

Original Research Impact of Coronavirus Disease 2019 in Cesarean Delivery and Neonates: A Case-Control Study

Juseok Yang^{1,2,3}, Ji Eun Park^{1,2,3}, Jong Chul Baek^{1,2,3}, Iyun Kwon¹, Hyen Chul Jo^{1,2,3,*}

¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Gyeongsang National University Changwon Hospital, 51472 Changwon, Republic of Korea

²Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine, 52828 Jinju, Republic of Korea

³Institute of Health Science, Gyeongsang National University, 52828 Jinju, Republic of Korea

*Correspondence: cholida73@naver.com (Hyen Chul Jo)

Academic Editor: Michael H. Dahan

Submitted: 29 March 2023 Revised: 19 April 2023 Accepted: 24 April 2023 Published: 30 May 2023

Abstract

Background: The aim of this study was to compare the maternal, surgical, and neonatal outcomes in pregnant women with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) who underwent cesarean delivery at term and provide evidence for the management of parturient with COVID-19. **Methods**: This case-control study was conducted retrospectively and evaluated 67 cases of cesarean delivery between February 1 and May 31 performed at the Gyeongsang National University Changwon Hospital, Korea. Case group included women who had positive reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) test within 7 days before delivery. Control group was selected based on mode of delivery and gestational age among women with negative RT-PCR result. **Results**: A total of 34 women with COVID-19 and 33 COVID-19-negative women and their neonate were included in this study. Preoperative C-reactive protein was higher in case group (6.4 mg/L *vs* 1.8 mg/L, *p* < 0.001), but preoperative and postoperative day 1 and 3 hemoglobin levels did not differ between groups. Postoperative complications were similar in both groups. Control group had higher 1- and 5-minute APGAR scores than case group (*p* = 0.006 and *p* = 0.005) and showed better results of cord blood analyses in cord blood pH and base deficit (*p* = 0.001 and *p* = 0.002). Neonatal admission to intensive care unit did not differ between groups and there was no vertical transmission of COVID-19. **Conclusions**: Pregnant women with coronavirus disease 2019 at term who underwent urgent cesarean delivery showed comparable surgical and neonatal outcomes except higher preroperative C-reactive protein levels compared to COVID-19-negative women.

Keywords: COVID-19; cesarean delivery; pregnancy; neonate; SARS-CoV-2

1. Introduction

Since the first case of coronavirus disease (COVID-19) was identified in December, 2019, many investigations have been conducted to determine whether this new infectious disease is related to maternal and fetal complications in pregnant women [1-4]. Maternal adaptation to pregnancy involves diverse anatomical and physiological changes, and in particular, immunological tolerance may increase maternal vulnerability to infectious diseases [5,6]. Early case series on COVID-19 reported that the clinical characteristics of COVID-19 in pregnant women and nonpregnant population were similar [7–9]. However, several subsequent studies have raised concerns about the severity of pregnancy-related COVID-19 infection [10-13], and the World Health Organization's classification of pregnant women as a vulnerable group is largely based on these findings.

Although available data suggest that pregnancy is a risk factor for severe COVID-19, there is lack of evidence regarding the impact of COVID-19 on cesarean section's surgical aspects. COVID-19 alone is not an indication for cesarean section delivery (CD), and there is no consensus or rationale that supports performing CD for all parturients with COVID-19 [14,15].

In brief, there is a need to develop guidelines that can guide or support the mode of delivery if pregnant women at term have COVID-19 disease. We conducted a case-control study to provide evidence of COVID-19-related surgical outcomes in parturients with COVID-19 quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) positive report who underwent CD. This study aimed to identify whether COVID-19 affects maternal, surgical, and neonatal outcomes and vertical transmission in pregnant women with COVID-19 who underwent CD at term.

2. Methods

2.1 Study Design

We conducted a retrospective case-control study in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Gyeongsang National University Changwon Hospital, Changwon, Republic of Korea. The case group was defined as pregnant women who underwent CD and had a COVID-19 qPCR positive report within 7 days before delivery (either by nasal or pharyngeal swab) between February 1 and May 31. Parturients with COVID-19 who delivered vaginally were excluded. We compared clinical characteristics of COVID-19-positive parturients whose infection was identified within 7 days before delivery with a control group of



Copyright: © 2023 The Author(s). Published by IMR Press. This is an open access article under the CC BY 4.0 license.

