T cell immune responses to HIV-1

Sandhya Vasan [1], Sarah J. Schlesinger [1,2], Geraldine Arrode [2,3]

[1] The Aaron Diamond AIDS Research Center, 455 First Avenue, 7th Floor, New York, New York 10016, [2] Laboratory of Cellular Immunology and Physiology, The Rockefeller University 1230 York Avenue, New York, New York 10021, [3] Current address: Department of Microbiology, Molecular Genetics and Immunology, The University of Kansas Medical Center, 3025 Wahl Hall West, 3901 Rainbow Blvd, Kansas City, KS 66160

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. ABSTRACT

The recent use of multiparametric flow cytometry to monitor T cell immune responses complements traditional assays, such as IFN-gamma ELISPOT, to provide more information on the functional complexity of $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ T cell immune responses induced either by natural infection, or by immunization. In this review, we provide a general background on T cell subsets, and describe the cellular immune response during natural HIV-1 infection. We then review T cell responses to current candidate HIV-1 vaccines. Taken together, this helps to formulate our understanding of the immune correlates of protection required for an effective prophylactic HIV-1 vaccine. Finally, we emphasize current dendritic cell based vaccine strategies designed to modulate immunity to establish immune protection against HIV-1.

2. INTRODUCTION

Recent advances in the development of multiparametric flow cytometry (1) have provided more information on the functional complexity of both $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ T cell immune responses induced either during natural infection, or by immunization. In this review, we will first provide general background on T cell subsets, and then describe the cellular immune response during natural HIV-1 infection. We will then review T cell responses to current candidate HIV-1 vaccines, and how this knowledge, taken together, helps inform our understanding of the immune correlates of protection required for an effective vaccine against HIV-1. Finally, we will emphasize some current vaccination strategies designed to direct the immune system towards this protective response.

3. GENERAL T CELL IMMUNE RESPONSES

After infection or vaccination, naïve T cells that traffic through lymphoid organs encounter specific antigens presented at the surface of antigen presenting cells (APCs), specifically dendritic cells (DCs). Following this interaction, the antigen-specific T cells undergo a program of extensive division and differentiation, and become activated effector T cells (2) that migrate to tissues and defend against infection. This massive expansion is followed by a rapid and well-regulated contraction phase, which might or might not coincide with clearance of the antigen, in which approximately 90-95% of the effector cells are eliminated (3). These successive events give rise to a pool of antigen experienced memory T cells which are maintained, in some cases, for life (4). The establishment of this population of memory T-cells allows the individual to respond quickly and efficiently to subsequent encounters with the same pathogen.

Although both $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ T cells initiate their program of differentiation simultaneously, $CD8^+$ T cells divide sooner and more rapidly, and therefore more readily develop into effector cells after short-term primary stimulation than do $CD4^+$ T cells (5). Meanwhile, evidence indicates that $CD4^+$ T cells can regulate the quality of the memory $CD8^+$ T cells generated. These cells are likely important for optimal generation of memory $CD8^+$ T cells following acute infections (6-8), and for sustained $CD8^+$ T cell responses during chronic infections (9).

In both humans and mice, memory $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ T cell populations exhibit considerable heterogeneity. The first distinction between memory and effector T cells, early after *in vivo* priming, can be demonstrated by the expression of IL-7R (CD127) at the cell surface (10). The $CD127^+$ memory T cells then further divide, based on homing characteristics and effector functions, into central memory (Tcm) and effector memory (Tem) subsets (11). This clear method of differentiation is particularly true after resolution of infection, in which the exposure to antigen is transient due to the clearance of the pathogen by effectors. However, during chronic /persistent infections, with pathogens such as HIV-1, the strength and the duration of antigenic stimulation affect both the differentiation process, and the functional competency of the resulting effector and memory cells (12, 13) . Indeed, several studies have validated that memory T cells constitute intermediates arrested at different stages of differentiation (14, 15). Thus, the functional properties of a given antigen specific T cell response are determined by the relative proportions of memory T cell subsets generated (16).

3.1. Effector T cells

Effector T cells constitute the first line of defense against pathogens, and circulate during acute infections. Primed T cells are highly activated and dividing cells that initially express CC-chemokine receptor 7 (CCR7), the lymph-node homing receptor CD62 ligand (CD62L) and the co-stimulatory receptors CD28 and CD27. These markers are progressively down regulated, as these primed T cells become effector T cells. $CD8^+$ T cell effectors can secrete IFN-gamma, and TNF-alpha in an antigen-specific manner to induce cell death. They also express perforin which directly mediates cytotoxicity of target cells. Their ability to produce IL-2 is low, but increases gradually during memory $CD8^+$ T cell differentiation (12). Accordingly, analysis of $CD4^+$ T cell responses in patients with primary HIV-1 and primary CMV infection have shown that the large majority of antigen-specific $CD4^+$ T cells are single IFN-gamma-secreting cells, while IFN-gamma/IL-2- secreting cells and single IL-2-secreting cells are poorly represented (17).

3.2. Memory T cells: Tem and Tcm

Memory T cells persist for extended periods of time due to antigen-independent homeostatic turnover. They constitute a potent line of immediate defense, because they are present at higher numbers than naïve cells, and respond rapidly upon reencounter with a pathogen. Memory T cells can be divided into two subsets: central memory T cells (Tcm) and effector memory T cells (Tem). Both are present in the spleen and the blood, and are thought to play complementary roles in this defense process.

Effector memory T cells mainly reside within, or recirculate through, peripheral non-lymphoid tissues, and provide an immediate/rapid effector defense. These cells do not express CCR7 or CD62L ($CCR7^-CD62L^-$), and rapidly acquire effector functions such as cytokine production (e.g. IFN-gamma) upon antigen restimulation. $CD8^+$ Tem cells also acquire the ability to directly kill target cells through perforin and granzyme secretion. Tem cells are characterized by a limited proliferative capacity (11). Through their expansion and differentiation, these cells constitute a pool of secondary effector cells (as compared to the effector cells during the acute response) which ultimately lead to a subset of $CD45\ RA^+\ CD27^-\ CCR7^-$ T cells expressing CD95 ligand, high levels of perforin and granzyme B, and are directly cytotoxic *ex vivo*. These T cells have been designated as late differentiated effector T cells (14) .

Central memory T cells (Tcm) have the potential to generate a functional secondary (recall) response and provide a reserve of defense. They express CCR7 and CD62L molecules ($CCR7^+\ CD62L^+$) that permit trafficking into the lymph nodes. In steady state, these cells are capable of self-renewal by homeostatic proliferation (18). Upon antigenic restimulation, Tcm also convert to secondary effector cells, but their ability to expand is more vigorous than that of Tem. Their full proliferative capacity correlates with their ability to maintain interleukin-2 (IL-2) production. This ability to produce IL-2 is a hallmark of Tcm cells. For example, in the tetanus model of Ag clearance, it has been shown that the dominant population of antigen-specific T cells was represented by $CD4^+$ T cells with a typical Tcm phenotype, that secreted IL-2, but not IFN-gamma (17). Most importantly, due to their ability to generate a larger population of highly activated secondary effector cells (e.g, generate a potent recall response), Tcm are more effective mediators of protective

immunity than Tem, and play a crucial role in long term protection (19-23).

The relationship between Tem and Tcm, and whether they represent interconnected or distinct lineages, is still subject to debate. Three different models of differentiation have been proposed. The detection of human CD4$^+$ and CD8$^+$ Tem by flow cytometry analysis, several years after priming suggests that they are intrinsically stable or continuously replenished through differentiation of Tcm. In line with this hypothesis, *in vitro* culture systems using human T cells have shown that Tcm cells differentiate into Tem cells (11). However, in contrast with this study, analyses of the TCR repertoire of human blood CD8$^+$ memory T cells indicate that Tcm and Tem represent mostly separate lineages (24). Moreover, another study has shown that human CD4$^+$ and CD8$^+$ Tem cells exhibit dynamic differentiation, involving transient and stable changes to the Tcm phenotype and its properties (25). Lastly, investigation of the molecular profile of human CD8$^+$ Tcm and Tem using gene expression microarrays distinguish Tcm from Tem cells based on their ability to express genes involved in self renewal. This study reinforces the concept that Tcm represent memory stem cells (26).

Understanding the relationship between these populations is particularly important for the design of interventional therapeutics as well as prophylactic vaccines. It will be important to determine whether naïve T cells can be educated to become Tcm, or whether Tcm can be expanded from existing Tem during chronic infection.

4. T CELL IMMUNE RESPONSES DURING HIV-1 INFECTION

Although untreated HIV-1 infection follows a progressive course in virtually all infected individuals, there is strong evidence that anti-HIV-1 immune T cell responses are essential in limiting HIV-1 replication.

Cytotoxic CD8$^+$ T cells (CTLs) have been strongly implicated in the control of virus replication in HIV-1-infected humans and SIV-infected monkeys. For example, rhesus macaques fail to contain the initial peak SIV viremia if their CD8$^+$ T cells are depleted at the time of infection. In humans, evidence for the protective role of CD8$^+$ T cells comes from the temporal association of CTL responses with the decline in plasma viremia following acute infection and peak viremia (27) and also, from the presence of a vigorous proliferative response in long-term non-progressors (LTNPs).

4.1. CD4$^+$ T cell response

During primary infection, a large majority of anti-HIV-1 specific CD4$^+$ T cells with an effector phenotype (single IFN-gamma secreting cells) develop in response to the high antigen load (17). Later in the course of untreated infection, despite severe depletion of CD4$^+$ T cells, the functional CD4$^+$ T cell response to different HIV-1 antigens (Gag, Nef, Pol, Env) persists in the peripheral blood of infected patients, as demonstrated by detection of

IFN-gamma and class II tetramer staining (28). In general, in chronically infected patients, Gag-specific responses dominate the CD4$^+$ T cell response to HIV-1 (29). Despite the presence of HIV-1 specific IFN-gamma secreting cells CD4$^+$ T cells, many groups have documented that these cells have lost their ability to produce IL-2 and to proliferate in response to antigen stimulation (30-32). Thus, upon chronic exposure, there is a skewed representation of IFN-gamma only producers that are not associated with control of HIV-1 replication. Phenotypic analysis of these cells have shown that high viremia skews the Gag-specific CD4$^+$ T cells away from an IL-2 producing Tcm phenotype (CCR7$^+$ CD45RA$^-$) and toward poorly proliferative IFN-gamma producing Tem phenotype (CCR7$^-$ CD45RA$^-$) (33). When this CCR7$^-$ T cell population was further subdivided based on CD57 expression, CD57$^+$ CD4$^+$ T cells were found to be proliferation incompetent cells associated with increased apoptosis (34). In contrast, the presence of HIV-1 specific CD4$^+$ T cells that are able to strongly proliferate in response to HIV-1 antigens has been associated with the control of HIV-1 replication in the naturally protected, LTNPs (35). Whether these highly proliferating cells fall into the subset of Tcm versus Tem remains to be elucidated. In direct contrast with progressors, PBMC from clinical non-progressors (LTNPs) exhibit strong and broad responses to many HIV-1 antigens associated with secretion of both type 1 and type 2 cytokines (IL-2 and IL-4, respectively) expressing a normal memory phenotype (36). Finally, during infection with HIV-2, which is associated with a better clinical outcome, it has been shown that the frequency of CD4$^+$ T cells able to produce IL-2 is better preserved than in HIV-1 infection (37, 38). Thus, the ability of HIV-1 specific CD4$^+$ T cells to secrete IL-2 constitutes a correlate with protective immunity. Recently, a comprehensive analysis of T cell phenotype and function was performed within a group of 45 antiretroviral naive controllers with low level viremia. These patients exhibited higher frequencies of HIV-1 specific IL-2$^+$ IFN-gamma $^+$ CD4$^+$ T cells, as previously described, with a low level of proliferating cells within the less differentiated T cell subpopulation (CD45RA$^+$, CD27$^+$, CD28$^+$ CCR7$^+$). Thus the apparent T cell control of HIV-1 replication is associated with an immunological state in which the host responds to HIV-1 by expanding, but not exhausting HIV-1 specific T cells, while maintaining a relatively quiescent immune system (39). This low level of immune activation has also been associated with low susceptibility to HIV-1 infection in high risk exposed seronegative individuals(40).

