termed lipid A and a hydrophilic heteropolysaccharide unit consisting of a core region and an O-specific oligosaccharide (O antigen) which is highly variable and antigenic. The main virulence determinant of LPS resides within lipid A, which is recognized as part of the innate immune response (60).

Lipid A ties the LPS molecule to the bacterial cell membrane, while the polysaccharide component interacts with the external environment. Lipid A is a unique and distinctive phosphoglycolipid; its structure is highly conserved among most bacterial species. However, there exist exceptions from the common basic structure in *Helicobacter pylori* (61-64), different *Francisella* species (65-67) or *Yersinia pestis* (68-70). The respective modifications within the lipid A structure influence the biological activity and toxicity of LPS and thus, promote the pathogenesis of all three microorganisms. These variations in the fine structure can arise from the degree of phosphorylation, the type of hexosamine present, the chain length, the presence of phosphate substituents as well as the number and the position of the acyl groups.

In each bacterial species, the heteropolysaccharide unit consists of two parts - an inner core region and an outer 'O-specific' chain. This 'O-specific' chain is a complex oligosaccharide polymer, which determines the serological and/or antigenic specificity of the respective lipopolysaccharide. For example, bacterial colonies expressing the 'O-specific' chain appear 'smooth'. In contrast, the 'rough' LPS type lacks the O-specific chain, while a 'semi-rough' or short-chain type contains only one O-chain repeating unit. The O-chains or O antigens of LPS constitute the basis for the serotype classification of bacterial genera, as they interact with the immune system of the host protecting the bacterium against its defense mechanisms or against antibiotic actions. However, when separated from lipid A, the O antigens do not display any endotoxic activity.

During bacterial replication, death and/or destruction, heat-stable lipopolysaccharides are released. Those have been named endotoxins and can be differentiated from heat-labile exotoxins released by live bacteria. In particular, lipid A perpetuates the toxic effects observed during infections with Gram-negative bacteria. The conserved LPS structure of diverse Gram-negative bacteria is recognized by toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4). An engagement of TLR4 on myeloid cells such as macrophages, neutrophils and dendritic cells promotes the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines which contribute to bacterial clearance. The ligation of many TLR4 receptors, triggered due to a massive release of LPS during

infection with Gram-negative pathogens, instead can lead to septic shock and multi-organ failure. However, lipid A modulates also immune responses and induces resistance to both bacterial and viral pathogens at low concentrations. Thus, for example, the application of LPS or LTA (see below) by oral gavage protected broad-spectrum antibiotics-treated mice from colitis-induced mortality, morbidity, and severe colonic bleeding (71). In addition, a previous exposure to low amounts of LPS causes myeloid cells to become refractory to a subsequent endotoxin challenge, a phenomenon termed, endotoxin or LPS tolerance (72). Thus, as indicated by these few examples, the response to LPS is highly complex, and it has been shown that hundreds of genes are affected in immune cells exposed to LPS.

4.1.2. Lipoteichoic acid (LTA) and TLR2

Lipoteichoic acids (LTAs) are major constituents of the cell wall of Gram-positive bacteria. Most bacteria containing LTA also synthesize wall teichoic acid (WTA). In contrast to WTA, which is covalently linked to the peptidoglycan layer (73), LTA is tied via lipid anchors to the membranes of Gram-positive bacteria. Furthermore, the synthesis of LTA is intimately involved in the biosynthesis and turnover of lipids in bacteria (74). LTAs have been also reported to regulate muramidases, autolytic cell wall enzymes which are critical for the maintenance of bacterial cell wall integrity. Although the exact biological role of LTA is unknown, bacterial mutant strains display significant defects in growth and physiology, similar as observed for bacterial LPS mutant strains.

Similar as LPS LTA exhibits also antigenic properties when released from bacteria after bacteriolysis. LTA may bind to target cells non-specifically through membrane phospholipids, or specifically to CD14 and toll-like receptors such as TLR-2. Specific immune responses engaged upon TLR2-ligation include the expression of the central transcription factor NF- κ B, the release of cytokines such as interleukin-10 (IL-10) and the induction of both pro- and anti-apoptotic genes. Additionally, modifications of the LTA backbone structure can protect bacteria against cationic antimicrobial peptides of the host (75). The release of LTA can be inhibited by non-bacteriolytic antibiotics and by polysulfates such as heparin *in vitro*. Furthermore, LTA can interact with circulating antibodies and activate the complement cascade. It also triggers the release of reactive oxygen and nitrogen species or bactericidal proteins and enzymes from neutrophils and macrophages. In animal studies, LTA has induced arthritis, nephritis, uveitis, encephalomyelitis, meningitis, and periodontal lesions, and also triggered cascades resulting in septic shock and multi-organ failure. Therefore, LTA shares many pathogenic similarities with endotoxins

(lipopolysaccharide). LTA or LTA synthesis pathways represent also promising targets for antibiotics (76, 77).

4.1.3. Trehalose-6,6'-dimycolate (TDM/Cord factor) and mincle

The most abundant glycolipid of the mycobacterial cell wall is Trehalose-6,6'-dimycolate (TDM), also known as cord factor, as the formation of large clumps of mycobacterial cells is attributed to its hydrophobic nature. The cord factor has been known for a long time to trigger important aspects of the host response in tuberculosis, as (a) injection of TDM into mice is sufficient to induce granuloma-like structures (78), (b) TDM has the highest capacity of mycobacterial cell (glyco)lipids to induce pro-inflammatory cytokine production from macrophages (79), and (c) cord factor is – similar to Complete Freund's adjuvant – a potent adjuvant for Th1/Th17 responses (80). Thus, the cord factor acts as a PAMP activating innate immunity and directing the differentiation of developing T cell responses towards beneficial Th1/Th17 phenotypes (81, 82). On the other hand, there exists also evidence that TDM may contribute to immune evasion by delaying phagosomal maturation (83) and by attenuating the production of some cytokines and/or the expression of costimulatory molecules (84, 85).

Mincle is the receptor for TDM, as it directly binds to it and is required for macrophage activation *in vitro* (86, 87), as well as granuloma formation to cord factor *in vivo*. Mincle also binds to and is activated by the synthetic cord factor analogue Trehalose-6,6'-dibehenate, an adjuvant where the complex mycolic acid esters are replaced by a simple C22-fatty acid (87, 88). The crystal structure of Mincle in complex with trehalose is available and shows Ca^{2+} -dependent binding in a sugar binding pocket with an adjacent hydrophobic groove thought to accommodate the lipid portion of TDM or TDB (89, 90). Other synthetic glycolipids based on trehalose- and glucose-esters of mycolates, corynomycolates (as found in the cell wall of corynebacteria, belonging to the Order Actinobacteria as mycobacteria), and fatty acids, have been developed and tested for Mincle binding and activation (91-93). Of note, Mincle binds also Glucose-monomycolate which is also a CD1b-restricted ligand for T cells with germ line-encoded T cell receptors (TCRs) (see below) (94), an interesting case of a dual function of a glycolipid as PAMP for innate immune activation and antigen for T cell responses. Among the fungi binding to Mincle and activating macrophages and other immune cells in a Mincle-dependent manner, the exact chemical nature of the ligands has been defined only for *Malassezia furfur* as glyceroglycolipid and complex fatty acids linked to mannitol (95).

Beyond its role as pattern recognition for microbial danger, Mincle detects also endogenous

ligands. It was first shown to bind the ribonucleoprotein SAP130, an alarmin released from necrotic cells, triggering an inflammatory response of myeloid cells to cell death. The SAP130-Mincle interaction appears to drive the development of immune suppressive monocyte populations in a mouse model of pancreatic cancer (96). Cholesterol crystals are another recent addition to the growing list of Mincle ligands, in this case only for the human form of the receptor (97). It remains to be investigated whether this interaction plays a role in the development or course of atherosclerosis.

The CLR Mcl (Clec4d) is a close relative of Mincle and is located next to it in the Dectin-2 gene cluster. While Mcl binds TDM only weakly, its signaling appears to be sufficient to enhance Mincle expression for higher affinity binding of the cord factor. Mcl and Mincle proteins interact with each other, likely forming heterodimers on the macrophage surface and strongly mutually increasing their surface levels (98-100). Mcl also can form heterodimers with Dectin-2, a third member of this CLR cluster.