Publisher's Note: IMR Press stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

parturients who underwent CD and had a COVID-19 qPCR negative report before admission. The control group was made up of parturients who underwent either an elective or emergency CD in the same period. Pair-matching with 1:1 ratio was conducted based on gestational age and parity. All the parturients of the control group had confirmation of COVID-19 qPCR negative report within 3 days before admission to the labor unit.

2.2 Cesarean Section and Its Indications

Cesarean section was performed by 1 of the 3 maternal-fetal medicine specialists (author: JY, HCC, JEP) with at least 5 years of experience as an obstetrician. To prevent inadvertent spread of COVID-19, and in accordance with national guidelines, a specially designed negativepressured stretcher car was used for the transportation of COVID-19 positive pregnant women through a designated passage. General anesthesia was administered to all parturients in the case group in the negative-pressured operating room, and the anesthesiologist equipped with D-level protection suit along with powered air-purifying respirator. In the control group, routine cesarean section care was applied and spinal anesthesia was performed when available. Both groups were subjected to critical pathway system, which included intravenous patient-controlled analgesia and single dose of antibiotics. Indications for CD other than COVID-19 reverse transcriptase-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) positive report at term included previous CD or uterine surgery, breech presentation, cephalon-pelvic disproportion, failure to progress, nonstress test evidence of fetal distress, and maternal request.

2.3 Data Collection

Patients' clinical data were collected retrospectively by searching the electronic medical records. Patients' age, height and weight, gestational age at delivery, parity, obstetric and other relevant medical history, indication for CD, length of hospital stay, weight of placenta, neonatal sex, and 1- and 5-min APGAR scores, C-reactive protein (CRP), admission battery, and chest radiograph were all part of preoperative work-up. On admission day, as well as postoperative days 1 and 3, all parturients had blood drawn for complete blood cell counts. The neonates' cord blood gas analyses were routinely performed. If the parturient had COVID-19 qPCR positive report, all neonates were tested for SARS-CoV-2 test with airway swab and cord blood within 24 h of birth.

2.4 Statistical Analysis

Continuous variables were presented as medians and interquartile ranges, while categorical variables were presented as count and percentage. Statistical analyses were performed using Student's *t*-test and Mann–Whitney U test for the continuous variables and chi-squared test and Fisher's exact test for the categorical variables. Statistical significance was considered when p value was <0.05. R software version 4.21 (R Project for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) were utilized for statistical analysis.

3. Results

3.1 Maternal Characteristics

The characteristics of the cohort are depicted in Table 1. The median maternal age was same in both the groups, and there was no difference between maternal body mass indices and gestational age at delivery. Each group consisted of approximately 40% of nulliparous women (44.1% in the case group and 36.4% in the control group). The case group had higher emergency setting, such as labor or premature rupture of membranes upon presentation, than the control group; this is because most parturients enrolled to the case group were referred because they tested positive for COVID-19 and had obstetric necessity for urgent delivery. Even though there were obstetric complications, some parturients were transferred for elective delivery because of the possibility of emergency development during the quarantine.

Since COVID-19 RT-qPCR positive report was considered an indication for CD in the study groups, most parturients did not have choice of labor trial and this affected the indications of CD in the case and control groups. There was no statistical significance between the two groups when the intrapartum indications and maternal-requested CD of the control group were compared to positive COVID-19 in the case group. Some parturients had comorbidities during pregnancy, and there were no differences between the groups.

3.2 Surgical Outcomes

The surgical and neonatal outcomes of CD according to maternal COVID-19 during peripartum period are summarized in Table 2. There was no difference in the length of hospital stay between the two groups; the median duration of hospital stays for CD was 5 days in both the groups. The laboratory evaluation showed no differences between the groups except in the preoperative level of CRP. Preoperative and postoperative day 1 and 3 hemoglobin levels did not differ based on COVID-19 status. The white blood cells count was slightly higher in the control group than in the case group; however, it was not statistically significant. CRP (mg/L), a serum marker of inflammation was higher in the case group than in the control group (6.4 mg/L vs 1.8 mg/L, p < 0.001) with 5.0 being the upper normal limit. Development of postoperative complications was similar in both the groups, including obstetric complication of postpartum hemorrhage. Fig. 1 shows box plot of log-scaled CRP of the case and control groups.