4.2. CD8$^+$ T cell response

During acute HIV-1 infection, the induction of HIV-1 specific CD8$^+$ effector T cells with the capacity to kill HIV-1 infected cells and secrete IFN-gamma is associated with a rapid and dramatic decline in viremia (41-43) probably reflecting the strong antiviral activity of these cells. In this early phase of infection, the HIV-1 specific CD8$^+$ T cell response is typically low in magnitude and narrowly directed against some viral epitopes, such as Nef, Tat and Env (44-46). In the absence of HAART treatment, these initial CD8$^+$ T cells responses tend to disappear. This is likely due in part to escape variants within the viral

epitopes which lead to the emergence of further responses which are less efficient in reducing viral load (46).

In striking contrast, during chronic HIV-1 infection, high levels of viral replication occur in the presence of large numbers of HIV-1 specific IFN-gamma producing CD8$^+$ T cells. These cells comprise high avidity, antigen-specific CD8$^+$ T cells reactive to several viral proteins, including Gag, Pol and Env (47-51). These data suggest that the HIV-1 specific CD8$^+$ T cell responses become progressively less effective, and these defects are not detected by assays that quantify antigen specific interferon gamma production alone.

In fact, several studies of chronically HIV-1 infected patients have shown that the HIV-1 specific cell pool is predominantly composed of pre-terminally or intermediately differentiated effector memory T cells having a CD45RA$^-$ CCR7$^-$ CD62L$^-$ CD8$^+$ phenotype and relative low levels of perforin (52-54). Papagno *et al.* have also demonstrated that HIV-1 replication in chronically infected individuals leads to activation of the early differentiated (CD27$^+$ CD28$^+$) antigen experienced CD8$^+$ T cells. This activation occurs both in the HIV-1 specific and unrestricted cells, and results in further differentiation of these cells into a state of replicative senescence, characterized by a CD27$^-$CD57$^+$ phenotype (55). Altogether, these results, plus the absence of HIV-1 specific central memory T cells support the idea that CD8$^+$ T cell differentiation is incomplete or arrested in HIV-1 infected individuals, and evidence an exhaustion of T cell competence.

Beside phenotypic alterations, functional defects have also been detected in HIV-1 progressors: several studies using MHC class I tetramer binding or IFN-gamma detection to identify antigen-specific CD8$^+$ T cells have clearly established that HIV-1-specific CD8$^+$ T cells can not always be propagated after *in vitro* culture of PBMCs in response to HIV-1 peptides (56-58), polyclonal stimulation (54) or HIV-1-infected autologous CD4$^+$ T cells (59). We have also reported this HIV-1 -specific CD8$^+$ T cells defect in proliferation, even when these cells have been challenged with potent mature dendritic cells (60).
In contrast to progressor patients, CD8$^+$ T cells in acute HIV-1 infection have strong proliferative capacities, which are rapidly lost in the presence of continuing viral replication. This proliferation is critically dependant on the presence of IL-2 secreting HIV-1 specific CD4$^+$ T cells. These data suggest that the proliferative impairment of HIV-1 specific CD8$^+$ T cells during chronic infection is not primarily due to an intrinsic functional defect of these cells, but rather represents a direct consequence of the progressive loss of IL-2 secreting, HIV-1 specific CD4$^+$ T cells (61).

Also, HIV-1 specific CD8$^+$ T cells from LTNPs have a greater capacity to proliferate than T cells from progressors, and this proliferation is tightly coupled to increase in perforin expression (59). In LTNPs, the strong proliferative capacity of HIV-1 specific CD8$^+$ T cells, assessed by 3H thymidine incorporation, has also been associated with an increase in IL-2 in the supernatant upon *in vitro* expansion with specific peptides (36). In these unique patients, we also reported the association of HIV-1 specific CD8$^+$ effector T cell expansion with the presence of a small subset of Gag-specific, IL-2 producing CD8$^+$ T cells which might represent functional central memory, part of a complete maturation process in the CD8$^+$ T cell compartment (60). Recently, Zimmerli and colleges have demonstrated that the HIV-1 specific IFN-gamma/IL-2 secreting CD8$^+$ T cells support the CD4 independent proliferation of HIV-1 specific CD8$^+$ T cells (62). Phenotypically, it has also been shown through tetramer staining that up to 50% of HIV-1 specific CD8$^+$ T cells in non-progressors are characterized by a fully differentiated phenotype (CD45RA$^+$ CCR7$^-$), suggesting that full maturation can take place in HIV-1 infected individuals in the appropriate immunological setting (63). Thus, superior proliferative and effector functions distinguish LTNPs patients from typical HIV-1 infected progressors, suggesting that the capacity to make perforin and IL-2, and to vigorously expand in culture, represent essential functions in HIV-1 immunological control.

Overall, polyfunctional IFN-gamma$^+$/IL-2$^+$ HIV-1 specific CD4$^+$ and CD8$^+$ T cell responses define the best correlates of protective immune response during HIV-1 infection known to date (64, 65).

However, we are still far from a precise definition of a T cell mediated immune correlate of protection in HIV-1 infection. New studies that challenge our understanding of these correlates continue to emerge. Thus, very recently, Betts *et al.* focused on the quality of the T cell response in 79 HIV-1 infected progressors and 9 non-progressors by using multicolor flow cytometry technology. The measurement of five CD8$^+$ T cell functions (degranulation(CD107a), IFN-gamma, MIP-1-beta, TNF-alpha and Il-2) directed towards multiple antigens (Gag, Pol, Env , Nef , Tat, Rev) in each patient allowed them to define a functional profile of HIV-1 specific CD8$^+$ T cells. Based on their ability to detect anywhere from two to five different functions in the same cell, they found that progressors had limited functional profile compared to non-progressors. The response in progressors is characterized by antigen specific cells with three or less simultaneous functions (IFN-gamma, MIP-1-beta, TNF-alpha, CD107a), an absence of cells expressing all five measured functions, and a paucity in IL-2 production. In contrast, non-progressors had a response notably shifted to cells positive for all five functions, a larger proportion of cells positive for four functions, and a higher percentage of responding cells producing IL-2. They also found that individual HIV proteins can stimulate qualitative diverse response profiles in both populations. Altogether their results indicate that measuring responses by five functions provides a better differentiation between progressors and non-progressors than measuring only IFN-gamma and Il-2 (66). Of interest, their results also indicate that memory phenotype is not necessarily predictive of functionality. Thus the presence of five positive function cells in the non-progressors was not simply due to an over representation of cells with central memory phenotype.

5. T CELL IMMUNE RESPONSES TO HIV-1 VACCINES

5.1. Challenges in HIV-1 Vaccine Development

Despite vigorous efforts for more than two decades, an effective vaccine to prevent HIV-1 eludes us. Current licensed vaccines for other pathogens, both bacterial and viral, rely primarily on the antibody response to eradicate circulating virus. Furthermore, the majority of these vaccines were developed empirically, based on whole killed or live attenuated pathogens. These approaches fail with regard to HIV for a number of reasons. First, an effective vaccine against a retrovirus likely requires both efficient humoral and cell mediated immunity to eradicate free and cell-associated virus. Second, administration of whole killed or live attenuated HIV has raised safety concerns (67) that prevent their use. Lastly, natural host clearance of HIV-1 has not been documented to date, so we have no clear indication of the type of immunologic response required for protection from infection. These are some of the factors that have hindered development of an effective vaccine against HIV-1.

5.1. Types of HIV-1 vaccines, and known responses to date

5.2.1. Recombinant Proteins

Initial vaccine attempts to induce neutralizing antibodies against HIV-1 included recombinant envelope proteins, gp120 and rgp160. In early studies, these vaccines generated neutralizing antibodies in chimpanzees (68). Exogenous proteins are presented by MHC Class II to elicit a predominant CD4$^+$ T cell response, characterized by antigen-specific CD4$^+$ T cells capable of lysing HIV-1 infected CD4$^+$ target cells in humans (69, 70). Despite this, rgp120 failed to protect against HIV-1 infection in the only Phase III efficacy trial of a candidate HIV-1 vaccine to date (71).

5.2.2. DNA

The goal of a successful T-cell based vaccine is to expand the magnitude and breadth of T cell epitopes recognized after natural infection (72). Approaches to elicit a strong cell mediated immune response include DNA-based vaccinations, viral vectors, and adjuvants. In contrast to proteins, recombinant DNA plasmids expressing one or more genes from HIV, simian immunodeficiency virus (SIV), or chimeric SHIV elicit CD8+ T cell responses in nonhuman primates (73) and humans (74). These responses are characterized by cytotoxic T cell lysis of antigen expressing cells, cellular proliferation to antigen, antigen-specific tetramer staining, and IFN-gamma secretion in response to peptide antigen (75-77). Despite the fact that DNA based vaccines have shown protection against HIV-1 challenge in chimps (78), DNA vaccines alone are relatively weak immunogens in comparison to viral vectors, so several strategies are being developed to improve the immunogenicity of DNA vaccines in humans (79).