Dectin-2 is like Mincle an FcR γ -coupled receptor; it binds a wide range of fungi (including *Aspergillus fumigatus*, *Trichophyton rubrum*, *Cryptococcus spp.*, *Histoplasma capsulatum*, *Candida albicans*, and *Malassezia furfur*) and several bacteria (mycobacteria, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Streptococcus pneumoniae*) (Ostrop and Lang, in press). Dectin-2 ligands on fungi include mannans of the *Candida albicans* cell wall and a mannosylated protein from *Malassezia furfur*. In contrast, the mycobacterial cell contains mannosylated lipoarabinomannan (manLAM) which is bound by Dectin-2 and triggers cytokine production from macrophages (101).

Very recently, a fourth member of the Dectin-2 family, DCAR (Clec4b1), was identified by Yamasaki and colleagues to bind to mycobacteria. In this case, acetylated phosphatidylmannositol (acPIM2) could be identified as the ligand in the cell wall by fractionation of lipids. DCAR an activating receptor that signals via FcR γ similar to Mincle and Dectin-2, and contributes to induction of a Th1 response during mycobacterial infection (Toyonaga K. et al., in press). Together, the recognition of mycobacterial cell wall glycolipids appears to be mediated to a significant extent by the members of the Dectin-2 family of signaling CLR.

Mincle (Clec4e) requires association with the ITAM-containing adapter FcR γ chain for membrane localization and Syk activation. Mincle binds in addition to mycobacteria including *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex as described above to a diverse array of bacteria: *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Helicobacter pylori*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, and fungi, such as *Candida albicans*, *Fonsecaea spp.* and *Malassezia furfur*. However, to date only for mycobacteria and

Candida albicans the identity of Mincle ligands has been revealed in detail.

4.2. Lipid reactive receptors on lymphoid cells

Complexes consisting of peptides and MHC molecules are not the sole targets of T cell receptors. A substantial proportion of the T cell repertoire recognizes antigens other than peptides presented by non-polymorphic antigen-presenting molecules. There exist T cell populations which react to (glyco-) lipid rather than protein antigens. For example, the antigen-presenting molecules CD1a, CD1b, CD1c and CD1d are encoded outside the MHC locus (102-106) and display a broad range of lipid antigens to T cells (107). CD1 molecules in conjunction with CD1 presented lipid antigens are recognized by T cells expressing the alpha/beta or the gamma/delta T cell receptor (108-110).

Human CD1 genes are located on chromosome 1 (111) and encode five CD1 isoforms, which were assigned to group 1 (CD1a, CD1b, CD1c and CD1e) or group 2 (CD1d) on the basis of sequence homology (112). Mice, in contrast, express only CD1d. CD1e is a lipid transfer protein (113), whereas CD1a-CD1d are membrane bound molecules. While the expression of the group 1 CD1 molecules is inducible by microbial stimuli (114, 115), CD1d is constitutively expressed (116, 117). Each CD1 molecule traffics differently, scavenges distinct cellular compartments and exhibits a distinct expression pattern (116, 118). B cells, for example, express CD1c and CD1d; CD1a, CD1b, CD1c and CD1d are detected on myeloid dendritic cells (DCs) while CD1d is also expressed on epithelial cells. CD1a is a characteristic marker for Langerhans cells.

The CD1 family of MHC-class-I-like glycoproteins present both foreign and self lipids as cognate antigens to T cells. Group 1 CD1 molecules activate clonally diverse T cells that mediate adaptive immune responses to a vast range of microbial lipid antigens. In contrast, as part of the innate immune response invariant NKT (iNKT) cells release copious amounts of cytokines upon engagement of their (semi-) invariant T-cell receptor by lipid antigens presented by CD1d (group 2) molecules (119).

4.2.1. Lipid-reactive T cells

T cells reactive to CD1a, b and c molecules represented major discoveries (114, 120). Similar to conventional T lymphocytes group-1-CD1-restricted T cells exhibit a diverse T cell receptor repertoire and clonally expand upon antigen encounter. Unlike conventional T cells, however, these T cells react to a variety of lipid instead of protein antigens. Many of those antigens are detected in the unique cell wall of

mycobacteria including *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, the causative agent of tuberculosis.

Many of the unique lipids found in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* are presented by CD1a, CD1b and CD1c. Those include different mycolates, such as free fatty acid mycolic acid or glucose monomycolate. Mycolic acid, a long-chain α -branched, beta-hydroxy fatty acid was identified as first antigen to be presented by CD1b (121). Lipoglycans such as lipoarabinomannan or phosphatidylinositol mannosides from *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* are also recognized by CD1b-restricted T cells (122). In contrast, CD1c presents lipids closely related to mannosyl-1-phosphomycoketides to T cells (123, 124). Other unique lipids of mycobacteria such as lipopeptides and sulpholipids can be presented by CD1a and CD1b, respectively (119). Interestingly, patients infected with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* exhibit an enhanced reactivity against several of these lipids compared to healthy control individuals (123, 125, 126), implying a role for CD1-restricted T cells in the host defense against tuberculosis infection.

The first reports about group-1-CD1-restricted human T cells were examples of self-reactive responses (114). CD1a-presented autoantigens, for example, were identified as extremely hydrophobic skin oils such as wax esters, squalene and triacylglycerides (127). Sulphatide, a major component of the myelin sheath, is a promiscuous self-lipid antigen that could be presented by CD1a, CD1b and CD1c and activates clonally restricted human T cells (128). Furthermore, monosialotetrahexosylganglioside (GM1) and related gangliosides are presented by CD1b, suggesting that self-lipid antigens might be important targets for autoimmune T cells (129, 130).

Many more types of lipid antigens have been identified, including glycolipid, phospholipid, glycopospholipid, sulfolipid and lipopeptide antigens (131, 132). However, many of the (auto-)antigens these human T cell clones are reacting to are still unknown. Furthermore, due to the unavailability of suitable animal models, the biological role of the respective CD1-reactive T cell clones *in vivo* has remained unclear.

4.2.2. CD1d-restricted NKT cells

As mice lack group 1 CD1 proteins, most studies have focused on the recognition of CD1d by a population of CD1d-dependent $\alpha\beta$ T cells. These cells are frequently summarized as natural killer T (NKT) cells, although they represent a heterogeneous population (133). Thus, NKT cells can be divided into two distinct subpopulations, namely type I and type II NKT cells (134), which recognize – in an innate (-like) pattern recognition like manner – a broad range of self-lipid and microbial-lipid antigens (135). Type I

NKT cells are defined by their CD1d restriction and alpha-GalCer-reactivity (136), but also recognize other glycolipids, diacylglycerols, glycosphingolipids, glycerophospholipids, lysophospholipids, and cholesterol esters presented by the atypical MHC-I (-like) molecule CD1d on antigen presenting cells (APCs) (summarized in (117, 118, 135)). In contrast to the semi-invariant, canonical T cell receptor of type I NKT cells (Va14Ja18/Vβ2,7,8 in mice and Va24Ja18/Vβ11 in humans), type II NKT cells exhibit a more diverse T cell receptor repertoire and react to mammalian and microbial phospholipids and sulfatides (summarized in (135, 137-140)). In addition to a TCR engagement NKT cells can be also activated by different cytokines such as IL-12, IL-18 and type I interferon (141-145). Those different pathways of activation have been described to shape the cytokine release of NKT cells in addition to their tissue localization, which can promote Th1, Th2 and/or Th17 responses (summarized in (146)). In addition to the agonist actions of the NKT cell antigens described so far, *Bacteroides fragilis* supplements the host's endogenous lipid antigen milieu with unique inhibitory sphingolipids, impedes iNKT cells proliferation and thus, confers protection against colitis (147).

Although type I NKT cells predominate in mice, about ten times less of these cells representing up to 3% of the total T lymphocyte population at a maximum (134) are recovered from the human tissues (148). In contrast, there are significantly more type II NKT cells detected in humans (149, 150), while those cells constitute for less than 5% of the total NKT cell population in mice (151, 152).

5. SUMMARY AND PERSPECTIVE

Lipids are involved in multiple processes regulating host microbe interactions. Those include the recognition of microbial lipids in various structural variations by a panel of immune receptors on myeloid and lymphoid cells and the regulation of anti-microbial defense mechanisms including phagocytosis and autophagy by lipids of the host. In addition, lipids are important constituents of cellular membranes and complex signaling networks in microbes and mammals. As lipids of microbial origin belong to the most potent activators of immune responses by the host, they and their receptors on the host side represent interesting targets for therapeutic intervention. Consequently, the analysis of the circuits of these host-microbe interactions and the underlying cellular and molecular mechanisms need to be carefully evaluated, to achieve an improved clinical outcome, but also to prevent side effects.