3.3 Neonatal Outcomes

In neonatal outcomes, the case group had lower 1- and 5-min APGAR score than the control group (7.3 vs 8.0 and

Variable	Case group $(n = 34)$	Control group $(n = 33)$	<i>p</i> value
Age, years	33 (31–37)	33 (31–37)	0.827
BMI	27.7 (24.3-30.1)	25.4 (24.1–28.1)	0.322
Gestational age at delivery, week	38.5 (38.3–39.2)	38.3 (38.1–38.6)	0.204
Nulliparity, n (%)	15 (44.1)	12 (36.4)	0.204
Presentation, n (%)			
Labor pain	19 (55.9)	3 (9.1)	< 0.001
PROM	6 (17.6)	2 (6.1)	0.259
Seizure	1 (2.9)	-	1
Term	7 (20.6)	28 (84.8)	< 0.001
Indications of CD			
Previous CD	6 (17.6)	10 (30.3)	0.262
Breech presentation	1 (2.9)	1 (3.0)	1
CPD	-	2	
FTP	-	7	
NST evidence of fetal distress	-	2	0.425^{\dagger}
MRCD	-	11	
COVID-19	26	-	
Anesthesia			
General	34 (100%)	2 (6.1%)	< 0.001
Spinal	0 (0%)	31 (93.9%)	
Comorbidities, n (%)	7 (20.5)	6 (18.2)	1
Gestational hypertension	4	1	0.356
Preeclampsia	1	-	1
Hyperthyroidism	1	2	0.614
Hypothyroidism	2	1	1
Gestational Thrombocytopenia	-	1	0.493
Anti-phospholipid syndrome	-	2	0.239
GDM	-	1	0.493

Table 1. Maternal characteristics of case and control group.

Values are presented as median (interquartile range) or number (%). BMI, body mass index; PROM, premature rupture of membranes; CD, cesarean delivery; CPD, cephalopelvic disproportion; FTP, failure to progress; NST, non-stress test; MRCD, maternal requested cesarean delivery; GDM, gestational diabetes.

[†]Indications of CD was compared with COVID-19 in case group and other intrapartum indications of CD in control group.

8.7 vs 9.2, respectively) with statistical significance (p = 0.006 and p = 0.005, respectively). Cord blood analysis revealed significant differences between the groups, with the control group having a higher cord blood pH (7.33 vs 7.30, p < 0.001) and base deficit (4.0 vs 3.5, p = 0.002). However, the difference in APGAR scores and cord blood analyses between the groups did not result in a different rate of neonatal intensive care unit admission. All neonates in the case group underwent COVID-19 RT-qPCR screening either by airway swab or blood sampling. There was no case of congenital neonatal COVID-19 in our study.

3.4 Subgroups Analysis of the Case Group According to COVID-19 Symptoms

In our study population, not all the case group patients had COVID-19 symptoms. Table 3 shows subgroups according to symptoms and analyses of the variables. Of the 33 patients, 9 had fever or respiratory and non-respiratory symptoms. Severe COVID-19 was defined as COVID-19 related pneumonia that leads to intensive care unit admission, use of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, or death, and there were 4 severe cases among the symptomatic groups. There was 1 severe case that presented with seizures, which was later confirmed to be caused by a hyperthyroidism storm. In our study, there were no cases of COVID-19-related maternal death.

Although white blood cell count was lower (7.08 vs 8.24, p = 0.125) and CRP level was higher in the symptomatic groups (12.0 vs 5.0), it was not statistically significant (p = 0.093). APGAR scores at 1 and 5 min as well as cord blood analyses were similar between the symptomatic and asymptomatic groups. Fig. 2 shows box plot of log-scaled CRP of subgroup analysis of the case group.