5.2.3. Poxviridae

Several researchers have used recombinant viral vectors encoding one or more HIV or SIV genes to improve gene delivery to cells, in order to drive endogenous expression and MHC Class I presentation. Canarypox was one of the earliest viral vectors to move forward in clinical development. Intramuscular administration leads to antigen-specific CD4$^+$ and CD8$^+$ cytotoxic T lymphocytes in humans (80, 81), including a memory component, defined as CD3$^+$CD8$^+$ (or CD4$^+$) CD45RO$^+$ (82). Viral vectors have the additional advantage of stimulating the innate immune response. Canarypox has been shown to expand natural killer cells elicit gamma delta cells, as well as lead to IFN-gamma secretion in response to vector, but not HIV-1, antigens (83). Intramuscular administration has also been shown to elicit mucosal CD8$^+$ MHC-Class I restricted antigen specific CTL in the rectal mucosa, which may be important in preventing a sexually transmitted pathogen. Despite these responses, vaccination of recombinant canarypox expressing gp120, Gag and protease did not afford protection from heterologous HIV-1 challenge in chimpanzees (84). A second viral vector in the poxvirus family is modified vaccinia ankara (MVA), an attenuated, non-replicating form of vaccinia virus. It elicits similar antigen-specific CTL against expressed genes in macaques (85).

5.2.4. Adenovirus

Replication-defective adenovirus serotype 5 (Ad5) is the third vector that has progressed the farthest in clinical development. Adenoviral vectors are advantageous because they have a high insert capacity, are highly immunogenic, and are easily manipulated for large scale production (86). In rhesus monkeys, intramuscular immunization of Ad5 expressing SIV Gag led to high levels of antigen-specific CD3$^+$ CD8$^+$ T cells by tetramer assay and IFN-gamma ELISPOT. This led to attenuation, but not protection, from subsequent SIV challenge (87). In humans, a replication defective adenoviral vector expressing Gag, Pol and Env elicited primarily a CD8$^+$ response by IFN-gamma ELISPOT and intracellular cytokine staining, with 20-30% of responders also developing a CD4$^+$ T cell response (Casimiro DR and Merck Research Group, 2005, unpublished data).

5.2.5. Additional Viral and non-viral Vectors

Measles virus expressing HIV-1 antigens elicit effective CTL in mice, and may be a good candidate for pediatric vaccines (88). Additional viral vectors that elicit HIV-antigen specific CTL in macaques include, but are not limited to, recombinant forms of poliovirus (89), venezuelan equine encephalitis virus (VEE) (90), vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) (91), and semliki forest virus (SFV) (92). Non-viral recombinant vectors include recombinant BCG vector (93), which is capable of eliciting HIV-1 specific CD8$^+$ effector (CD44^{hi}, CD127$^-$, CD62L^{lo}) and central (CD44^{hi}, CD127$^+$ CD62L^{hi}) memory in mice. Salmonella (94) and Shigella (95) induce systemic CTL against HIV-1 in mice. Salmonella, shigella, and adenovirus also induce mucosal immunity, which may be important in preventing sexual transmission of HIV-1.

5.3. Prime-Boost Strategies

Those viral vectors previously seen by the human immune system have the disadvantage of pre-existing viral immunity against the virus, which can diminish delivery of the genes of interest to target cells, subsequent antigen expression, and immunity. This is particularly true of adenovirus serotype 5, vaccinia virus in smallpox vaccinees, poliovirus, and measles virus. In addition, repeated boosting of any viral vector can create anti-vector immunity, diminishing the effect of subsequent boosts.

To overcome these limitations, vaccine strategies can be combined to elicit a broader synergistic response. Different methods of antigen delivery (protein, DNA, viral vectors) can lead to qualitatively different T cell responses. For example, DNA and MVA control virus similarly with differing mechanisms (96). Priming with DNA before a viral boost may also help overcome pre-existing anti-vector immunity (97). Such combination vaccine approaches are known collectively as heterologous prime-boost vaccination strategies.

As one example, the heterologous DNA-prime, MVA-boost vaccine strategy has proven immunogenic against a variety of pathogens in humans (98, 99), and has been shown to elicit cellular immunogenicity and control viremia after challenge in non-human primates (100-106). Sadagopal, *et al.* nicely demonstrated that 22/23 rhesus macaques vaccinated with DNA-prime, MVA boost regimen expressing Gag-Pol-Env, controlled viremia for 200 weeks after challenge with SHIV 89.6P. These controllers developed high levels of neutralizing antibodies, in combination with high levels of antigen-specific CD4$^+$ and CD8$^+$ IFN-gamma producing cells 2 weeks after viral challenge. Over time, however, the frequency of Gag-specific CD8$^+$ cells contracted (0.04-0.16%), while Gag-specific CD4$^+$ cells expanded (0.02%-0.27%). A significant fraction of both populations produced both IFN-gamma and IL-2. Overall, successful control was characterized by low-frequency, low-breadth CD4$^+$ and CD8$^+$ T cells co-producing IFN-gamma and IL-2 (22). Subsequent depletion of CD8$^+$ cells resulted in rebound viremia despite high neutralizing antibody levels and antigen specific CD4$^+$ cells, confirming that CD8$^+$ cells play a central role in long-term viral control (107). Despite this, DNA priming did not significantly augment the IFN-gamma ELISPOT response to a Clade-A based MVA vaccine in humans (108).

DNA is efficient at priming other viral vectors as well, including adenovirus (109), fowlpox (110) and Sendai virus (111) However, despite the fact that a DNA prime, Ad5 boost elicited a strong Gag-specific CD8$^+$ T cell response in baboons (109), the same DNA prime did not significantly boost immunogenicity of Ad5Gag in humans (E. Emini and Merck Research Team, 2002 – unpublished data).

Finally, heterologous viral prime-boost approaches appear better than homologous prime-boost approaches in generating CTL, likely due to the differing mechanisms of presentation, as well as avoidance of anti-vector immunity. Casimiro *et al.* found higher frequencies of antigen-specific

IFN-gamma-producing PBMCs Ad5 prime, canarypox boost regimen that either vector in homologous prime boost (112). Other heterologous prime-boost viral regimens include high levels of antigen-specific CTL, including SFV/MVA (113, 114), VSV/Vaccinia (115) and VSV/MVA (116).

5.4. Assay Limitations

The majority of analysis of T cell response to HIV-1 vaccines to date has relied on functional CTL killing assays, antigen-specific tetramer staining, or IFN-gamma ELISPOT (117-119). However, the advent of multicolor flow cytometry (1) allows for finer characterization of these responses. A detailed study of responses to tetanus and hepatitis B vaccines using multicolor flow cytometry by De Rosa *et al.* indicated that many CD4$^+$ T cells produced interleukin-2 (IL-2) without IFN-gamma. This study also describes a detailed immunologic characterization of four individuals who had been vaccinated with a clade A candidate DNA based vaccine. In this very limited sample, responses were heterogeneous and included CD4$^+$ T cells that secreted IL-2 and/or tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-alpha) without IFN-gamma (120). Therefore, use of the IFN-gamma ELISPOT assay alone may be insufficient to detect critical memory responses to candidate vaccines (64, 121).

5.5. Breakthrough Infections

Our lack of understanding of the true correlates of protection from HIV-1 is best evidenced by reports of breakthrough HIV-1 infections in subjects previously vaccinated in clinical trials. Three separate trials of recombinant gp120 or gp160 antigens, expressed either as recombinant proteins or in recombinant vaccinia virus reported breakthrough infections in one or more individuals, despite development of CTL and antibody responses to vaccine (122-124). The only Phase III efficacy study of a candidate HIV-1 vaccine to date, consisting of rgp120, showed no protection from HIV-1 (71). Furthermore, in 28 canarypox vaccinees who later acquired HIV-1 infection despite CTL responses, the course of infection was not attenuated compared to placebo recipients (125).

Betts, *et al.* recently described the immune response in a healthy vaccine volunteer receiving recombinant canarypox expressing gp120, gp41, Gag and protease. Detailed flow characterization revealed the vaccine induced both Gag-specific central and effector/effector memory CD8$^+$ T cells, defined as CD28$^+$ CD27$^+$CCR7$^-$ CD45RO$^+$CD57$^-$ and CD28$^-$ CD27$^-$CCR7$^-$ CD45RO$^-$CD57$^+$, respectively. Of the antigen-specific CD8$^+$ cells, more than 25% produced IL-2 in response to Gag peptides. A significant fraction of CD4$^+$ T cells produced IL-2 as well. Despite these varied T cell responses, the subject subsequently became infected with HIV-1. Over time, the virus escaped the dominant epitope sequences, and the T cell response took on the phenotype of a chronically infected subject (126). Despite our more recent understanding of components of protective central memory, it is clear we still do not have a full grasp of what is required for protective immunity.

6. CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES

As described above, in the chronic progressive stage of disease, HIV-1 specific CD4^{+} and CD8^{+} T cells become progressively more dysfunctional, and CTLs against new and previously targeted epitopes do not fully mature, resulting in increasing viral load, and clinical immunodeficiency. In large scale (phase III) completed vaccination trials, vaccinees acquired HIV-1 infection despite documented CTL or neutralizing antibodies responses. Indeed, this lack of efficacy prompted the development of alternative or complementary strategies to attempt to restore antigen specific T cell responses in chronically infected patients and to improve the quality of HIV-1 vaccine candidates. Multiple strategies to improve the quality, quantity, and duration of the T cell response to vaccines, including the use of adjuvant therapies (79) are beyond the scope of this review.

We will conclude by focusing on one potentially promising strategy, involving the dendritic cell (DCs) to restore or stimulate the HIV-1 immune response. DCs are professional antigen presenting and capturing cells that are able to stimulate effective immune responses both *in vitro* and *in vivo* (127). Exploiting the full immunostimulatory potential of DCs may be key to achieving an effective immune response to prevent or control HIV-1 infection.

Several techniques have been studied to allow DCs to present specific antigens, including pulsing with peptides, transducing with recombinant viral vectors, loading with apoptotic infected cells, or electroporating with autologous mRNA. Using such methods, many groups have successfully used DCs expressing HIV-1 antigens, to stimulate memory or even primary HIV-1 specific CD8^{+} T cell responses *in vitro* (128-133). In those studies, the stimulatory effect of the DCs was mostly represented by an increase in the frequency of IFN-gamma ELISPOT responses and in increased perforin expression of the effector T cells. However, as evidenced by the chronic infection state, higher quantities of IFN-gamma alone are not sufficient to control the viremia. Thus, in addition to quantity, the quality of the immune response, in terms of differentiation and function, must now be investigated more deeply to try to better define correlates of protection. In our hands, the use of potent mature DCs to restimulate HIV-1-specific CD8^{+} T cells in chronically infected patients with high viremia *in vitro*, does not help to restore the deficit in proliferation of those cells (60). This underlines the need to determine under which circumstances DC-based interventions may be appropriate to help establish a good immune response and not to exhaust an already exhausted one.

Interestingly, in the murine model of *Listeria monocytogenes* immunization, it has been shown that using peptide-pulsed DCs as an adjuvant accelerates the generation of memory T cells. In contrast, the administration of CpG oligodeoxynucleotides, a potent inflammatory agent that allows the action of IFN-gamma on the responding T cells, prevents memory T cells from

developing (134). This reinforces the concept that it is important to maintain a relatively quiescent immune system while establishing a memory T cell response.