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

RL is supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG – SFB 796, TP B6; DFG – GRK 1160, TP A2) and the European Union (EU FP7

NEWTBVAC). JM is supported by the Staedtler Stiftung and the German Research Foundation (DFG – CRC1181-project number (C04)).

7. REFERENCES

1. G. van Meer, D. R. Voelker and G. W. Feigenson: Membrane lipids: where they are and how they behave. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*, 9(2), 112-24 (2008)
DOI: 10.1038/nrm2330
2. J. B. Parsons and C. O. Rock: Bacterial lipids: metabolism and membrane homeostasis. *Prog Lipid Res*, 52(3), 249-76 (2013)
DOI: 10.1016/j.plipres.2013.02.002
3. M. Lin and Y. Rikihisa: Ehrlichia chaffeensis and Anaplasma phagocytophilum lack genes for lipid A biosynthesis and incorporate cholesterol for their survival. *Infect Immun*, 71(9), 5324-31 (2003)
DOI: 10.1128/IAI.71.9.5324-5331.2003
4. Y. Rikihisa: Anaplasma phagocytophilum and Ehrlichia chaffeensis: subversive manipulators of host cells. *Nat Rev Microbiol*, 8(5), 328-39 (2010)
DOI: 10.1038/nrmicro2318
5. K. Kawahara, H. Kuraishi and U. Zahringer: Chemical structure and function of glycosphingolipids of Sphingomonas spp and their distribution among members of the alpha-4 subclass of Proteobacteria. *J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol*, 23(4-5), 408-413 (1999)
DOI: 10.1038/sj.jim.2900708
6. K. Kawahara, H. Moll, Y. A. Knirel, U. Seydel and U. Zahringer: Structural analysis of two glycosphingolipids from the lipopolysaccharide-lacking bacterium Sphingomonas capsulata. *Eur J Biochem*, 267(6), 1837-46 (2000)
DOI: 10.1046/j.1432-1327.2000.01189.x
7. Y. Kosako, E. Yabuuchi, T. Naka, N. Fujiwara and K. Kobayashi: Proposal of Sphingomonadaceae fam. nov., consisting of Sphingomonas Yabuuchi *et al.* 1990, Erythrobacter Shiba and Shimidu 1982, Erythromicrobium Yurkov *et al.* 1994, Porphyrobacter Fuerst *et al.* 1993, Zymomonas Kluyver and van Niel 1936, and Sandaracinobacter Yurkov *et al.* 1997, with the type genus Sphingomonas Yabuuchi *et al.* 1990. *Microbiol Immunol*, 44(7), 563-75 (2000)
DOI: 10.1111/j.1348-0421.2000.tb02535.x

8. Y. Kinjo, P. Illarionov, J. L. Vela, B. Pei, E. Girardi, X. Li, Y. Li, M. Imamura, Y. Kaneko, A. Okawara, Y. Miyazaki, A. Gomez-Velasco, P. Rogers, S. Dahesh, S. Uchiyama, A. Khurana, K. Kawahara, H. Yesilkaya, P. W. Andrew, C. H. Wong, K. Kawakami, V. Nizet, G. S. Besra, M. Tsuji, D. M. Zajonc and M. Kronenberg: Invariant natural killer T cells recognize glycolipids from pathogenic Gram-positive bacteria. *Nat Immunol*, 12(10), 966-74 (2011)
DOI: 10.1038/ni.2096
9. G. Ben-Menachem, J. Kubler-Kielb, B. Coxon, A. Yergey and R. Schneerson: A newly discovered cholesteryl galactoside from *Borrelia burgdorferi*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 100(13), 7913-8 (2003)
DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1232451100
10. N. W. Schroder, U. Schombel, H. Heine, U. B. Gobel, U. Zahringer and R. R. Schumann: Acylated cholesteryl galactoside as a novel immunogenic motif in *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu stricto. *J Biol Chem*, 278(36), 33645-53 (2003)
DOI: 10.1074/jbc.M305799200
11. M. Jankute, J. A. Cox, J. Harrison and G. S. Besra: Assembly of the Mycobacterial Cell Wall. *Annu Rev Microbiol*, 69, 405-23 (2015)
DOI: 10.1146/annurev-micro-091014-104121
12. P. J. Brennan: Structure of mycobacteria: recent developments in defining cell wall carbohydrates and proteins. *Rev Infect Dis*, 11 Suppl 2, S420-30 (1989)
DOI: 10.1093/clinids/11.Supplement_2.S420
13. M. P. Wymann and R. Schneiter: Lipid signalling in disease. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*, 9(2), 162-76 (2008)
DOI: 10.1038/nrm2335
14. A. H. Futerman and Y. A. Hannun: The complex life of simple sphingolipids. *EMBO Rep*, 5(8), 777-82 (2004)
DOI: 10.1038/sj.embor.7400208
15. H. Rosen and E. J. Goetzl: Sphingosine 1-phosphate and its receptors: an autocrine and paracrine network. *Nat Rev Immunol*, 5(7), 560-70 (2005)
DOI: 10.1038/nri1650
16. J. G. Cyster: Chemokines, sphingosine-1-phosphate, and cell migration in secondary lymphoid organs. *Annu Rev Immunol*, 23, 127-59 (2005)
DOI: 10.1146/annurev.immunol.23.021704.115628
17. T. A. Taha, T. D. Mullen and L. M. Obeid: A house divided: ceramide, sphingosine, and sphingosine-1-phosphate in programmed cell death. *Biochim Biophys Acta*, 1758(12), 2027-36 (2006)
DOI: 10.1016/j.bbamem.2006.10.018
18. I. Ishii, N. Fukushima, X. Ye and J. Chun: Lysophospholipid receptors: signaling and biology. *Annu Rev Biochem*, 73, 321-54 (2004)
DOI: 10.1146/annurev.biochem.73.011303.073731
19. D. Meyer zu Heringdorf and K. H. Jakobs: Lysophospholipid receptors: signalling, pharmacology and regulation by lysophospholipid metabolism. *Biochim Biophys Acta*, 1768(4), 923-40 (2007)
DOI: 10.1016/j.bbamem.2006.09.026
20. P. Gervois, N. Vu-Dac, R. Kleemann, M. Kockx, G. Dubois, B. Laine, V. Kosykh, J. C. Fruchart, T. Kooistra and B. Staels: Negative regulation of human fibrinogen gene expression by peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha agonists via inhibition of CCAAT box/enhancer-binding protein beta. *J Biol Chem*, 276(36), 33471-7 (2001)
DOI: 10.1074/jbc.M102839200
21. R. Cunard, Y. Eto, J. T. Muljadi, C. K. Glass, C. J. Kelly and M. Ricote: Repression of IFN-gamma expression by peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma. *J Immunol*, 172(12), 7530-6 (2004)
DOI: 10.4049/jimmunol.172.12.7530
22. S. W. Beaven and P. Tontonoz: Nuclear receptors in lipid metabolism: targeting the heart of dyslipidemia. *Annu Rev Med*, 57, 313-29 (2006)
DOI: 10.1146/annurev.med.57.121304.131428
23. R. K. Semple, V. K. Chatterjee and S. O'Rahilly: PPAR gamma and human metabolic disease. *J Clin Invest*, 116(3), 581-9 (2006)
DOI: 10.1172/JCI28003
24. D. C. Dale, L. Boxer and W. C. Liles: The phagocytes: neutrophils and monocytes. *Blood*, 112(4), 935-45 (2008)
DOI: 10.1182/blood-2007-12-077917