	L. L. L.		
Variable	Case group $(n = 34)$	Control group $(n = 33)$	p value
Hospital stay, days	5 (4-6)	5 (5-5)	1
Hb, preoperative (g/dL)	12.1 (11.6–12.8)	12.0 (11.1–12.5)	0.243
Hb, postoperative day 1 (g/dL)	10.9 (10.1–12.2)	11.0 (10.2–11.8)	0.951
Hb, postoperative day 3 (g/dL)	10.2 (9.4–11.2)	10.0 (9.4–10.6)	0.318
WBC, preoperative (×10 ³ / μ L)	7.54 (6.82–8.51)	7.90 (7.29–9.24)	0.217
CRP, preoperative (mg/L)	6.4 (3.3–13.4)	1.8 (1.2–3.8)	< 0.001
Postop complications, n (%)	3 (8.8)	6 (18.2)	0.305
PPH	1	3	0.356
Wound infection	-	2	0.239
Fever	-	1	0.493
Pleural effusion	1	-	1
Atelectasis	1	-	1
Neonatal gender, Female	20 (58.8)	17 (51.5)	0.722
1-minute APGAR score†	7.3 (7–8)	8.0 (7–9)	0.006
5-minute APGAR score†	8.7 (8–9)	9.2 (9–10)	0.005
Cord blood pH	7.30 (7.28–7.32)	7.33 (7.31–7.35)	< 0.001
Cord blood base deficit (mEg/L)	3.5 (1.3-4.1)	4.0 (3.2–5.6)	0.002
NICU admission, n (%)	3 (8.8)	3 (9.1)	1
Neonatal COVID-19, n (%)	0	N/A	N/A

 Table 2. Surgical and neonatal outcomes of cesarean delivery according to the maternal COVID-19 infection during peripartum period.

Values are presented as median (interquartile range) or number (%). Hb, Hemoglobin; WBC, white blood cell; CRP, C-reactive protein; PPH, postpartum hemorrhage; NICU, neonatal intensive care unit; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; N/A, not available.

†Values are presented as mean (interquartile range).

Table 3.	Subgroup	analysis of	f case group	according to	COVID-19 svn	ptoms.
		•/			•/	

Characteristics	Symptomatic (n = 9)	Asymptomatic $(n = 25)$	p value
	Fever, 5 (55.6)		
Symptoms	Respiratory, 5 (55.6)	33 (31–37)	0.827
	Non-respiratory, 5 (55.6)		
Severe COVID-19	4 (44.4)	0	
Pneumonia	3	-	
ICU admission	2	-	0.003
ECMO	0	-	
Death	0	-	
WBC, preoperative (×10 ³ / μ L)	7.08 (6.67–7.49)	8.24 (6.94-8.82)	0.125
CRP, preoperative (mg/L)	12.0 (6.5–15.7)	5.0 (2.9–10.1)	0.093
1-minute APGAR score	8 (7–8)	8 (7–8)	0.076
5-minute APGAR score	9 (9–9)	9 (9–9)	0.113
Cord blood pH	7.30 (7.22–7.33)	7.30 (7.28–7.32)	0.585
Cord blood base deficit (mEg/L)	3.7 (1.1–6.3)	3.5 (1.8-4.1)	0.815

Values are presented as median (interquartile range) or number (%). ICU, intensive care unit; ECMO, Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; WBC, white blood cell; CRP, C-reactive protein.

4. Discussion

The primary finding of this study was that COVID-19-positive parturients who underwent CD had higher levels of preoperative CRP than those without COVID-19, and their neonates had higher 1- and 5-min APGAR scores and better composition of cord blood. However, these findings did not result in maternal or neonatal mortality and morbidity. There were no differences in the length of hospital stay, postoperative hemoglobin levels, and postoperative complications between the case and control groups. No vertical transmission of COVID-19 was identified in this study.

Since its first outbreak, COVID-19 has been the greatest threat not only in the medical aspects of high mortality rate in vulnerable populations and prevention of worldwide spread but also to the social standards, which eventu-



Fig. 1. A boxplot of C-reactive protein between case and control group.



Fig. 2. A boxplot of C-reactive protein in log scale between symptomatic and asymptomatic patients in case group.

ally evoked contactless life. In South Korea alone, from January 20, 2020, there were over 25 million confirmed cases including reinfections, and COVID-19-related deaths have exceeded 28,000 as of October 10, 2022 [16]. In the early period of the pandemic, specifically between January, 2020 and February, 2021, the prevalence of COVID-19 in pregnant women was lower (0.02%) than in nonpregnant women in reproductive age (0.14%) [17,18]. Furthermore, the disease severity in pregnant women was not significantly higher than in the general population [17,19–21].