Importantly however, Lu and coworkers have published promising results regarding therapeutic DC vaccination in chronically HIV-infected individuals. These subjects, untreated but with a stable viral load for at least 6 months, were immunized with autologous monocyte derived DCs loaded with autologous aldrithiol-2 inactivated HIV-1. In the majority of subjects, viral load was suppressed for at least one year. Control of viremia was associated with a robust HIV-1-specific CD4^{+} T helper type 1 response, comprised of IFN-gamma and IL-2 producing CD4^{+} T cells, and perforin expressing CD8^{+} effector cells (135). Again, this demonstrates that HIV-1 specific CD4^{+} T cells can sustain and restore HIV-1 specific CD8^{+} T cell function, as also demonstrated by Litcherfeld and colleges (61) .

It is interesting to note that in a mouse model, one single vaccination with HIV-1 Gag fused to anti DEC-205, a DC-targeting antibody, leads to a high frequency of IFN-gamma and Il-2 Gag-specific CD4^{+} T cells which persist long-term, and protect from virus challenge in a vaccinia-Gag challenge model (136).

Finally, alternative strategies using a combination of co-stimulatory molecules expressed at the surface of APC demonstrate that expansion and acquisition of effector function by antigen experienced CD8^{+} T cells can be achieved. Thus, Bukczynski and colleges have shown that the dual co-stimulation with CD80 and CD137L of HIV-1-specific CD8^{+} T cells *in vitro* can lead to better expansion and accumulation of effector molecules such as perforin (137).

Recently, a new approach based on inhibition of antigen presentation attenuators (SOCS1) in murine DCs have demonstrated that SOCS1 silenced DCs broadly induced enhanced HIV-1- specific CTLs and CD4^{+} helper T cells as well as antibody responses. Furthermore, the co-immunization with SOCS1 siRNA expressor DNA significantly enhanced the potency of HIV-1 DNA vaccination (138).

Globally, this review reminds us that the requirements for controlling HIV-1 infection are complex, and not completely defined. In addition to a strong neutralizing antibody response, and a polyfunctional CD4^{+} and CD8^{+} T cell response, several other factors may influence the ability of a host to control HIV-1 infection. A more detailed dissection of the quality of T cell response must be systematically addressed in humans and animals models capable of controlling HIV-1 viral replication to try to better define correlates of immune protection. Determinants of the quality of T cell response include the breadth of the response to various HIV-1 antigens, multiple cytokine secretion, memory phenotype, proliferation in response to antigen re-exposure, cytotoxicity, regulatory functions, anatomic location, and the kinetics of response. Thus ,in addition to an adequate memory response, CTL

expansion must occur rapidly enough to control initial infection (139). Localization of the response to the mucosal compartment may therefore be important in containing initial infection.

Attempts to improve the method of HIV-1 vaccine delivery are also critical, as the route, dosage, and vector used for vaccination influence the quality of the T cell response (140). Improved understanding of the quality of immune responses induced by both natural HIV-1 infection, as well as by various vaccine regimens, will allow us to design better strategies to direct the initial vaccine response towards a more protective response against HIV-1.

7. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

8. REFERENCES

1. Perfetto, S. P., P. K. Chattopadhyay & M. Roederer: Seventeen-colour flow cytometry: unravelling the immune system. *Nat Rev Immunol*, 4, 648-55 (2004)

2. Kaech, S. M. & R. Ahmed: Memory CD8+ T cell differentiation: initial antigen encounter triggers a developmental program in naive cells. *Nat Immunol*, 2, 415-22 (2001)

3. Badovinac, V. P., B. B. Porter & J. T. Harty: Programmed contraction of CD8 (+) T cells after infection. *Nat Immunol*, 3, 619-26 (2002)

4. Combadiere, B., A. Boissonnas, G. Carcelain, E. Lefranc, A. Samri, F. Bricaire, P. Debre & B. Autran: Distinct time effects of vaccination on long-term proliferative and IFN-gamma-producing T cell memory to smallpox in humans. *J Exp Med*, 199, 1585-93 (2004)

5. Seder, R. A. & R. Ahmed: Similarities and differences in CD4+ and CD8+ effector and memory T cell generation. *Nat Immunol*, 4, 835-42 (2003)

6. Khanolkar, A., M. J. Fuller & A. J. Zajac: CD4 T cell-dependent CD8 T cell maturation. *J Immunol*, 172, 2834-44 (2004)

7. Janssen, E. M., E. E. Lemmens, T. Wolfe, U. Christen, M. G. von Herrath & S. P. Schoenberger: CD4+ T cells are required for secondary expansion and memory in CD8+ T lymphocytes. *Nature*, 421, 852-6 (2003)

8. Fuller, M. J., D. A. Hildeman, S. Sabbaj, D. E. Gaddis, A. E. Tebo, L. Shang, P. A. Goepfert & A. J. Zajac: Cutting edge: emergence of CD127high functionally competent memory T cells is compromised by high viral loads and inadequate T cell help. *J Immunol*, 174, 5926-30 (2005)

9. Grakoui, A., N. H. Shoukry, D. J. Woollard, J. H. Han, H. L. Hanson, J. Ghrayeb, K. K. Murthy, C. M. Rice & C. M. Walker: HCV persistence and immune evasion in the absence of memory T cell help. *Science*, 302, 659-62 (2003)

10. Huster, K. M., V. Busch, M. Schiemann, K. Linkemann, K. M. Kerksiek, H. Wagner & D. H. Busch: Selective expression of IL-7 receptor on memory T cells identifies early CD40L-dependent generation of distinct CD8+ memory T cell subsets. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 101, 5610-5 (2004)

11. Sallusto, F., D. Lenig, R. Forster, M. Lipp & A. Lanzavecchia: Two subsets of memory T lymphocytes with distinct homing potentials and effector functions. *Nature*, 401, 708-12 (1999)

12. Wherry, E. J. & R. Ahmed: Memory CD8 T-cell differentiation during viral infection. *J Virol*, 78, 5535-45 (2004)

13. Klenerman, P. & A. Hill: T cells and viral persistence: lessons from diverse infections. *Nat Immunol*, 6, 873-9 (2005)

14. van Lier, R. A., I. J. ten Berge & L. E. Gamadia: Human CD8 (+) T-cell differentiation in response to viruses. *Nat Rev Immunol*, 3, 931-9 (2003)

15. Lanzavecchia, A. & F. Sallusto: Understanding the generation and function of memory T cell subsets. *Curr Opin Immunol*, 17, 326-32 (2005)

16. Fontenot, A. P., B. E. Palmer, A. K. Sullivan, F. G. Joslin, C. C. Wilson, L. A. Maier, L. S. Newman & B. L. Kotzin: Frequency of beryllium-specific, central memory CD4 T cells in blood determines proliferative response. *J Clin Invest* (2005)

17. Harari, A., F. Vallelian, P. R. Meylan & G. Pantaleo: Functional heterogeneity of memory CD4 T cell responses in different conditions of antigen exposure and persistence. *J Immunol*, 174, 1037-45 (2005)

18. Geginat, J., F. Sallusto & A. Lanzavecchia: Cytokine-driven proliferation and differentiation of human naive, central memory and effector memory CD4+ T cells. *Pathol Biol (Paris)*, 51, 64-6 (2003)

19. Klebanoff, C. A., L. Gattinoni, P. Torabi-Parizi, K. Kerstann, A. R. Cardones, S. E. Finkelstein, D. C. Palmer, P. A. Antony, S. T. Hwang, S. A. Rosenberg, T. A. Waldmann & N. P. Restifo: Central memory self/tumor-reactive CD8+ T cells confer superior antitumor immunity compared with effector memory T cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 102, 9571-6 (2005)

20. Sun, Y., J. E. Schmitz, P. M. Acierno, S. Santra, R. A. Subbramanian, D. H. Barouch, D. A. Gorgone, M. A. Lifton, K. R. Beaudry, K. Manson, V. Philippon, L. Xu, H. T. Maecker, J. R. Mascola, D. Panicali, G. J. Nabel & N. L. Letvin: Dysfunction of simian immunodeficiency virus/simian human immunodeficiency virus-induced IL-2 expression by central memory CD4+ T lymphocytes. *J Immunol*, 174, 4753-60 (2005)

21. Wherry, E. J., V. Teichgraber, T. C. Becker, D. Masopust, S. M. Kaech, R. Antia, U. H. von Andrian & R. Ahmed: Lineage relationship and protective immunity of memory CD8 T cell subsets. *Nat Immunol*, 4, 225-34 (2003)

22. Sadagopal, S., R. R. Amara, D. C. Montefiori, L. S. Wyatt, S. I. Staprans, N. L. Kozyr, H. M. McClure, B. Moss & H. L. Robinson: Signature for long-term vaccine-mediated control of a Simian and human immunodeficiency virus 89.6P challenge: stable low-breadth and low-frequency T-cell response capable of coproducing gamma interferon and interleukin-2. *J Virol*, 79, 3243-53 (2005)

23. Vaccari, M., C. J. Trindade, D. Venzon, M. Zanetti & G. Franchini: Vaccine-Induced CD8+ Central Memory T Cells in Protection from Simian AIDS. *J Immunol*, 175, 3502-7 (2005)

24. Baron, V., C. Bouneaud, A. Cumano, A. Lim, T. P. Arstila, P. Kourilsky, L. Ferradini & C. Pannetier: The repertoires of circulating human CD8 (+) central and effector memory T cell subsets are largely distinct. *Immunity*, 18, 193-204 (2003)

25. Schwendemann, J., C. Choi, V. Schirrmacher & P. Beckhove: Dynamic differentiation of activated human peripheral blood CD8+ and CD4+ effector memory T cells. *J Immunol*, 175, 1433-9 (2005)

26. Willinger, T., T. Freeman, H. Hasegawa, A. J. McMichael & M. F. Callan: Molecular signatures distinguish human central memory from effector memory CD8 T cell subsets. *J Immunol*, 175, 5895-903 (2005)

27. Altfeld, M., M. M. Addo, E. S. Rosenberg, F. M. Hecht, P. K. Lee, M. Vogel, X. G. Yu, R. Draenert, M. N. Johnston, D. Strick, T. M. Allen, M. E. Feeney, J. O. Kahn, R. P. Sekaly, J. A. Levy, J. K. Rockstroh, P. J. Goulder & B. D. Walker: Influence of HLA-B57 on clinical presentation and viral control during acute HIV-1 infection. *Aids*, 17, 2581-91 (2003)

28. Scriba, T. J., H. T. Zhang, H. L. Brown, A. Oxenius, N. Tamm, S. Fidler, J. Fox, J. N. Weber, P. Klenerman, C. L. Day, M. Lucas & R. E. Phillips: HIV-1-specific CD4+ T lymphocyte turnover and activation increase upon viral rebound. *J Clin Invest*, 115, 443-50 (2005)