25. D. G. Russell, B. C. Vandervan, S. Glennie, H. Mwandumba and R. S. Heyderman: The macrophage marches on its phagosome: dynamic assays of phagosome function. *Nat Rev Immunol*, 9(8), 594-600 (2009)
DOI: 10.1038/nri2591
26. A. Jeschke and A. Haas: Deciphering the roles of phosphoinositide lipids in phagolysosome biogenesis. *Commun Integr Biol*, 9(3), e1174798 (2016)
DOI: 10.1080/19420889.2016.1174798
27. T. Yeung, B. Ozdamar, P. Paroutis and S. Grinstein: Lipid metabolism and dynamics during phagocytosis. *Curr Opin Cell Biol*, 18(4), 429-37 (2006)
DOI: 10.1016/j.ceb.2006.06.006
28. J. Pizarro-Cerda, A. Kuhbacher and P. Cossart: Phosphoinositides and host-pathogen interactions. *Biochim Biophys Acta*, 1851(6), 911-8 (2015)
DOI: 10.1016/j.bbalip.2014.09.011
29. V. A. Fadok, D. L. Bratton, D. M. Rose, A. Pearson, R. A. Ezekewitz and P. M. Henson: A receptor for phosphatidylserine-specific clearance of apoptotic cells. *Nature*, 405(6782), 85-90 (2000)
DOI: 10.1038/35011084
30. K. M. Giles, S. P. Hart, C. Haslett, A. G. Rossi and I. Dransfield: An appetite for apoptotic cells? Controversies and challenges. *Br J Haematol*, 109(1), 1-12 (2000)
DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-2141.2000.01805.x
31. A. J. Nauta, M. R. Daha, C. van Kooten and A. Roos: Recognition and clearance of apoptotic cells: a role for complement and pentraxins. *Trends Immunol*, 24(3), 148-54 (2003)
DOI: 10.1016/S1471-4906(03)00030-9
32. A. Roos, W. Xu, G. Castellano, A. J. Nauta, P. Garred, M. R. Daha and C. van Kooten: Mini-review: A pivotal role for innate immunity in the clearance of apoptotic cells. *Eur J Immunol*, 34(4), 921-9 (2004)
DOI: 10.1002/eji.200424904
33. N. Mizushima, B. Levine, A. M. Cuervo and D. J. Klionsky: Autophagy fights disease through cellular self-digestion. *Nature*, 451(7182), 1069-75 (2008)
DOI: 10.1038/nature06639
34. R. Singh and A. M. Cuervo: Autophagy in the cellular energetic balance. *Cell Metab*, 13(5), 495-504 (2011)
DOI: 10.1016/j.cmet.2011.04.004
35. Y. Feng, D. He, Z. Yao and D. J. Klionsky: The machinery of macroautophagy. *Cell Res*, 24(1), 24-41 (2014)
DOI: 10.1038/cr.2013.168
36. N. Mizushima, T. Yoshimori and Y. Ohsumi: The role of Atg proteins in autophagosome formation. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol*, 27, 107-32 (2011)
DOI: 10.1146/annurev-cellbio-092910-154005
37. Z. Yang and D. J. Klionsky: Eaten alive: a history of macroautophagy. *Nat Cell Biol*, 12(9), 814-22 (2010)
DOI: 10.1038/ncb0910-814
38. C. Dall'Armi, K. A. Devereaux and G. Di Paolo: The role of lipids in the control of autophagy. *Curr Biol*, 23(1), R33-45 (2013)
DOI: 10.1016/j.cub.2012.10.041
39. R. Zoncu, A. Efeyan and D. M. Sabatini: mTOR: from growth signal integration to cancer, diabetes and ageing. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*, 12(1), 21-35 (2011)
DOI: 10.1038/nrm3025
40. A. Simonsen and S. A. Tooze: Coordination of membrane events during autophagy by multiple class III PI3-kinase complexes. *J Cell Biol*, 186(6), 773-82 (2009)
DOI: 10.1083/jcb.200907014
41. C. Burman and N. T. Ktistakis: Regulation of autophagy by phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate. *FEBS Lett*, 584(7), 1302-12 (2010)
DOI: 10.1016/j.febslet.2010.01.011
42. H. J. Mackay and C. J. Twelves: Targeting the protein kinase C family: are we there yet? *Nat Rev Cancer*, 7(7), 554-62 (2007)
DOI: 10.1038/nrc2168
43. J. A. Engelman, J. Luo and L. C. Cantley: The evolution of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinases as regulators of growth and metabolism. *Nat Rev Genet*, 7(8), 606-19 (2006)
DOI: 10.1038/nrg1879
44. M. P. Wymann, M. Zvelebil and M. Laffargue: Phosphoinositide 3-kinase signalling--which

- way to target? *Trends Pharmacol Sci*, 24(7), 366-76 (2003)
DOI: 10.1016/S0165-6147(03)00163-9
45. C. N. Serhan, N. Chiang and T. E. Van Dyke: Resolving inflammation: dual anti-inflammatory and pro-resolution lipid mediators. *Nat Rev Immunol*, 8(5), 349-61 (2008)
DOI: 10.1038/nri2294
46. S. Thomas, A. Preda-Pais, S. Casares and T. D. Brumeanu: Analysis of lipid rafts in T cells. *Mol Immunol*, 41(4), 399-409 (2004)
DOI: 10.1016/j.molimm.2004.03.022
47. Z. Korade and A. K. Kenworthy: Lipid rafts, cholesterol, and the brain. *Neuropharmacology*, 55(8), 1265-73 (2008)
DOI: 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2008.02.019
48. L. J. Pike: The challenge of lipid rafts. *J Lipid Res*, 50 Suppl, S323-8 (2009)
49. K. Simons and R. Ehehalt: Cholesterol, lipid rafts, and disease. *J Clin Invest*, 110(5), 597-603 (2002)
DOI: 10.1172/JCI0216390
50. J. Rivera and A. M. Gilfillan: Molecular regulation of mast cell activation. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*, 117(6), 1214-25; quiz 1226 (2006)
DOI: 10.1016/j.jaci.2006.04.015
51. K. Ali, A. Bilancio, M. Thomas, W. Pearce, A. M. Gilfillan, C. Tkaczyk, N. Kuehn, A. Gray, J. Giddings, E. Peskett, R. Fox, I. Bruce, C. Walker, C. Sawyer, K. Okkenhaug, P. Finan and B. Vanhaesebroeck: Essential role for the p110delta phosphoinositide 3-kinase in the allergic response. *Nature*, 431(7011), 1007-11 (2004)
DOI: 10.1038/nature02991
52. K. Okkenhaug, K. Ali and B. Vanhaesebroeck: Antigen receptor signalling: a distinctive role for the p110delta isoform of PI3K. *Trends Immunol*, 28(2), 80-7 (2007)
DOI: 10.1016/j.it.2006.12.007
53. J. Rivera and A. Olivera: Src family kinases and lipid mediators in control of allergic inflammation. *Immunol Rev*, 217, 255-68 (2007)
DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-065X.2007.00505.x
54. T. K. Means, E. Lien, A. Yoshimura, S. Wang, D. T. Golenbock and M. J. Fenton: The CD14 ligands lipoarabinomannan and lipopolysaccharide differ in their requirement for Toll-like receptors. *J Immunol*, 163(12), 6748-55 (1999)
55. R. I. Tapping and P. S. Tobias: Mycobacterial lipoarabinomannan mediates physical interactions between TLR1 and TLR2 to induce signaling. *J Endotoxin Res*, 9(4), 264-8 (2003)
DOI: 10.1179/096805103225001477
56. A. M. Kerrigan and G. D. Brown: Syk-coupled C-type lectins in immunity. *Trends Immunol*, 32(4), 151-6 (2011)
DOI: 10.1016/j.it.2011.01.002
57. G. D. Brown: Dectin-1: a signalling non-TLR pattern-recognition receptor. *Nat Rev Immunol*, 6(1), 33-43 (2006)
DOI: 10.1038/nri1745
58. P. Garidel, Y. Kaconis, L. Heinbockel, M. Wulf, S. Gerber, A. Munk, V. Vill and K. Brandenburg: Self-Organisation, Thermotropic and Lyotropic Properties of Glycolipids Related to their Biological Implications. *Open Biochem J*, 9, 49-72 (2015)
DOI: 10.2174/1874091X01509010049
59. J. A. Russell: Management of sepsis. *N Engl J Med*, 355(16), 1699-713 (2006)
DOI: 10.1056/NEJMr043632
60. A. Poltorak, X. He, I. Smirnova, M. Y. Liu, C. Van Huffel, X. Du, D. Birdwell, E. Alejos, M. Silva, C. Galanos, M. Freudenberg, P. Ricciardi-Castagnoli, B. Layton and B. Beutler: Defective LPS signaling in C3H/HeJ and C57BL/10ScCr mice: mutations in Tlr4 gene. *Science*, 282(5396), 2085-8 (1998)
DOI: 10.1126/science.282.5396.2085
61. A. Muotiala, I. M. Helander, L. Pyhala, T. U. Kosunen and A. P. Moran: Low biological activity of Helicobacter pylori lipopolysaccharide. *Infect Immun*, 60(4), 1714-6 (1992)
62. A. P. Moran: Cell surface characteristics of Helicobacter pylori. *FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol*, 10(3-4), 271-80 (1995)
DOI: 10.1111/j.1574-695X.1995.tb00043.x
63. A. P. Moran and G. O. Aspinall: Unique structural and biological features of Helicobacter pylori lipopolysaccharides. *Prog Clin Biol Res*, 397, 37-49 (1998)
64. A. P. Moran, I. M. Helander and T. U. Kosunen: Compositional analysis of Helicobacter pylori rough-form lipopolysaccharides. *J Bacteriol*,