According to the labor and delivery guidance for COVID-19 published in 2020 [14], COVID-19 is not an indication for a CD, and the role of the obstetrician is ad-

vocated when choosing mode of delivery for COVID-19positive parturients. According to the Royal College of Obstetricians, there is no evidence to favor 1 mode of delivery over another in women with COVID-19 in relation to the risks of vertical transmission [15]. Knight *et al.* [22] reported a higher rate of CD in a United Kingdom national population-based cohort study in 2018, with a CD rate of 49–59% than of 29% in the control group. They presumed that in COVID-19-positive pregnant women, there would be a higher rate of fetal compromise, maternal deterioration during labor, and clinician's deliberation about the need for an expedited delivery as the reasons for decision favored for CD. In a recent national multicenter cohort study [18], the rate of CD was comparable (78.5%) to that of a systematic review (52.3–95.8%) conducted in 2021 [23].

In a randomized controlled trial conducted in 2019, there were no significant differences in the APGAR score and cord blood gas values between general anesthesia and combined spinal/epidural anesthesia [24]. However, a network meta-analysis by Kim et al. [25] which included 3689 women from 46 randomized trials concluded that spinal anesthesia had a better 1- and 5-min APGAR score than general anesthesia. They reported that epidural anesthesia had the highest rank for umbilical venous pH among 4 different anesthetic techniques. The reason why control group had better APGAR score and cord blood gas analyses should be interpreted in the context of differences in anesthesia between the case and control groups. Meanwhile, in our study, all COVID-19-positive patients received general anesthesia to protect the medical care giver while most of the control group patients received neuraxial anesthesia. The difference in APGAR scores and cord blood gas values between the groups should be attributed to anesthesia, rather than COVID-19 considering relatively milder presentation of COVID-19 in the case group.

Although leukocytosis was uncommon in the case group, the median white blood cell count was higher in the control group than in the case group, and CRP was significantly higher in the case group than in the control group. A large portion of patients in the case group did not have significant clinical symptoms upon admission, and even asymptomatic COVID-19-positive women had higher serum marker for inflammation [26] than COVID-19 pregnant women. Higher level of CRP in the case group shows the consistency and reliability of our study. Furthermore, the subgroup analysis demonstrated the relation between clinical symptoms and inflammatory response.

There were no cases of vertical transmission of COVID-19 during CD in our study. Recently Allotey *et al.* [27] reported that as high as 1.8% of COVID-19 pregnant women had RT-PCR positive newborns. They evaluated data from 472 studies, including 206 cohort studies and 266 case series on 28,952 mothers and 18,237 neonates. There were 592 neonates with COVID-19. There were 2 cases of intrapartum exposure identified among 18 cases assessed.

They concluded that vertical transmission of COVID-19 was possible, although this is likely to be rare. According to a recent national cohort study reported in 2022 [18], there was no evidence of intrapartum transmission of COVID-19. These findings suggest that the vertical transmission of COVID-19 is rare, especially when the infection has occurred late in pregnancy or within 7 days before delivery.

In this study, the interpretation of COVID-19indicated CD was important. Previous CD and the breech presentation did not differ between the groups. Assuming the same proportion of patients required CD by themselves, other intrapartum indications of CD, such as failure to progress and evidence of fetal distress showed no differences between groups. As previously stated, COVID-19 alone should not be considered an indication of CD, and the management of pregnant women in active labor or with premature rupture of membranes needs conventional management as COVID-19 negative parturient [14,15]. However, our findings showed that there were no significant differences in maternal and neonatal morbidity and mortality, so obstetrician should be aware of this when making decision on the mode of delivery of COVID-19 positive parturient. Despite the nonsubtle differences in APGAR score and cord blood gas composition because of anesthetic choice, and because the neonatal intensive care unit admission rate per group was not significantly different, the deliberate use of CD to control disease contamination and prevent inadvertent spread of disease during labor should also be considered.

This study had several limitations. First, we conducted a single-centered case-control study with 34 patients in the case group, resulting in a relatively small sample size. Second, there were limited variables in regards to disease severity and outcomes. For example, we were unable to evaluate the placental pathology report of COVID-19 positive and negative pregnancies at term. Only a small portion of patients in the case group had clinical symptoms of COVID-19. The inclusion of asymptomatic COVID-19 pregnant women might make interpretation and clinical application more difficult. Another limitation is that COVID-19 vaccination of pregnant women was not assessed in both groups. Finally, the cohort of this study consists mainly on outbreak of omicron variant. According to the findings of this study, the wild type, the alpha, the delta and other variant of SARS-CoV-2 may have different effects on pregnancy and CD.