29. Ramduth, D., P. Chetty, N. C. Mngquandaniso, N. Nene, J. D. Harlow, I. Honeyborne, N. Ntumba, S. Gappoo, C. Henry, P. Jeena, M. M. Addo, M. Altfeld, C. Brander, C. Day, H. Coovadia, P. Kiepiela, P. Goulder & B. Walker: Differential Immunogenicity of HIV-1 Clade C Proteins in Eliciting CD8+ and CD4+ Cell Responses. *J Infect Dis*, 192, 1588-96 (2005)

30. Iyasere, C., J. C. Tilton, A. J. Johnson, S. Younes, B. Yassine-Diab, R. P. Sekaly, W. W. Kwok, S. A. Migueles, A. C. Laborico, W. L. Shupert, C. W. Hallahan, R. T. Davey, Jr., M. Dybul, S. Vogel, J. Metcalf & M. Connors: Diminished proliferation of human immunodeficiency virus-specific CD4+ T cells is associated with diminished interleukin-2 (IL-2) production and is recovered by exogenous IL-2. *J Virol*, 77, 10900-9 (2003)

31. Younes, S. A., B. Yassine-Diab, A. R. Dumont, M. R. Boulassel, Z. Grossman, J. P. Routy & R. P. Sekaly: HIV-1 viremia prevents the establishment of interleukin 2-producing HIV-specific memory CD4+ T cells endowed with proliferative capacity. *J Exp Med*, 198, 1909-22 (2003)

32. Harari, A., S. Petitpierre, F. Vallelian & G. Pantaleo: Skewed representation of functionally distinct populations of virus-specific CD4 T cells in HIV-1-infected subjects with progressive disease: changes after antiretroviral therapy. *Blood*, 103, 966-72 (2004)

33. Palmer, B. E., E. Boritz & C. C. Wilson: Effects of sustained HIV-1 plasma viremia on HIV-1 Gag-specific CD4+ T cell maturation and function. *J Immunol*, 172, 3337-47 (2004)

34. Palmer, B. E., N. Blyveis, A. P. Fontenot & C. C. Wilson: Functional and Phenotypic Characterization of CD57+CD4+ T Cells and Their Association with HIV-1-Induced T Cell Dysfunction. *J Immunol*, 175, 8415-23 (2005)

35. Rosenberg, E. S., J. M. Billingsley, A. M. Caliendo, S. L. Boswell, P. E. Sax, S. A. Kalams & B. D. Walker: Vigorous HIV-1-specific CD4+ T cell responses associated with control of viremia. *Science*, 278, 1447-50 (1997)

36. Imami, N., A. Pires, G. Hardy, J. Wilson, B. Gazzard & F. Gotch: A balanced type 1/type 2 response is associated with long-term nonprogressive human immunodeficiency virus type 1 infection. *J Virol*, 76, 9011-23 (2002)

37. Alatrakchi, N., C. S. Graham, Q. He, K. E. Sherman & M. J. Koziel: CD8+ cell responses to hepatitis C virus (HCV) in the liver of persons with HCV-HIV coinfection versus HCV monoinfection. *J Infect Dis*, 191, 702-9 (2005)

38. Sousa, A. E., A. F. Chaves, A. Loureiro & R. M. Victorino: Comparison of the frequency of interleukin (IL)-2-, interferon-gamma-, and IL-4-producing T cells in 2 diseases, human immunodeficiency virus types 1 and 2, with distinct clinical outcomes. *J Infect Dis*, 184, 552-9 (2001)

39. Emu, B., E. Sinclair, D. Favre, W. J. Moretto, P. Hsue, R. Hoh, J. N. Martin, D. F. Nixon, J. M. McCune & S. G. Deeks: Phenotypic, functional, and kinetic parameters associated with apparent T-cell control of human immunodeficiency virus replication in individuals with and without antiretroviral treatment. *J Virol*, 79, 14169-78 (2005)

40. Koning, F. A., S. A. Otto, M. D. Hazenberg, L. Dekker, M. Prins, F. Miedema & H. Schuitemaker: Low-level CD4+ T cell activation is associated with low susceptibility to HIV-1 infection. *J Immunol*, 175, 6117-22 (2005)

41. Pantaleo, G., J. F. Demarest, H. Soudeyns, C. Graziosi, F. Denis, J. W. Adelsberger, P. Borrow, M. S. Saag, G. M. Shaw, R. P. Sekaly & *et al.*: Major expansion of CD8+ T cells with a predominant V beta usage during the primary immune response to HIV. *Nature*, 370, 463-7 (1994)

42. Koup, R. A., J. T. Safrit, Y. Cao, C. A. Andrews, G. McLeod, W. Borkowsky, C. Farthing & D. D. Ho: Temporal association of cellular immune responses with the initial control of viremia in primary human immunodeficiency virus type 1 syndrome. *J Virol*, 68, 4650-5 (1994)

43. Borrow, P., H. Lewicki, B. H. Hahn, G. M. Shaw & M. B. Oldstone: Virus-specific CD8+ cytotoxic T-lymphocyte activity associated with control of viremia in primary human immunodeficiency virus type 1 infection. *J Virol*, 68, 6103-10 (1994)

44. Altfeld, M., E. S. Rosenberg, R. Shankarappa, J. S. Mukherjee, F. M. Hecht, R. L. Eldridge, M. M. Addo, S. H. Poon, M. N. Phillips, G. K. Robbins, P. E. Sax, S. Boswell, J. O. Kahn, C. Brander, P. J. Goulder, J. A. Levy, J. I. Mullins & B. D. Walker: Cellular immune responses and viral diversity in individuals treated during acute and early HIV-1 infection. *J Exp Med*, 193, 169-80 (2001)

45. Cao, J., J. McNevin, S. Holte, L. Fink, L. Corey & M. J. McElrath: Comprehensive analysis of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1)-specific gamma interferon-secreting CD8+ T cells in primary HIV-1 infection. *J Virol*, 77, 6867-78 (2003)

46. Dalod, M., M. Dupuis, J. C. Deschemin, C. Goujard, C. Deveau, L. Meyer, N. Ngo, C. Rouzioux, J. G. Guillet, J. F. Delfraissy, M. Sinet & A. Venet: Weak anti-HIV CD8 (+)

T-cell effector activity in HIV primary infection. *J Clin Invest*, 104, 1431-9 (1999)

47. Betts, M. R., D. R. Ambrozak, D. C. Douek, S. Bonhoeffer, J. M. Brenchley, J. P. Casazza, R. A. Koup & L. J. Picker: Analysis of total human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-specific CD4 (+) and CD8 (+) T-cell responses: relationship to viral load in untreated HIV infection. *J Virol*, 75, 11983-91 (2001)

48. Addo, M. M., X. G. Yu, A. Rathod, D. Cohen, R. L. Eldridge, D. Strick, M. N. Johnston, C. Corcoran, A. G. Wurcel, C. A. Fitzpatrick, M. E. Feeney, W. R. Rodriguez, N. Basgoz, R. Draenert, D. R. Stone, C. Brander, P. J. Goulder, E. S. Rosenberg, M. Altfeld & B. D. Walker: Comprehensive epitope analysis of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1)-specific T-cell responses directed against the entire expressed HIV-1 genome demonstrate broadly directed responses, but no correlation to viral load. *J Virol*, 77, 2081-92 (2003)

49. Frahm, N., B. T. Korber, C. M. Adams, J. J. Szinger, R. Draenert, M. M. Addo, M. E. Feeney, K. Yusim, K. Sango, N. V. Brown, D. SenGupta, A. Piechocka-Trocha, T. Simonis, F. M. Marincola, A. G. Wurcel, D. R. Stone, C. J. Russell, P. Adolf, D. Cohen, T. Roach, A. StJohn, A. Khatri, K. Davis, J. Mullins, P. J. Goulder, B. D. Walker & C. Brander: Consistent cytotoxic-T-lymphocyte targeting of immunodominant regions in human immunodeficiency virus across multiple ethnicities. *J Virol*, 78, 2187-200 (2004)

50. Gea-Banacloche, J. C., S. A. Migueles, L. Martino, W. L. Shupert, A. C. McNeil, M. S. Sabbaghian, L. Ehler, C. Prussin, R. Stevens, L. Lambert, J. Altman, C. W. Hallahan, J. C. de Quiros & M. Connors: Maintenance of large numbers of virus-specific CD8+ T cells in HIV-infected progressors and long-term nonprogressors. *J Immunol*, 165, 1082-92 (2000)

51. Draenert, R., C. L. Verrill, Y. Tang, T. M. Allen, A. G. Wurcel, M. Boczanowski, A. Lechner, A. Y. Kim, T. Suscovich, N. V. Brown, M. M. Addo & B. D. Walker: Persistent recognition of autologous virus by high-avidity CD8 T cells in chronic, progressive human immunodeficiency virus type 1 infection. *J Virol*, 78, 630-41 (2004)

52. Tussey, L. G., U. S. Nair, M. Bachinsky, B. H. Edwards, J. Bakari, K. Grimm, J. Joyce, R. Vessey, R. Steigbigel, M. N. Robertson, J. W. Shiver & P. A. Goepfert: Antigen burden is major determinant of human immunodeficiency virus-specific CD8+ T cell maturation state: potential implications for therapeutic immunization. *J Infect Dis*, 187, 364-74 (2003)

53. Ellefsen, K., A. Harari, P. Champagne, P. A. Bart, R. P. Sekaly & G. Pantaleo: Distribution and functional analysis of memory antiviral CD8 T cell responses in HIV-1 and cytomegalovirus infections. *Eur J Immunol*, 32, 3756-64 (2002)

54. Champagne, P., G. S. Ogg, A. S. King, C. Knabenhans, K. Ellefsen, M. Nobile, V. Appay, G. P. Rizzardi, S. Fleury, M. Lipp, R. Forster, S. Rowland-Jones, R. P. Sekaly, A. J. McMichael & G. Pantaleo: Skewed maturation of memory HIV-specific CD8 T lymphocytes. *Nature*, 410, 106-11 (2001)

55. Papagno, L., C. A. Spina, A. Marchant, M. Salio, N. Rufer, S. Little, T. Dong, G. Chesney, A. Waters, P. Easterbrook, P. R. Dunbar, D. Shepherd, V. Cerundolo, V. Emery, P. Griffiths, C. Conlon, A. J. McMichael, D. D. Richman, S. L. Rowland-Jones & V. Appay: Immune Activation and CD8 (+) T-Cell Differentiation towards Senescence in HIV-1 Infection. *PLoS Biol*, 2, E20 (2004)

56. Brenchley, J. M., N. J. Karandikar, M. R. Betts, D. R. Ambrozak, B. J. Hill, L. E. Crotty, J. P. Casazza, J. Kuruppu, S. A. Migueles, M. Connors, M. Roederer, D. C. Douek & R. A. Koup: Expression of CD57 defines replicative senescence and antigen-induced apoptotic death of CD8+ T cells. *Blood*, 101, 2711-20 (2003)