- 174(4), 1370-7 (1992)
DOI: 10.1128/jb.174.4.1370-1377.1992
65. N. J. Phillips, B. Schilling, M. K. McLendon, M. A. Apicella and B. W. Gibson: Novel modification of lipid A of *Francisella tularensis*. *Infect Immun*, 72(9), 5340-8 (2004)
DOI: 10.1128/IAI.72.9.5340-5348.2004
66. D. Kanistanon, A. M. Hajjar, M. R. Pelletier, L. A. Gallagher, T. Kalhorn, S. A. Shaffer, D. R. Goodlett, L. Rohmer, M. J. Brittnacher, S. J. Skerrett and R. K. Ernst: A *Francisella* mutant in lipid A carbohydrate modification elicits protective immunity. *PLoS Pathog*, 4(2), e24 (2008)
DOI: 10.1371/journal.ppat.0040024
67. D. Kanistanon, D. A. Powell, A. M. Hajjar, M. R. Pelletier, I. E. Cohen, S. S. Way, S. J. Skerrett, X. Wang, C. R. Raetz and R. K. Ernst: Role of *Francisella* lipid A phosphate modification in virulence and long-term protective immune responses. *Infect Immun*, 80(3), 943-51 (2012)
DOI: 10.1128/IAI.06109-11
68. K. Kawahara, H. Tsukano, H. Watanabe, B. Lindner and M. Matsuura: Modification of the structure and activity of lipid A in *Yersinia pestis* lipopolysaccharide by growth temperature. *Infect Immun*, 70(8), 4092-8 (2002)
DOI: 10.1128/IAI.70.8.4092-4098.2002
69. Y. A. Knirel and A. P. Anisimov: Lipopolysaccharide of *Yersinia pestis*, the Cause of Plague: Structure, Genetics, Biological Properties. *Acta Naturae*, 4(3), 46-58 (2012)
70. W. Sun, D. A. Six, C. M. Reynolds, H. S. Chung, C. R. Raetz and R. Curtiss, 3rd: Pathogenicity of *Yersinia pestis* synthesis of 1-dephosphorylated lipid A. *Infect Immun*, 81(4), 1172-85 (2013)
DOI: 10.1128/IAI.01403-12
71. S. Rakoff-Nahoum, J. Paglino, F. Eslami-Varzaneh, S. Edberg and R. Medzhitov: Recognition of commensal microflora by toll-like receptors is required for intestinal homeostasis. *Cell*, 118(2), 229-41 (2004)
DOI: 10.1016/j.cell.2004.07.002
72. S. K. Biswas and E. Lopez-Collazo: Endotoxin tolerance: new mechanisms, molecules and clinical significance. *Trends Immunol*, 30(10), 475-87 (2009)
DOI: 10.1016/j.it.2009.07.009
73. S. Brown, J. P. Santa Maria, Jr. and S. Walker: Wall teichoic acids of gram-positive bacteria. *Annu Rev Microbiol*, 67, 313-36 (2013)
DOI: 10.1146/annurev-micro-092412-155620
74. H. U. Koch, R. Haas and W. Fischer: The role of lipoteichoic acid biosynthesis in membrane lipid metabolism of growing *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Eur J Biochem*, 138(2), 357-63 (1984)
DOI: 10.1111/j.1432-1033.1984.tb07923.x
75. M. G. Percy and A. Grundling: Lipoteichoic acid synthesis and function in gram-positive bacteria. *Annu Rev Microbiol*, 68, 81-100 (2014)
DOI: 10.1146/annurev-micro-091213-112949
76. H. Wang, C. J. Gill, S. H. Lee, P. Mann, P. Zuck, T. C. Meredith, N. Murgolo, X. She, S. Kales, L. Liang, J. Liu, J. Wu, J. Santa Maria, J. Su, J. Pan, J. Hailey, D. McGuinness, C. M. Tan, A. Flattery, S. Walker, T. Black and T. Roemer: Discovery of wall teichoic acid inhibitors as potential anti-MRSA beta-lactam combination agents. *Chem Biol*, 20(2), 272-84 (2013)
DOI: 10.1016/j.chembiol.2012.11.013
77. L. W. Pasquina, J. P. Santa Maria and S. Walker: Teichoic acid biosynthesis as an antibiotic target. *Curr Opin Microbiol*, 16(5), 531-7 (2013)
DOI: 10.1016/j.mib.2013.06.014
78. E. Yarkoni and H. J. Rapp: Granuloma formation in lungs of mice after intravenous administration of emulsified trehalose-6,6'-dimycolate (cord factor): reaction intensity depends on size distribution of the oil droplets. *Infect Immun*, 18(2), 552-4 (1977)
79. R. E. Geisel, K. Sakamoto, D. G. Russell and E. R. Rhoades: *In vivo* activity of released cell wall lipids of *Mycobacterium bovis* bacillus Calmette-Guerin is due principally to trehalose mycolates. *J Immunol*, 174(8), 5007-15 (2005)
DOI: 10.4049/jimmunol.174.8.5007
80. K. Shenderov, D. L. Barber, K. D. Mayer-Barber, S. S. Gurcha, D. Jankovic, C. G.