To the best of our knowledge, this study was the first study focusing on COVID-19-positive pregnant women at term with regard to the obstetric and neonatal outcomes in the setting of urgent needs for delivery. Our study found that CD conducted on COVID-19-positive pregnant women showed comparable surgical and neonatal outcomes compared to COVID-19-negative controls. There is imperative necessity for the development of guidelines on how to manage parturient with COVID-19 considering the high disease prevalence. The current study may help support the evidence-based delivery on COVID-19-positive pregnant women.

5. Conclusions

Pregnant women with coronavirus disease 2019 at term who underwent urgent cesarean delivery showed comparable surgical and neonatal outcomes except for higher preoperative C-reactive protein levels compared to COVID-19-negative women. The results should be confirmed in a larger study.

Availability of Data and Materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: JY, HCJ. Data curation: JY, IK. Formal analysis: JY, IK, JCB. Investigation: JEP, JCB. Methodology: HCJ, JEP, JCB. Resources: JY, HCJ, JEP. Software: JY. Supervision: HCJ, JEP, JCB. Visualization: JY. Writing–original draft: JY, JEP, IK. Writing–review & editing: JY, JCB, HCJ. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. All authors have participated sufficiently in the work and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate

The Institutional Review Board of Gyeongsang National University Hospital approved this study with a waiver of informed consent due to the retrospective nature of the study (IRB No. GNUH-2022-05-009).

Acknowledgment

We would like to present our special thanks to all medical care givers of Gyeongsang National University Changwon Hospital who stood firm against the huge tide of COVID-19 throughout the pandemic.

Funding

This research received no external funding.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Chen L, Li Q, Zheng D, Jiang H, Wei Y, Zou L, *et al.* Clinical Characteristics of Pregnant Women with Covid-19 in Wuhan, China. The New England Journal of Medicine. 2020; 382: e100.
- [2] Li N, Han L, Peng M, Lv Y, Ouyang Y, Liu K, et al. Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes of Pregnant Women with Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Pneumonia: A Case-Control Study. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2020; 71: 2035–2041.

- [3] Yu N, Li W, Kang Q, Xiong Z, Wang S, Lin X, et al. Clinical features and obstetric and neonatal outcomes of pregnant patients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective, singlecentre, descriptive study. The Lancet. Infectious Diseases. 2020; 20: 559–564.
- [4] Breslin N, Baptiste C, Gyamfi-Bannerman C, Miller R, Martinez R, Bernstein K, *et al.* Coronavirus disease 2019 infection among asymptomatic and symptomatic pregnant women: two weeks of confirmed presentations to an affiliated pair of New York City hospitals. American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology MFM. 2020; 2: 100118.
- [5] Goodnight WH, Soper DE. Pneumonia in pregnancy. Critical Care Medicine. 2005; 33: S390–S397.
- [6] O'Day MP. Cardio-respiratory physiological adaptation of pregnancy. Seminars in Perinatology. 1997; 21: 268–275.
- [7] Henderson CE, Jackman JM, Rezai S. Screening all pregnant women admitted to labor and delivery for the virus responsible for coronavirus disease 2019. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology. 2020; 223: 470–471.
- [8] LaCourse SM, Kachikis A, Blain M, Simmons LE, Mays JA, Pattison AD, et al. Low Prevalence of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Among Pregnant and Postpartum Patients with Universal Screening in Seattle, Washington. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2021; 72: 869–872.
- [9] Trocado V, Silvestre-Machado J, Azevedo L, Miranda A, Nogueira-Silva C. Pregnancy and COVID-19: a systematic review of maternal, obstetric and neonatal outcomes. The Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine. 2022; 35: 2362–2374.
- [10] Ellington S, Strid P, Tong VT, Woodworth K, Galang RR, Zambrano LD, *et al.* Characteristics of Women of Reproductive Age with Laboratory-Confirmed SARS-CoV-2 Infection by Pregnancy Status - United States, January 22-June 7, 2020. MMWR. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report. 2020; 69: 769–775.
- [11] Lokken EM, Taylor GG, Huebner EM, Vanderhoeven J, Hendrickson S, Coler B, *et al.* Higher severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection rate in pregnant patients. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology. 2021; 225: 75.e1– 75.e16.
- [12] Villar J, Ariff S, Gunier RB, Thiruvengadam R, Rauch S, Kholin A, et al. Maternal and Neonatal Morbidity and Mortality Among Pregnant Women with and Without COVID-19 Infection: The INTERCOVID Multinational Cohort Study. JAMA Pediatrics. 2021; 175: 817–826.
- [13] Zambrano LD, Ellington S, Strid P, Galang RR, Oduyebo T, Tong VT, *et al.* Update: Characteristics of Symptomatic Women of Reproductive Age with Laboratory-Confirmed SARS-CoV-2 Infection by Pregnancy Status - United States, January 22-October 3, 2020. MMWR. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report. 2020; 69: 1641–1647.
- [14] Boelig RC, Manuck T, Oliver EA, Di Mascio D, Saccone G, Bellussi F, *et al.* Labor and delivery guidance for COVID-19. American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology MFM. 2020; 2: 100110.
- [15] Ross-Davie M, Brodrick A, Randall W, Kerrigan A, McSherry