57. Gray, C. M., J. Lawrence, J. M. Schapiro, J. D. Altman, M. A. Winters, M. Crompton, M. Loi, S. K. Kundu, M. M. Davis & T. C. Merigan: Frequency of class I HLA-restricted anti-HIV CD8+ T cells in individuals receiving highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART). *J Immunol*, 162, 1780-8 (1999)

58. Benito, J. M., M. Lopez, S. Lozano, P. Martinez, M. Kuroda, J. Gonzalez-Lahoz & V. Soriano: Phenotype and functional characteristics of HIV-specific cytotoxic CD8+ T cells in chronically infected patients: dual effects of highly active antiretroviral therapy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*, 34, 255-66 (2003)

59. Migueles, S. A., A. C. Laborico, W. L. Shupert, M. S. Sabbaghian, R. Rabin, C. W. Hallahan, D. Van Baarle, S. Kostense, F. Miedema, M. McLaughlin, L. Ehler, J. Metcalf, S. Liu & M. Connors: HIV-specific CD8+ T cell proliferation is coupled to perforin expression and is maintained in nonprogressors. *Nat Immunol*, 3, 1061-8 (2002)

60. Arrode, G., J. S. Finke, H. Zebroski, F. P. Siegal & R. M. Steinman: CD8+ T cells from most HIV-1-infected patients, even when challenged with mature dendritic cells, lack functional recall memory to HIV gag but not other viruses. *Eur J Immunol*, 35, 159-70 (2005)

61. Lichterfeld, M., D. E. Kaufmann, X. G. Yu, S. K. Mui, M. M. Addo, M. N. Johnston, D. Cohen, G. K. Robbins, E. Pae, G. Alter, A. Wurcel, D. Stone, E. S. Rosenberg, B. D. Walker & M. Altfeld: Loss of HIV-1-specific CD8+ T cell proliferation after acute HIV-1 infection and restoration by vaccine-induced HIV-1-specific CD4+ T cells. *J Exp Med*, 200, 701-12 (2004)

62. Zimmerli, S. C., A. Harari, C. Cellerai, F. Vallelian, P. A. Bart & G. Pantaleo: HIV-1-specific IFN-gamma/IL-2-secreting CD8 T cells support CD4-independent proliferation of HIV-1-specific CD8 T cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 102, 7239-44 (2005)

63. Hess, C., M. Altfeld, S. Y. Thomas, M. M. Addo, E. S. Rosenberg, T. M. Allen, R. Draenert, R. L. Eldrige, J. van Lunzen, H. J. Stellbrink, B. D. Walker & A. D. Luster: HIV-1 specific CD8+ T cells with an effector phenotype and control of viral replication. *Lancet*, 363, 863-6 (2004)

64. Pantaleo, G. & R. A. Koup: Correlates of immune protection in HIV-1 infection: what we know, what we don't know, what we should know. *Nat Med*, 10, 806-10 (2004)

65. Jansen, C. A., D. van Baarle & F. Miedema: HIV-specific CD4 (+) T cells and viremia: who's in control? *Trends Immunol* (2006)

66. Betts, M. R., M. C. Nason, S. M. West, S. C. De Rosa, S. A. Migueles, J. Abraham, M. M. Lederman, J. M. Benito, P. A. Goepfert, M. Connors, M. Roederer & R. A.

Koup: HIV nonprogressors preferentially maintain highly functional HIV-specific CD8+ T-cells. *Blood* (2006)

67. Sheppard, H. W.: Inactivated- or killed-virus HIV/AIDS vaccines. *Curr Drug Targets Infect Disord*, 5, 131-41 (2005)

68. Arthur, L. O., S. W. Pyle, P. L. Nara, J. W. Bess, Jr., M. A. Gonda, J. C. Kelliher, R. V. Gilden, W. G. Robey, D. P. Bolognesi, R. C. Gallo & *et al.*: Serological responses in chimpanzees inoculated with human immunodeficiency virus glycoprotein (gp120) subunit vaccine. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 84, 8583-7 (1987)

69. Orentas, R. J., J. E. Hildreth, E. Obah, M. Polydefkis, G. E. Smith, M. L. Clements & R. F. Siliciano: Induction of CD4+ human cytolytic T cells specific for HIV-infected cells by a gp160 subunit vaccine. *Science*, 248, 1234-7 (1990)

70. Miskovsky, E. P., A. Y. Liu, W. Pavlat, R. Viveen, P. E. Stanhope, D. Finzi, W. M. Fox, 3rd, R. H. Hruban, E. R. Podack & R. F. Siliciano: Studies of the mechanism of cytolysis by HIV-1-specific CD4+ human CTL clones induced by candidate AIDS vaccines. *J Immunol*, 153, 2787-99 (1994)

71. VaxGen vaccine trial fails the test but may offer insights. *AIDS Alert*, 18, 41, 43-5 (2003)

72. Santra, S., D. H. Barouch, M. J. Kuroda, J. E. Schmitz, G. R. Krivulka, K. Beaudry, C. I. Lord, M. A. Lifton, L. S. Wyatt, B. Moss, V. M. Hirsch & N. L. Letvin: Prior vaccination increases the epitopic breadth of the cytotoxic T-lymphocyte response that evolves in rhesus monkeys following a simian-human immunodeficiency virus infection. *J Virol*, 76, 6376-81 (2002)

73. Wang, B., J. Boyer, V. Srikantan, K. Ugen, L. Gilbert, C. Phan, K. Dang, M. Merva, M. G. Agadjanyan, M. Newman & *et al.*: Induction of humoral and cellular immune responses to the human immunodeficiency type 1 virus in nonhuman primates by *in vivo* DNA inoculation. *Virology*, 211, 102-12 (1995)

74. MacGregor, R. R., J. D. Boyer, K. E. Ugen, K. E. Lacy, S. J. Gluckman, M. L. Bagarazzi, M. A. Chattergoon, Y. Baine, T. J. Higgins, R. B. Ciccarelli, L. R. Coney, R. S. Ginsberg & D. B. Weiner: First human trial of a DNA-based vaccine for treatment of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 infection: safety and host response. *J Infect Dis*, 178, 92-100 (1998)

75. Barouch, D. H., A. Craiu, S. Santra, M. A. Egan, J. E. Schmitz, M. J. Kuroda, T. M. Fu, J. H. Nam, L. S. Wyatt, M. A. Lifton, G. R. Krivulka, C. E. Nickerson, C. I. Lord, B. Moss, M. G. Lewis, V. M. Hirsch, J. W. Shiver & N. L. Letvin: Elicitation of high-frequency cytotoxic T-lymphocyte responses against both dominant and subdominant simian-human immunodeficiency virus epitopes by DNA vaccination of rhesus monkeys. *J Virol*, 75, 2462-7 (2001)

76. Boyer, J. D., B. Wang, K. E. Ugen, M. Agadjanyan, A. Javadian, P. Frost, K. Dang, R. A. Carrano, R. Ciccarelli, L. Coney, W. V. Williams & D. B. Weiner: *In vivo* protective anti-HIV immune responses in non-human primates through DNA immunization. *J Med Primatol*, 25, 242-50 (1996)

77. Caulfield, M. J., S. Wang, J. G. Smith, T. W. Tobery, X. Liu, M. E. Davies, D. R. Casimiro, T. M. Fu, A. Simon, R. K. Evans, E. A. Emini & J. Shiver: Sustained peptide-

specific gamma interferon T-cell response in rhesus macaques immunized with human immunodeficiency virus gag DNA vaccines. *J Virol*, 76, 10038-43 (2002)

78. Boyer, J. D., K. E. Ugen, B. Wang, M. Agadjanyan, L. Gilbert, M. L. Bagarazzi, M. Chattergoon, P. Frost, A. Javadian, W. V. Williams, Y. Refaeli, R. B. Ciccarelli, D. McCallus, L. Coney & D. B. Weiner: Protection of chimpanzees from high-dose heterologous HIV-1 challenge by DNA vaccination. *Nat Med*, 3, 526-32 (1997)

79. Calarota, S. A. & D. B. Weiner: Enhancement of human immunodeficiency virus type 1-DNA vaccine potency through incorporation of T-helper 1 molecular adjuvants. *Immunol Rev*, 199, 84-99 (2004)

80. Musey, L., Y. Ding, M. Elizaga, R. Ha, C. Celum & M. J. McElrath: HIV-1 vaccination administered intramuscularly can induce both systemic and mucosal T cell immunity in HIV-1-uninfected individuals. *J Immunol*, 171, 1094-101 (2003)

81. Egan, M. A., W. A. Pavlat, J. Tartaglia, E. Paoletti, K. J. Weinhold, M. L. Clements & R. F. Siliciano: Induction of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1)-specific cytolytic T lymphocyte responses in seronegative adults by a nonreplicating, host-range-restricted canarypox vector (ALVAC) carrying the HIV-1MN env gene. *J Infect Dis*, 171, 1623-7 (1995)

82. Evans, T. G., E. G. Kallas, M. Campbell, J. Andrews, D. Schwartz, M. Keefer & P. Caudrelier: Evaluation of canarypox-induced CD8 (+) responses following immunization by measuring the effector population IFNgamma production. *Immunol Lett*, 77, 7-15 (2001)

83. Worku, S., G. J. Gorse, R. B. Belshe & D. F. Hoft: Canarypox vaccines induce antigen-specific human gammadelta T cells capable of interferon-gamma production. *J Infect Dis*, 184, 525-32 (2001)

84. Girard, M., E. van der Ryst, F. Barre-Sinoussi, P. Nara, J. Tartaglia, E. Paoletti, C. Blondeau, M. Jennings, F. Verrier, B. Meignier & P. N. Fultz: Challenge of chimpanzees immunized with a recombinant canarypox-HIV-1 virus. *Virology*, 232, 98-104 (1997)

85. Hanke, T., T. J. Blanchard, J. Schneider, G. S. Ogg, R. Tan, M. Becker, S. C. Gilbert, A. V. Hill, G. L. Smith & A. McMichael: Immunogenicities of intravenous and intramuscular administrations of modified vaccinia virus Ankara-based multi-CTL epitope vaccine for human immunodeficiency virus type 1 in mice. *J Gen Virol*, 79 (Pt 1), 83-90 (1998)

86. Barouch, D. H. & G. J. Nabel: Adenovirus vector-based vaccines for human immunodeficiency virus type 1. *Hum Gene Ther*, 16, 149-56 (2005)