- Feng, S. Oland, S. Hieny, P. Caspar, S. Yamasaki, X. Lin, J. P. Ting, G. Trinchieri, G. S. Besra, V. Cerundolo and A. Sher: Cord factor and peptidoglycan recapitulate the Th17-promoting adjuvant activity of mycobacteria through mincle/CARD9 signaling and the inflammasome. *J Immunol*, 190(11), 5722-30 (2013)
DOI: 10.4049/jimmunol.1203343
81. S. A. Khader, G. K. Bell, J. E. Pearl, J. J. Fountain, J. Rangel-Moreno, G. E. Cilley, F. Shen, S. M. Eaton, S. L. Gaffen, S. L. Swain, R. M. Locksley, L. Haynes, T. D. Randall and A. M. Cooper: IL-23 and IL-17 in the establishment of protective pulmonary CD4(+) T cell responses after vaccination and during Mycobacterium tuberculosis challenge. *Nat Immunol*, 8(4), 369-77 (2007)
DOI: 10.1038/ni1449
82. K. Werninghaus, A. Babiak, O. Gross, C. Holscher, H. Dietrich, E. M. Agger, J. Mages, A. Mocsai, H. Schoenen, K. Finger, F. Nimmerjahn, G. D. Brown, C. Kirschning, A. Heit, P. Andersen, H. Wagner, J. Ruland and R. Lang: Adjuvanticity of a synthetic cord factor analogue for subunit Mycobacterium tuberculosis vaccination requires FcRgamma-Syk-Card9-dependent innate immune activation. *J Exp Med*, 206(1), 89-97 (2009)
DOI: 10.1084/jem.20081445
83. S. Axelrod, H. Oschkinat, J. Enders, B. Schlegel, V. Brinkmann, S. H. Kaufmann, A. Haas and U. E. Schaible: Delay of phagosome maturation by a mycobacterial lipid is reversed by nitric oxide. *Cell Microbiol*, 10(7), 1530-45 (2008)
DOI: 10.1111/j.1462-5822.2008.01147.x
84. C. Kan-Sutton, C. Jagannath and R. L. Hunter, Jr.: Trehalose 6,6'-dimycolate on the surface of Mycobacterium tuberculosis modulates surface marker expression for antigen presentation and costimulation in murine macrophages. *Microbes Infect*, 11(1), 40-8 (2009)
DOI: 10.1016/j.micinf.2008.10.006
85. M. K. Katti, G. Dai, L. Y. Armitage, C. Rivera Marrero, S. Daniel, C. R. Singh, D. R. Lindsey, S. Dhandayuthapani, R. L. Hunter and C. Jagannath: The Delta fbpA mutant derived from Mycobacterium tuberculosis H37Rv has an enhanced susceptibility to intracellular antimicrobial oxidative mechanisms, undergoes limited phagosome maturation and activates macrophages and dendritic cells. *Cell Microbiol*, 10(6), 1286-303 (2008)
DOI: 10.1111/j.1462-5822.2008.01126.x
86. E. Ishikawa, T. Ishikawa, Y. S. Morita, K. Toyonaga, H. Yamada, O. Takeuchi, T. Kinoshita, S. Akira, Y. Yoshikai and S. Yamasaki: Direct recognition of the mycobacterial glycolipid, trehalose dimycolate, by C-type lectin Mincle. *J Exp Med*, 206(13), 2879-88 (2009)
DOI: 10.1084/jem.20091750
87. H. Schoenen, B. Bodendorfer, K. Hitchens, S. Manzanero, K. Werninghaus, F. Nimmerjahn, E. M. Agger, S. Stenger, P. Andersen, J. Ruland, G. D. Brown, C. Wells and R. Lang: Cutting edge: Mincle is essential for recognition and adjuvanticity of the mycobacterial cord factor and its synthetic analog trehalose-dibehenate. *J Immunol*, 184(6), 2756-60 (2010)
DOI: 10.4049/jimmunol.0904013
88. E. M. Agger, I. Rosenkrands, J. Hansen, K. Brahimi, B. S. Vandahl, C. Aagaard, K. Werninghaus, C. Kirschning, R. Lang, D. Christensen, M. Theisen, F. Follmann and P. Andersen: Cationic liposomes formulated with synthetic mycobacterial cordfactor (CAF01): a versatile adjuvant for vaccines with different immunological requirements. *PLoS ONE*, 3(9), e3116 (2008)
DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0003116
89. H. Feinberg, S. A. Jegouzo, T. J. Rowntree, Y. Guan, M. A. Brash, M. E. Taylor, W. I. Weis and K. Drickamer: Mechanism for recognition of an unusual mycobacterial glycolipid by the macrophage receptor mincle. *J Biol Chem*, 288(40), 28457-65 (2013)
DOI: 10.1074/jbc.M113.497149
90. A. Furukawa, J. Kamishikiryo, D. Mori, K. Toyonaga, Y. Okabe, A. Toji, R. Kanda, Y. Miyake, T. Ose, S. Yamasaki and K. Maenaka: Structural analysis for glycolipid recognition by the C-type lectins Mincle and MCL. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 110(43), 17438-43 (2013)
DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1312649110
91. A. Huber, R. S. Kallerup, K. S. Korsholm, H. Franzky, B. Lepenies, D. Christensen, C. Foged and R. Lang: Trehalose diester glycolipids are superior to the monoesters in

- binding to Mincle, activation of macrophages *in vitro* and adjuvant activity *in vivo*. *Innate Immun*, 22(6), 405-18 (2016)
DOI: 10.1177/1753425916651132
92. B. L. Stocker, A. A. Khan, S. H. Chee, F. Kamena and M. S. Timmer: On one leg: trehalose monoesters activate macrophages in a Mincle-dependant manner. *Chembiochem*, 15(3), 382-8 (2014)
DOI: 10.1002/cbic.201300674
93. P. L. van der Peet, C. Gunawan, S. Torigoe, S. Yamasaki and S. J. Williams: Corynomycolic acid-containing glycolipids signal through the pattern recognition receptor Mincle. *Chem Commun (Camb)*, 51(24), 5100-3 (2015)
DOI: 10.1039/C5CC00085H
94. I. Van Rhijn and D. B. Moody: CD1 and mycobacterial lipids activate human T cells. *Immunol Rev*, 264(1), 138-53 (2015)
DOI: 10.1111/imr.12253
95. T. Ishikawa, F. Itoh, S. Yoshida, S. Saijo, T. Matsuzawa, T. Gono, T. Saito, Y. Okawa, N. Shibata, T. Miyamoto and S. Yamasaki: Identification of distinct ligands for the C-type lectin receptors Mincle and Dectin-2 in the pathogenic fungus *Malassezia*. *Cell Host Microbe*, 13(4), 477-88 (2013)
DOI: 10.1016/j.chom.2013.03.008
96. L. Seifert, G. Werba, S. Tiwari, N. N. Gao, S. Allothman, D. Alqunaibit, A. Avanzi, R. Barilla, D. Daley, S. H. Greco, A. Torres-Hernandez, M. Pergamo, A. Ochi, C. P. Zambirinis, M. Pansari, M. Rendon, D. Tipples, M. Hundeyin, V. R. Mani, C. Hajdu, D. Engle and G. Miller: The necrosome promotes pancreatic oncogenesis via CXCL1 and Mincle-induced immune suppression. *Nature*, 532(7598), 245-9 (2016)
DOI: 10.1038/nature17403
97. R. Kiyotake, M. Oh-Hora, E. Ishikawa, T. Miyamoto, T. Ishibashi and S. Yamasaki: Human Mincle Binds to Cholesterol Crystals and Triggers Innate Immune Responses. *J Biol Chem*, 290(42), 25322-32 (2015)
DOI: 10.1074/jbc.M115.645234
98. B. Kerscher, G. J. Wilson, D. M. Reid, D. Mori, J. A. Taylor, G. S. Besra, S. Yamasaki, J. A. Willment and G. D. Brown: Mycobacterial receptor, Clec4d (CLECSF8, MCL), is coregulated with Mincle and upregulated on mouse myeloid cells following microbial challenge. *Eur J Immunol*, 46(2), 381-9 (2016)
DOI: 10.1002/eji.201545858
99. A. Lobato-Pascual, P. C. Saether, S. Fossum, E. Disen and M. R. Daws: Mincle, the receptor for mycobacterial cord factor, forms a functional receptor complex with MCL and FcepsilonRI-gamma. *Eur J Immunol*, 43(12), 3167-74 (2013)
DOI: 10.1002/eji.201343752
100. Y. Miyake, O. H. Masatsugu and S. Yamasaki: C-Type Lectin Receptor MCL Facilitates Mincle Expression and Signaling through Complex Formation. *J Immunol*, 194(11), 5366-74 (2015)
DOI: 10.4049/jimmunol.1402429
101. A. Yonekawa, S. Saijo, Y. Hoshino, Y. Miyake, E. Ishikawa, M. Suzukawa, H. Inoue, M. Tanaka, M. Yoneyama, M. Oh-Hora, K. Akashi and S. Yamasaki: Dectin-2 is a direct receptor for mannose-capped lipoarabinomannan of mycobacteria. *Immunity*, 41(3), 402-13 (2014)
DOI: 10.1016/j.immuni.2014.08.005
102. A. de Jong, V. Pena-Cruz, T. Y. Cheng, R. A. Clark, I. Van Rhijn and D. B. Moody: CD1a-autoreactive T cells are a normal component of the human alphabeta T cell repertoire. *Nat Immunol*, 11(12), 1102-9 (2010)
DOI: 10.1038/ni.1956
103. P. Dellabona, G. Casorati, B. Friedli, L. Angman, F. Sallusto, A. Tunnacliffe, E. Roosneek and A. Lanzavecchia: *In vivo* persistence of expanded clones specific for bacterial antigens within the human T cell receptor alpha/beta CD4-8- subset. *J Exp Med*, 177(6), 1763-71 (1993)
DOI: 10.1084/jem.177.6.1763
104. C. de Lalla, M. Lepore, F. M. Piccolo, A. Rinaldi, A. Scelfo, C. Garavaglia, L. Mori, G. De Libero, P. Dellabona and G. Casorati: High-frequency and adaptive-like dynamics of human CD1 self-reactive T cells. *Eur J Immunol*, 41(3), 602-10 (2011)
DOI: 10.1002/eji.201041211
105. A. G. Kasmar, I. van Rhijn, T. Y. Cheng, M. Turner, C. Seshadri, A. Schiefner, R. C. Kalathur, J. W. Annand, A. de Jong, J. Shires, L. Leon, M. Brenner, I. A. Wilson, J. D. Altman and D. B. Moody: CD1b tetramers bind alphabeta T cell receptors to identify