M. 2. Labour and birth. Best Practice & Research. Clinical Obstetrics & Gynaecology. 2021; 73: 91–103.

- [16] Korea Disease Control and Prevention Agency. The disease burden among confirmed cases of pregnant women in South Korea. 2022. Available at: https: //www.kdca.go.kr/board/board.es?mid=a20501020000&bi d=0015&list_no=720929&cg_code=C01&act=view&nPage=2 (Accessed: 11 October 2022).
- [17] Chung Y, Choi DH, Ilagan JG, Lee J, Yoon YK. Maternal Outcomes and Clinical Characteristics of COVID-19 in Korean Pregnant Women during the Early Period of the Pandemic. Journal of Korean Medical Science. 2021; 36: e290.
- [18] Chung Y, Kim EJ, Kim HS, Park KH, Baek JH, Kim J, et al. Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes in Pregnant Women with Coronavirus Disease 2019 in Korea. Journal of Korean Medical Science. 2022; 37: e297.
- [19] Choi YY, Joo S. Outcomes of Late-Preterm and Term Infants Born to SARS-CoV-2-Positive Mothers. Journal of Korean Medical Science. 2022; 37: e147.
- [20] Ahn KH, Kim HI, Lee KS, Heo JS, Kim HY, Cho GJ, et al. COVID-19 and vaccination during pregnancy: a systematic analysis using Korea National Health Insurance claims data. Obstetrics & Gynecology Science. 2022; 65: 487–501.
- [21] Kim SH, Choi Y, Lee D, Lee H, Kim JH, Choi ES, et al. Impact of COVID-19 on pregnant women in South Korea: Focusing on prevalence, severity, and clinical outcomes. Journal of Infection and Public Health. 2022; 15: 270–276.
- [22] Knight M, Bunch K, Vousden N, Morris E, Simpson N, Gale C, et al. Characteristics and outcomes of pregnant women admitted to hospital with confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection in UK: national population based cohort study. British Medical Journal. 2020; 369: m2107.
- [23] Papapanou M, Papaioannou M, Petta A, Routsi E, Farmaki M, Vlahos N, *et al.* Maternal and Neonatal Characteristics and Outcomes of COVID-19 in Pregnancy: An Overview of Systematic Reviews. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2021; 18: 596.
- [24] Chen Y, Liu W, Gong X, Cheng Q. Comparison of Effects of General Anesthesia and Combined Spinal/Epidural Anesthesia for Cesarean Delivery on Umbilical Cord Blood Gas Values: A Double-Blind, Randomized, Controlled Study. Medical Science Monitor. 2019; 25: 5272–5279.
- [25] Kim WH, Hur M, Park SK, Yoo S, Lim T, Yoon HK, et al. Comparison between general, spinal, epidural, and combined spinalepidural anesthesia for cesarean delivery: a network metaanalysis. International Journal of Obstetric Anesthesia. 2019; 37: 5–15.
- [26] Pierrakos C, Vincent JL. Sepsis biomarkers: a review. Critical Care. 2010; 14: R15.
- [27] Allotey J, Chatterjee S, Kew T, Gaetano A, Stallings E, Fernández-García S, *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 positivity in offspring and timing of mother-to-child transmission: living systematic review and meta-analysis. British Medical Journal. 2022; 376: e067696.