87. Shiver, J. W., T. M. Fu, L. Chen, D. R. Casimiro, M. E. Davies, R. K. Evans, Z. Q. Zhang, A. J. Simon, W. L. Trigona, S. A. Dubey, L. Huang, V. A. Harris, R. S. Long, X. Liang, L. Handt, W. A. Schleif, L. Zhu, D. C. Freed, N. V. Persaud, L. Guan, K. S. Punt, A. Tang, M. Chen, K. A. Wilson, K. B. Collins, G. J. Heidecker, V. R. Fernandez, H. C. Perry, J. G. Joyce, K. M. Grimm, J. C. Cook, P. M. Keller, D. S. Kresock, H. Mach, R. D. Troutman, L. A. Isopi, D. M. Williams, Z. Xu, K. E. Bohannon, D. B. Volkin, D. C. Montefiori, A. Miura, G. R. Krivulka, M. A. Lifton, M. J. Kuroda, J. E. Schmitz, N. L. Letvin, M. J. Caulfield, A. J. Bett, R. Youil, D. C. Kaslow & E. A. Emini: Replication-incompetent adenoviral vaccine vector

elicits effective anti-immunodeficiency-virus immunity. *Nature*, 415, 331-5 (2002)

88. Lorin, C., F. Delebecque, V. Labrousse, L. Da Silva, F. Lemonnier, M. Brahic & F. Tangy: A recombinant live attenuated measles vaccine vector primes effective HLA-A0201-restricted cytotoxic T lymphocytes and broadly neutralizing antibodies against HIV-1 conserved epitopes. *Vaccine*, 23, 4463-72 (2005)

89. Fultz, P. N., J. Stallworth, D. Porter, M. Novak, M. J. Anderson & C. D. Morrow: Immunogenicity in pig-tailed macaques of poliovirus replicons expressing HIV-1 and SIV antigens and protection against SHIV-89.6P disease. *Virology*, 315, 425-37 (2003)

90. Davis, N. L., I. J. Caley, K. W. Brown, M. R. Betts, D. M. Irlbeck, K. M. McGrath, M. J. Connell, D. C. Montefiori, J. A. Frelinger, R. Swanstrom, P. R. Johnson & R. E. Johnston: Vaccination of macaques against pathogenic simian immunodeficiency virus with Venezuelan equine encephalitis virus replicon particles. *J Virol*, 74, 371-8 (2000)

91. Rose, N. F., P. A. Marx, A. Luckay, D. F. Nixon, W. J. Moretto, S. M. Donahoe, D. Montefiori, A. Roberts, L. Buonocore & J. K. Rose: An effective AIDS vaccine based on live attenuated vesicular stomatitis virus recombinants. *Cell*, 106, 539-49 (2001)

92. Sundback, M., I. Douagi, C. Dayaraj, M. N. Forsell, E. K. Nordstrom, G. M. McInerney, K. Spangberg, L. Tjader, E. Bonin, M. Sundstrom, P. Liljestrom & G. B. Karlsson Hedestam: Efficient expansion of HIV-1-specific T cell responses by homologous immunization with recombinant Semliki Forest virus particles. *Virology*, 341, 190-202 (2005)

93. Cayabyab, M. J., A. H. Hovav, T. Hsu, G. R. Krivulka, M. A. Lifton, D. A. Gorgone, G. J. Fennelly, B. F. Haynes, W. R. Jacobs, Jr. & N. L. Letvin: Generation of CD8+ T-cell responses by a recombinant nonpathogenic Mycobacterium smegmatis vaccine vector expressing human immunodeficiency virus type 1 Env. *J Virol*, 80, 1645-52 (2006)

94. Shata, M. T., M. S. Reitz, Jr., A. L. DeVico, G. K. Lewis & D. M. Hone: Mucosal and systemic HIV-1 Env-specific CD8 (+) T-cells develop after intragastric vaccination with a Salmonella Env DNA vaccine vector. *Vaccine*, 20, 623-9 (2001)

95. Vecino, W. H., P. M. Morin, R. Agha, W. R. Jacobs, Jr. & G. J. Fennelly: Mucosal DNA vaccination with highly attenuated Shigella is superior to attenuated Salmonella and comparable to intramuscular DNA vaccination for T cells against HIV. *Immunol Lett*, 82, 197-204 (2002)

96. Amara, R. R., F. Villinger, S. I. Staprans, J. D. Altman, D. C. Montefiori, N. L. Kozyr, Y. Xu, L. S. Wyatt, P. L. Earl, J. G. Herndon, H. M. McClure, B. Moss & H. L. Robinson: Different patterns of immune responses but similar control of a simian-human immunodeficiency virus 89.6P mucosal challenge by modified vaccinia virus Ankara (MVA) and DNA/MVA vaccines. *J Virol*, 76, 7625-31 (2002)

97. Yang, Z. Y., L. S. Wyatt, W. P. Kong, Z. Moodie, B. Moss & G. J. Nabel: Overcoming immunity to a viral vaccine by DNA priming before vector boosting. *J Virol*, 77, 799-803 (2003)

98. Vuola, J. M., S. Keating, D. P. Webster, T. Berthoud, S. Dunachie, S. C. Gilbert & A. V. Hill: Differential immunogenicity of various heterologous prime-boost vaccine regimens using DNA and viral vectors in healthy volunteers. *J Immunol*, 174, 449-55 (2005)

99. Mwau, M., I. Cebere, J. Sutton, P. Chikoti, N. Winstone, E. G. Wee, T. Beattie, Y. H. Chen, L. Dorrell, H. McShane, C. Schmidt, M. Brooks, S. Patel, J. Roberts, C. Conlon, S. L. Rowland-Jones, J. J. Bwayo, A. J. McMichael & T. Hanke: A human immunodeficiency virus 1 (HIV-1) clade A vaccine in clinical trials: stimulation of HIV-specific T-cell responses by DNA and recombinant modified vaccinia virus Ankara (MVA) vaccines in humans. *J Gen Virol*, 85, 911-9 (2004)

100. Amara, R. R., F. Villinger, J. D. Altman, S. L. Lydy, S. P. O'Neil, S. I. Staprans, D. C. Montefiori, Y. Xu, J. G. Herndon, L. S. Wyatt, M. A. Candido, N. L. Kozyr, P. L. Earl, J. M. Smith, H. L. Ma, B. D. Grimm, M. L. Hulsey, J. Miller, H. M. McClure, J. M. McNicholl, B. Moss & H. L. Robinson: Control of a mucosal challenge and prevention of AIDS by a multiprotein DNA/MVA vaccine. *Science*, 292, 69-74 (2001)

101. Bertley, F. M., P. A. Kozlowski, S. W. Wang, J. Chappelle, J. Patel, O. Sonuyi, G. Mazzara, D. Montefiori, A. Carville, K. G. Mansfield & A. Aldovini: Control of simian/human immunodeficiency virus viremia and disease progression after IL-2-augmented DNA-modified vaccinia virus Ankara nasal vaccination in nonhuman primates. *J Immunol*, 172, 3745-57 (2004)

102. Hanke, T., R. V. Samuel, T. J. Blanchard, V. C. Neumann, T. M. Allen, J. E. Boyson, S. A. Sharpe, N. Cook, G. L. Smith, D. I. Watkins, M. P. Cranage & A. J. McMichael: Effective induction of simian immunodeficiency virus-specific cytotoxic T lymphocytes in macaques by using a multiepitope gene and DNA prime-modified vaccinia virus Ankara boost vaccination regimen. *J Virol*, 73, 7524-32 (1999)

103. Makitalo, B., P. Lundholm, J. Hinkula, C. Nilsson, K. Karlen, A. Morner, G. Sutter, V. Erfle, J. L. Heeney, B. Wahren, G. Biberfeld & R. Thorstensson: Enhanced cellular immunity and systemic control of SHIV infection by combined parenteral and mucosal administration of a DNA prime MVA boost vaccine regimen. *J Gen Virol*, 85, 2407-19 (2004)

104. McConkey, S. J., W. H. Reece, V. S. Moorthy, D. Webster, S. Dunachie, G. Butcher, J. M. Vuola, T. J. Blanchard, P. Gothard, K. Watkins, C. M. Hannan, S. Everaere, K. Brown, K. E. Kester, J. Cummings, J. Williams, D. G. Heppner, A. Pathan, K. Flanagan, N. Arulanantham, M. T. Roberts, M. Roy, G. L. Smith, J. Schneider, T. Peto, R. E. Sinden, S. C. Gilbert & A. V. Hill: Enhanced T-cell immunogenicity of plasmid DNA vaccines boosted by recombinant modified vaccinia virus Ankara in humans. *Nat Med*, 9, 729-35 (2003)

105. Allen, T. M., T. U. Vogel, D. H. Fuller, B. R. Mothe, S. Steffen, J. E. Boyson, T. Shipley, J. Fuller, T. Hanke, A. Sette, J. D. Altman, B. Moss, A. J. McMichael & D. I. Watkins: Induction of AIDS virus-specific CTL activity in fresh, unstimulated peripheral blood lymphocytes from rhesus macaques vaccinated with a DNA prime/modified vaccinia virus Ankara boost regimen. *J Immunol*, 164, 4968-78 (2000)

106. Doria-Rose, N. A., C. Ohlen, P. Polacino, C. C. Pierce, M. T. Hensel, L. Kuller, T. Mulvania, D. Anderson, P. D. Greenberg, S. L. Hu & N. L. Haigwood: Multigene DNA priming-boosting vaccines protect macaques from acute CD4+-T-cell depletion after simian-human immunodeficiency virus SHIV89.6P mucosal challenge. *J Virol*, 77, 11563-77 (2003)

107. Amara, R. R., C. Ibegbu, F. Villinger, D. C. Montefiori, S. Sharma, P. Nigam, Y. Xu, H. M. McClure & H. L. Robinson: Studies using a viral challenge and CD8 T cell depletions on the roles of cellular and humoral immunity in the control of an SHIV-89.6P challenge in DNA/MVA-vaccinated macaques. *Virology*, 343, 246-55 (2005)

108. Abdool Karim, S. S.: Top stories of 2004. DNA-MVA prime boost: another vaccine candidate bites the dust. *AIDS Clin Care*, 17, 5 (2005)

109. Casimiro, D. R., A. Tang, L. Chen, T. M. Fu, R. K. Evans, M. E. Davies, D. C. Freed, W. Hurni, J. M. Aste-Amezaga, L. Guan, R. Long, L. Huang, V. Harris, D. K. Nawrocki, H. Mach, R. D. Troutman, L. A. Isopi, K. K. Murthy, K. Rice, K. A. Wilson, D. B. Volkin, E. A. Emini & J. W. Shiver: Vaccine-induced immunity in baboons by using DNA and replication-incompetent adenovirus type 5 vectors expressing a human immunodeficiency virus type 1 gag gene. *J Virol*, 77, 7663-8 (2003)

110. Kent, S. J., A. Zhao, S. J. Best, J. D. Chandler, D. B. Boyle & I. A. Ramshaw: Enhanced T-cell immunogenicity and protective efficacy of a human immunodeficiency virus type 1 vaccine regimen consisting of consecutive priming with DNA and boosting with recombinant fowlpox virus. *J Virol*, 72, 10180-8 (1998)