- a mycobacterial glycolipid-reactive T cell repertoire in humans. *J Exp Med*, 208(9), 1741-7 (2011)
DOI: 10.1084/jem.20110665
106. D. Ly, A. G. Kasmar, T. Y. Cheng, A. de Jong, S. Huang, S. Roy, A. Bhatt, R. P. van Summeren, J. D. Altman, W. R. Jacobs, Jr., E. J. Adams, A. J. Minnaard, S. A. Porcelli and D. B. Moody: CD1c tetramers detect ex vivo T cell responses to processed phosphomycoketide antigens. *J Exp Med*, 210(4), 729-41 (2013)
DOI: 10.1084/jem.20120624
107. I. Van Rhijn, D. I. Godfrey, J. Rossjohn and D. B. Moody: Lipid and small-molecule display by CD1 and MR1. *Nat Rev Immunol*, 15(10), 643-54 (2015)
DOI: 10.1038/nri3889
108. N. A. Borg, K. S. Wun, L. Kjer-Nielsen, M. C. Wilce, D. G. Pellicci, R. Koh, G. S. Besra, M. Bharadwaj, D. I. Godfrey, J. McCluskey and J. Rossjohn: CD1d-lipid-antigen recognition by the semi-invariant NKT T-cell receptor. *Nature*, 448(7149), 44-9 (2007)
DOI: 10.1038/nature05907
109. A. M. Luoma, C. D. Castro, T. Mayassi, L. A. Bembinster, L. Bai, D. Picard, B. Anderson, L. Scharf, J. E. Kung, L. V. Sibener, P. B. Savage, B. Jabri, A. Bendelac and E. J. Adams: Crystal structure of Vdelta1 T cell receptor in complex with CD1d-sulfatide shows MHC-like recognition of a self-lipid by human gammadelta T cells. *Immunity*, 39(6), 1032-42 (2013)
DOI: 10.1016/j.immuni.2013.11.001
110. A. P. Uldrich, J. Le Nours, D. G. Pellicci, N. A. Gherardin, K. G. McPherson, R. T. Lim, O. Patel, T. Beddoe, S. Gras, J. Rossjohn and D. I. Godfrey: CD1d-lipid antigen recognition by the gammadelta TCR. *Nat Immunol*, 14(11), 1137-45 (2013)
DOI: 10.1038/ni.2713
111. F. Calabi and C. Milstein: A novel family of human major histocompatibility complex-related genes not mapping to chromosome 6. *Nature*, 323(6088), 540-3 (1986)
DOI: 10.1038/323540a0
112. F. Calabi, J. M. Jarvis, L. Martin and C. Milstein: Two classes of CD1 genes. *Eur J Immunol*, 19(2), 285-92 (1989)
DOI: 10.1002/eji.1830190211
113. H. de la Salle, S. Mariotti, C. Angenieux, M. Gilleron, L. F. Garcia-Alles, D. Malm, T. Berg, S. Paoletti, B. Maitre, L. Mourey, J. Salamero, J. P. Cazenave, D. Hanau, L. Mori, G. Puzo and G. De Libero: Assistance of microbial glycolipid antigen processing by CD1e. *Science*, 310(5752), 1321-4 (2005)
DOI: 10.1126/science.1115301
114. S. Porcelli, M. B. Brenner, J. L. Greenstein, S. P. Balk, C. Terhorst and P. A. Bleicher: Recognition of cluster of differentiation 1 antigens by human CD4-CD8-cytolytic T lymphocytes. *Nature*, 341(6241), 447-50 (1989)
DOI: 10.1038/341447a0
115. C. Roura-Mir, L. Wang, T. Y. Cheng, I. Matsunaga, C. C. Dascher, S. L. Peng, M. J. Fenton, C. Kirschning and D. B. Moody: Mycobacterium tuberculosis regulates CD1 antigen presentation pathways through TLR-2. *J Immunol*, 175(3), 1758-66 (2005)
DOI: 10.4049/jimmunol.175.3.1758
116. S. K. Dougan, A. Kaser and R. S. Blumberg: CD1 expression on antigen-presenting cells. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol*, 314, 113-41 (2007)
DOI: 10.1007/978-3-540-69511-0_5
117. A. Bendelac, P. B. Savage and L. Teyton: The biology of NKT cells. *Annu Rev Immunol*, 25, 297-336 (2007)
DOI: 10.1146/annurev.immunol.25.022106.141711
118. M. Brigl and M. B. Brenner: CD1: antigen presentation and T cell function. *Annu Rev Immunol*, 22, 817-90 (2004)
DOI: 10.1146/annurev.immunol.22.012703.104608
119. D. C. Barral and M. B. Brenner: CD1 antigen presentation: how it works. *Nat Rev Immunol*, 7(12), 929-41 (2007)
DOI: 10.1038/nri2191
120. S. Porcelli, C. T. Morita and M. B. Brenner: CD1b restricts the response of human CD4-8- T lymphocytes to a microbial antigen. *Nature*, 360(6404), 593-7 (1992)
DOI: 10.1038/360593a0
121. E. M. Beckman, S. A. Porcelli, C. T. Morita, S. M. Behar, S. T. Furlong and M. B.

- Brenner: Recognition of a lipid antigen by CD1-restricted alpha beta+ T cells. *Nature*, 372(6507), 691-4 (1994)
DOI: 10.1038/372691a0
122. P. A. Sieling, D. Chatterjee, S. A. Porcelli, T. I. Prigozy, R. J. Mazzaccaro, T. Soriano, B. R. Bloom, M. B. Brenner, M. Kronenberg, P. J. Brennan and *et al.*: CD1-restricted T cell recognition of microbial lipoglycan antigens. *Science*, 269(5221), 227-30 (1995)
DOI: 10.1126/science.7542404
123. D. B. Moody, T. Ulrichs, W. Muhlecker, D. C. Young, S. S. Gurucha, E. Grant, J. P. Rosat, M. B. Brenner, C. E. Costello, G. S. Besra and S. A. Porcelli: CD1c-mediated T-cell recognition of isoprenoid glycolipids in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infection. *Nature*, 404(6780), 884-8 (2000)
DOI: 10.1038/35009119
124. I. Matsunaga, A. Bhatt, D. C. Young, T. Y. Cheng, S. J. Eyles, G. S. Besra, V. Briken, S. A. Porcelli, C. E. Costello, W. R. Jacobs, Jr. and D. B. Moody: *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* pks12 produces a novel polyketide presented by CD1c to T cells. *J Exp Med*, 200(12), 1559-69 (2004)
DOI: 10.1084/jem.20041429
125. T. Ulrichs, D. B. Moody, E. Grant, S. H. Kaufmann and S. A. Porcelli: T-cell responses to CD1-presented lipid antigens in humans with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infection. *Infect Immun*, 71(6), 3076-87 (2003)
DOI: 10.1128/IAI.71.6.3076-3087.2003
126. M. Gilleron, S. Stenger, Z. Mazorra, F. Wittke, S. Mariotti, G. Bohmer, J. Prandi, L. Mori, G. Puzo and G. De Libero: Diacylated sulfolipids are novel mycobacterial antigens stimulating CD1-restricted T cells during infection with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *J Exp Med*, 199(5), 649-59 (2004)
DOI: 10.1084/jem.20031097
127. A. de Jong, T. Y. Cheng, S. Huang, S. Gras, R. W. Birkinshaw, A. G. Kasmar, I. Van Rhijn, V. Pena-Cruz, D. T. Ruan, J. D. Altman, J. Rossjohn and D. B. Moody: CD1a-autoreactive T cells recognize natural skin oils that function as headless antigens. *Nat Immunol*, 15(2), 177-85 (2014)
DOI: 10.1038/ni.2790
128. A. Shamshiev, H. J. Gober, A. Donda, Z. Mazorra, L. Mori and G. De Libero: Presentation of the same glycolipid by different CD1 molecules. *J Exp Med*, 195(8), 1013-21 (2002)
DOI: 10.1084/jem.20011963
129. A. Shamshiev, A. Donda, I. Carena, L. Mori, L. Kappos and G. De Libero: Self glycolipids as T-cell autoantigens. *Eur J Immunol*, 29(5), 1667-75 (1999)
DOI: 10.1002/(SICI)1521-4141(199905)29:05<1667::AID-IMMU1667>3.0.CO;2-U
130. A. Shamshiev, A. Donda, T. I. Prigozy, L. Mori, V. Chigorno, C. A. Benedict, L. Kappos, S. Sonnino, M. Kronenberg and G. De Libero: The alphabeta T cell response to self-glycolipids shows a novel mechanism of CD1b loading and a requirement for complex oligosaccharides. *Immunity*, 13(2), 255-64 (2000)
DOI: 10.1016/S1074-7613(00)00025-X
131. E. Layre, R. Al-Mubarak, J. T. Belisle and D. Branch Moody: *Mycobacterial Lipidomics*. *Microbiol Spectr*, 2(3) (2014)
DOI: 10.1128/microbiolspec.mgm2-0033-2013
132. E. Layre, A. de Jong and D. B. Moody: Human T cells use CD1 and MR1 to recognize lipids and small molecules. *Curr Opin Chem Biol*, 23, 31-8 (2014)
DOI: 10.1016/j.cbpa.2014.09.007
133. L. Mori and G. De Libero: T cells specific for lipid antigens. *Immunol Res*, 53(1-3), 191-9 (2012)
DOI: 10.1007/s12026-012-8294-6
134. S. P. Berzins, M. J. Smyth and A. G. Baxter: Presumed guilty: natural killer T cell defects and human disease. *Nat Rev Immunol*, 11(2), 131-42 (2011)
DOI: 10.1038/nri2904
135. J. Mattner: Natural killer T (NKT) cells in autoimmune hepatitis. *Curr Opin Immunol*, 25(6), 697-703 (2013)
DOI: 10.1016/j.coi.2013.09.008
136. T. Kawano, J. Cui, Y. Koezuka, I. Toura, Y. Kaneko, K. Motoki, H. Ueno, R. Nakagawa, H. Sato, E. Kondo, H. Koseki and M. Taniguchi: CD1d-restricted and TCR-mediated activation of valpha14 NKT cells by glycosylceramides. *Science*, 278(5343), 1626-9 (1997)
DOI: 10.1126/science.278.5343.1626
137. R. V. Tatituri, G. F. Watts, V. Bhowruth, N. Barton, A. Rothchild, F. F. Hsu, C. F.

- Almeida, L. R. Cox, L. Eggeling, S. Cardell, J. Rossjohn, D. I. Godfrey, S. M. Behar, G. S. Besra, M. B. Brenner and M. Brigl: Recognition of microbial and mammalian phospholipid antigens by NKT cells with diverse TCRs. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 110(5), 1827-32 (2013)
DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1220601110
138. K. C. Roy, I. Maricic, A. Khurana, T. R. Smith, R. C. Halder and V. Kumar: Involvement of secretory and endosomal compartments in presentation of an exogenous self-glycolipid to type II NKT cells. *J Immunol*, 180(5), 2942-50 (2008)
DOI: 10.4049/jimmunol.180.5.2942
139. A. Jahng, I. Maricic, C. Aguilera, S. Cardell, R. C. Halder and V. Kumar: Prevention of autoimmunity by targeting a distinct, noninvariant CD1d-reactive T cell population reactive to sulfatide. *J Exp Med*, 199(7), 947-57 (2004)
DOI: 10.1084/jem.20031389
140. M. Blomqvist, S. Rhost, S. Teneberg, L. Lofbom, T. Osterbye, M. Brigl, J. E. Mansson and S. L. Cardell: Multiple tissue-specific isoforms of sulfatide activate CD1d-restricted type II NKT cells. *Eur J Immunol*, 39(7), 1726-35 (2009)
DOI: 10.1002/eji.200839001
141. M. Brigl, L. Bry, S. C. Kent, J. E. Gumperz and M. B. Brenner: Mechanism of CD1d-restricted natural killer T cell activation during microbial infection. *Nat Immunol*, 4(12), 1230-7 (2003)
DOI: 10.1038/ni1002
142. J. Mattner, K. L. Debord, N. Ismail, R. D. Goff, C. Cantu, 3rd, D. Zhou, P. Saint-Mezard, V. Wang, Y. Gao, N. Yin, K. Hoebe, O. Schneewind, D. Walker, B. Beutler, L. Teyton, P. B. Savage and A. Bendelac: Exogenous and endogenous glycolipid antigens activate NKT cells during microbial infections. *Nature*, 434(7032), 525-9 (2005)
DOI: 10.1038/nature03408
143. C. Paget, T. Mallevaey, A. O. Speak, D. Torres, J. Fontaine, K. C. Sheehan, M. Capron, B. Ryffel, C. Faveeuw, M. Leite de Moraes, F. Platt and F. Trottein: Activation of invariant NKT cells by toll-like receptor 9-stimulated dendritic cells requires type I interferon and charged glycosphingolipids. *Immunity*, 27(4), 597-609 (2007)
DOI: 10.1016/j.immuni.2007.08.017
144. N. A. Nagarajan and M. Kronenberg: Invariant NKT cells amplify the innate immune response to lipopolysaccharide. *J Immunol*, 178(5), 2706-13 (2007)
DOI: 10.4049/jimmunol.178.5.2706
145. P. Velazquez, T. O. Cameron, Y. Kinjo, N. Nagarajan, M. Kronenberg and M. L. Dustin: Cutting edge: activation by innate cytokines or microbial antigens can cause arrest of natural killer T cell patrolling of liver sinusoids. *J Immunol*, 180(4), 2024-8 (2008)
DOI: 10.4049/jimmunol.180.4.2024
146. M. G. Constantinides and A. Bendelac: Transcriptional regulation of the NKT cell lineage. *Curr Opin Immunol*, 25(2), 161-7 (2013)
DOI: 10.1016/j.coi.2013.01.003
147. D. An, S. F. Oh, T. Olszak, J. F. Neves, F. Y. Avci, D. Erturk-Hasdemir, X. Lu, S. Zeissig, R. S. Blumberg and D. L. Kasper: Sphingolipids from a symbiotic microbe regulate homeostasis of host intestinal natural killer T cells. *Cell*, 156(1-2), 123-33 (2014)
DOI: 10.1016/j.cell.2013.11.042
148. T. Kenna, L. Golden-Mason, S. A. Porcelli, Y. Koezuka, J. E. Hegarty, C. O'Farrelly and D. G. Doherty: NKT cells from normal and tumor-bearing human livers are phenotypically and functionally distinct from murine NKT cells. *J Immunol*, 171(4), 1775-9 (2003)
DOI: 10.4049/jimmunol.171.4.1775
149. M. A. Exley, Q. He, O. Cheng, R. J. Wang, C. P. Cheney, S. P. Balk and M. J. Koziel: Cutting edge: Compartmentalization of Th1-like noninvariant CD1d-reactive T cells in hepatitis C virus-infected liver. *J Immunol*, 168(4), 1519-23 (2002)
DOI: 10.4049/jimmunol.168.4.1519
150. M. A. Exley, S. M. Tahir, O. Cheng, A. Shaulov, R. Joyce, D. Avigan, R. Sackstein and S. P. Balk: A major fraction of human bone marrow lymphocytes are Th2-like CD1d-reactive T cells that can suppress mixed lymphocyte responses. *J Immunol*, 167(10), 5531-4 (2001)
DOI: 10.4049/jimmunol.167.10.5531
151. M. Kronenberg: Toward an understanding of NKT cell biology: progress and paradoxes. *Annu Rev Immunol*, 23, 877-900 (2005)
DOI: 10.1146/annurev.immunol.23.021704.115742

152. K. Seino and M. Taniguchi: Functionally distinct NKT cell subsets and subtypes. *J Exp Med*, 202(12), 1623-6 (2005)
DOI: 10.1084/jem.20051600

Key Words: Lipids, Immune Response, Host-Microbe Interactions, Review

Send correspondence to: Jochen Mattner, Mikrobiologisches Institut, Klinische Mikrobiologie, Immunologie und Hygiene, Universitätsklinikum Erlangen and Friedrich-Alexander Universität (FAU) Erlangen-Nürnberg, Wasserturmstr. 3/5, D-91054 Erlangen, Germany, Tel: 49-9131-85-23640, Fax: 49-9131-85-1001, E-mail: jochen.mattner@uk-erlangen.de