111. Matano, T., M. Kobayashi, H. Igarashi, A. Takeda, H. Nakamura, M. Kano, C. Sugimoto, K. Mori, A. Iida, T. Hirata, M. Hasegawa, T. Yuasa, M. Miyazawa, Y. Takahashi, M. Yasunami, A. Kimura, D. H. O'Connor, D. I. Watkins & Y. Nagai: Cytotoxic T lymphocyte-based control of simian immunodeficiency virus replication in a preclinical AIDS vaccine trial. *J Exp Med*, 199, 1709-18 (2004)

112. Casimiro, D. R., A. J. Bett, T. M. Fu, M. E. Davies, A. Tang, K. A. Wilson, M. Chen, R. Long, T. McKelvey, M. Chastain, S. Gurunathan, J. Tartaglia, E. A. Emini & J. Shiver: Heterologous human immunodeficiency virus type 1 priming-boosting immunization strategies involving replication-defective adenovirus and poxvirus vaccine vectors. *J Virol*, 78, 11434-8 (2004)

113. Hanke, T., C. Barnfield, E. G. Wee, L. Agren, R. V. Samuel, N. Larke & P. Liljestrom: Construction and immunogenicity in a prime-boost regimen of a Semliki Forest virus-vectored experimental HIV clade A vaccine. *J Gen Virol*, 84, 361-8 (2003)

114. Nilsson, C., B. Makitalo, P. Berglund, F. Bex, P. Liljestrom, G. Sutter, V. Erfle, P. ten Haaft, J. Heeney, G. Biberfeld & R. Thorstensson: Enhanced simian immunodeficiency virus-specific immune responses in macaques induced by priming with recombinant Semliki Forest virus and boosting with modified vaccinia virus Ankara. *Vaccine*, 19, 3526-36 (2001)

115. Haglund, K., I. Leiner, K. Kerksiek, L. Buonocore, E. Pamer & J. K. Rose: High-level primary CD8 (+) T-cell response to human immunodeficiency virus type 1 gag and env generated by vaccination with recombinant vesicular stomatitis viruses. *J Virol*, 76, 2730-8 (2002)

116. Ramsburg, E., N. F. Rose, P. A. Marx, M. Mefford, D. F. Nixon, W. J. Moretto, D. Montefiori, P. Earl, B. Moss & J. K. Rose: Highly effective control of an AIDS virus challenge in macaques by using vesicular stomatitis virus and modified vaccinia virus Ankara vaccine vectors in a single-boost protocol. *J Virol*, 78, 3930-40 (2004)

117. Mwau, M., A. J. McMichael & T. Hanke: Design and validation of an enzyme-linked immunospot assay for use in clinical trials of candidate HIV vaccines. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses*, 18, 611-8 (2002)

118. Russell, N. D., M. G. Hudgens, R. Ha, C. Havenar-Daughton & M. J. McElrath: Moving to human immunodeficiency virus type 1 vaccine efficacy trials: defining T cell responses as potential correlates of immunity. *J Infect Dis*, 187, 226-42 (2003)

119. Sun, Y., E. Iglesias, A. Samri, G. Kamkamidze, T. Decoville, G. Carcelain & B. Autran: A systematic comparison of methods to measure HIV-1 specific CD8 T cells. *J Immunol Methods*, 272, 23-34 (2003)

120. De Rosa, S. C., F. X. Lu, J. Yu, S. P. Perfetto, J. Falloon, S. Moser, T. G. Evans, R. Koup, C. J. Miller & M. Roederer: Vaccination in humans generates broad T cell cytokine responses. *J Immunol*, 173, 5372-80 (2004)

121. Yang, O. O.: Will we be able to 'spot' an effective HIV-1 vaccine? *Trends Immunol*, 24, 67-72 (2003)

122. Locher, C. P., R. M. Grant, E. A. Collisson, G. Reyes-Teran, T. Elbeik, J. O. Kahn & J. A. Levy: Antibody and cellular immune responses in breakthrough infection subjects after HIV type 1 glycoprotein 120 vaccination. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses*, 15, 1685-9 (1999)

123. Kahn, J. O., K. S. Steimer, J. Baenziger, A. M. Duliege, M. Feinberg, T. Elbeik, M. Chesney, N. Murcar, D. Chernoff & F. Sinangil: Clinical, immunologic, and virologic observations related to human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) type 1 infection in a volunteer in an HIV-1 vaccine clinical trial. *J Infect Dis*, 171, 1343-7 (1995)

124. McElrath, M. J., L. Corey, P. D. Greenberg, T. J. Matthews, D. C. Montefiori, L. Rowen, L. Hood & J. I. Mullins: Human immunodeficiency virus type 1 infection despite prior immunization with a recombinant envelope vaccine regimen. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 93, 3972-7 (1996)

125. Lee, D., B. S. Graham, Y. L. Chiu, P. B. Gilbert, M. J. McElrath, R. B. Belshe, S. P. Buchbinder, H. W. Sheppard, B. A. Koblin, K. H. Mayer, M. C. Keefer, M. J. Mulligan & C. L. Celum: Breakthrough infections during phase 1 and 2 prime-boost HIV-1 vaccine trials with canarypox vectors (ALVAC) and booster dose of recombinant gp120 or gp160. *J Infect Dis*, 190, 903-7 (2004)

126. Betts, M. R., B. Exley, D. A. Price, A. Bansal, Z. T. Camacho, V. Teaberry, S. M. West, D. R. Ambrozak, G. Tomaras, M. Roederer, J. M. Kilby, J. Tartaglia, R. Belshe, F. Gao, D. C. Douek, K. J. Weinhold, R. A. Koup, P. Goepfert & G. Ferrari: Characterization of functional and phenotypic changes in anti-Gag vaccine-induced T cell responses and their role in protection after HIV-1 infection. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 102, 4512-7 (2005)

127. Bancherau, J. & R. M. Steinman: Dendritic cells and the control of immunity. *Nature*, 392, 245-52 (1998)

128. Maranon, C., J. F. Desoutter, G. Hoeffel, W. Cohen, D. Hanau & A. Hosmalin: Dendritic cells cross-present HIV antigens from live as well as apoptotic infected CD4+ T lymphocytes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 101, 6092-7 (2004)

129. Van Gulck, E. R., P. Ponsaerts, L. Heyndrickx, K. Vereecken, F. Moerman, A. De Roo, R. Colebunders, G. Van den Bosch, D. R. Van Bockstaele, V. F. Van Tendeloo, S. Allard, B. Verrier, C. Maranon, G. Hoeffel, A. Hosmalin, Z. N. Berneman & G. Vanham: Efficient stimulation of HIV-1-specific T-cells using dendritic cells electroporated with mRNA encoding autologous HIV-1 Gag and Env protein. *Blood* (2005)

130. Wilson, C. C., W. C. Olson, T. Tuting, C. R. Rinaldo, M. T. Lotze & W. J. Storkus: HIV-1-specific CTL responses primed *in vitro* by blood-derived dendritic cells and Th1-biasing cytokines. *J Immunol*, 162, 3070-8 (1999)

131. Zhao, X. Q., X. L. Huang, P. Gupta, L. Borowski, Z. Fan, S. C. Watkins, E. K. Thomas & C. R. Rinaldo, Jr.: Induction of anti-human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) CD8 (+) and CD4 (+) T-cell reactivity by dendritic cells loaded with HIV-1 X4-infected apoptotic cells. *J Virol*, 76, 3007-14 (2002)

132. Engelmayer, J., M. Larsson, A. Lee, M. Lee, W. I. Cox, R. M. Steinman & N. Bhardwaj: Mature dendritic cells infected with canarypox virus elicit strong anti-human immunodeficiency virus CD8+ and CD4+ T-cell responses from chronically infected individuals. *J Virol*, 75, 2142-53 (2001)

133. Tsunetsugu-Yokota, Y., Y. Morikawa, M. Isogai, A. Kawana-Tachikawa, T. Odawara, T. Nakamura, F. Grassi, B. Autran & A. Iwamoto: Yeast-derived human immunodeficiency virus type 1 p55 (gag) virus-like particles activate dendritic cells (DCs) and induce perforin expression in Gag-specific CD8 (+) T cells by cross-presentation of DCs. *J Virol*, 77, 10250-9 (2003)

134. Badovinac, V. P., K. A. Messingham, A. Jabbari, J. S. Haring & J. T. Harty: Accelerated CD8+ T-cell memory and prime-boost response after dendritic-cell vaccination. *Nat Med*, 11, 748-56 (2005)

135. Lu, W., L. C. Arraes, W. T. Ferreira & J. M. Andrieu: Therapeutic dendritic-cell vaccine for chronic HIV-1 infection. *Nat Med*, 10, 1359-65 (2004)

136. Trumpfheller C., J. F., C. B. Lopez, T. M. Moran, B. Moltedo, H. Soares, Y. Huang, S. J. Schlesinger, C. G. Park, M. C. Nussenzweig, A. Granelli-Piperno, R. M. Steinman.: Intensified and protective CD4+ T cell immunity in mice with anti-dendritic cell HIV gag fusion antibody vaccine. *Journal of Experimental Medecine*, (in press) (2006)

137. Bukczynski, J., T. Wen, C. Wang, N. Christie, J. P. Routy, M. R. Boulassel, C. M. Kovacs, K. S. Macdonald, M. Ostrowski, R. P. Sekaly, N. F. Bernard & T. H. Watts: Enhancement of HIV-specific CD8 T cell responses by dual costimulation with CD80 and CD137L. *J Immunol*, 175, 6378-89 (2005)

138. Song, X. T., K. Evel-Kabler, L. Rollins, M. Aldrich, F. Gao, X. F. Huang & S. Y. Chen: An Alternative and Effective HIV Vaccination Approach Based on Inhibition of Antigen Presentation Attenuators in Dendritic Cells. *PLoS Med*, 3, e11 (2006)

139. Gruters, R. A., C. A. van Baalen & A. D. Osterhaus: The advantage of early recognition of HIV-infected cells by cytotoxic T-lymphocytes. *Vaccine*, 20, 2011-5 (2002)

140. Estcourt, M. J., S. Letourneau, A. J. McMichael & T. Hanke: Vaccine route, dose and type of delivery vector determine patterns of primary CD8+ T cell responses. *Eur J Immunol*, 35, 2532-40 (2005)

Abbreviations: APC: Antigen Presenting Cell, LTNPs: Long Term Non-Progressor, DC: Dendritic cell, Tem – effector memory T cell, Tcm – central memory T cell

Key Words: T cell, Immune Response, Immune System, Immunity, HIV-1, Infection , Vaccine, Review

Send Correspondance to: Dr Geraldine Arrode, Department of Microbiology, Molecular Genetics and Immunology – The University of Kansas Medical Center – 3025 Wahl Hall West – 3901 Rainbow Blvd – Kansas City, KS 66160, Tel: 913-588-5574, Fax: 913-588-5599, E-mail: garrode@kumc.edu