Original Research

Benefits of Pelvic and Para-Aortic Lymphadenectomy after Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy for Advanced Epithelial Ovarian Cancer with Enlarged Lymph Nodes

Michiko Kubo-Kaneda¹[®], Eiji Kondo^{1,*}[®], Naosuke Enomoto¹, Kota Okamoto¹, Kuniaki Toriyabe¹, Masafumi Nii¹, Kenta Yoshida¹, Tomoaki Ikeda¹

¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Mie University Hospital, 514-8507 Tsu, Mie, Japan

*Correspondence: eijikon@med.mie-u.ac.jp (Eiji Kondo)

Academic Editor: Christos Iavazzo

Submitted: 13 December 2022 Revised: 17 February 2023 Accepted: 23 February 2023 Published: 13 April 2023

Abstract

Background: This study aimed to evaluate the effectiveness of pelvic and para-aortic lymphadenectomy in patients with advanced epithelial ovarian cancer following neoadjuvant chemotherapy. **Methods**: This single-center retrospective study analyzed patients with advanced epithelial ovarian cancer who underwent interval debulking surgery between December 2008 and March 2020. Patients' clinical and pathological data were obtained from medical records. Statistical analyses were performed using the Fisher's exact and Mann–Whitney U test. **Results**: Overall, 33 and 22 patients were included in the lymphadenectomy and no-lymphadenectomy groups, respectively. The lymphadenectomy group had longer operative time and higher rates of intraoperative blood loss and lymph cysts than the no-lymphadenectomy group (p < 0.0001). The median progression-free survival (PFS) was longer in the lymphadenectomy group than in the no-lymphadenectomy group (37.0 vs. 20.0 months, p = 0.038). Among patients with enlarged lymph nodes before chemotherapy, the median PFS was longer in the lymphadenectomy group than in the no-lymphadenectomy group (36.0 vs. 15.0 months, p = 0.013). Multivariate analysis of all patients revealed that residual tumor status (p = 0.002) and histology (p = 0.009) were associated with PFS. Additionally, multivariate analysis of patients with enlarged lymph nodes before chemotherapy showed that lymphadenectomy (p = 0.017) and histology (p = 0.021) were associated with PFS. **Conclusions**: Systematic lymphadenectomy was associated with complications; however, PFS was significantly prolonged in patients with enlarged lymph nodes in the lymphadenectomy group. Therefore, lymphadenectomy has the potential to improve prognosis in patients with ovarian cancer and enlarged lymph nodes.

Keywords: chemo-gynecology; gynecological surgery; gynecology; lymph node excision; ovarian neoplasms

1. Introduction

The number of patients with ovarian cancer has been increasing globally. In 2021, approximately 21,410 women were diagnosed with ovarian cancer, whereas 13,770 died of this disease [1]. The 5-year relative survival rate of ovarian cancer is 49.1%, making it the most common cause of death among gynecologic malignancies. Generally, the two procedures for managing advanced ovarian cancer are primary debulking surgery followed by chemotherapy and neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NACT) followed by interval debulking surgery (IDS). Debulking surgery is an important procedure in the treatment of advanced ovarian cancer, as maximal cytoreduction should be the objective of primary surgery [2]. Systematic pelvic and para-aortic lymphadenectomy, as part of primary debulking surgery, has been previously reported to significantly improve survival in patients with advanced ovarian cancer [3]. The Lymphadenectomy in Ovarian Neoplasms (LION) trial, a prospective randomized the Arbeitsgemeinschaft Gynäkologisch Onkologie (AGO) study led by the Gynecologic Cancer InterGroup, is a multicenter randomized controlled trial comparing systematic pelvic and paraaortic lymphadenectomy with no-lymphadenectomy in patients with advanced ovarian cancer and normal lymph nodes. This trial showed that surgery with systematic pelvic and para-aortic lymphadenectomy was not associated with more prolonged overall survival (OS) or progression-free survival (PFS) than surgery without lymphadenectomy [4]. Lymphadenectomy was recommended for patients with advanced ovarian cancer who had enlarged lymph nodes and had undergone complete surgery [5]. Considering the previously reported usefulness of systematic lymphadenectomy, we performed systematic pelvic and para-aortic lymphadenectomy in patients with advanced ovarian cancer who had undergone macroscopically complete resection, regardless of lymph node enlargement after NACT.

Whether lymphadenectomy should be performed before NACT for advanced epithelial ovarian cancer with enlarged lymph nodes remains to be clarified despite the reduction in the size of lymph nodes. Notably, the significance of systematic pelvic and para-aortic lymphadenectomy in patients with advanced ovarian cancer is poorly documented in Japan. Therefore, this study aimed to evaluate the effectiveness of pelvic and para-aortic lymphadenec-

Copyright: © 2023 The Author(s). Published by IMR Press. This is an open access article under the CC BY 4.0 license.

Publisher's Note: IMR Press stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

tomy in patients with advanced epithelial ovarian cancer following NACT.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Patients

Patients with advanced epithelial ovarian cancer (International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics [FIGO] stages IIB-IV) were included in this single-center retrospective study. The patients underwent IDS following NACT between January 2008 and March 2020 at the Mie University Hospital. The 2014 FIGO classification was used to classify the patients. Using the predictive index, patients with advanced ovarian cancer who were expected to be unfit to undergo complete resection were treated with NACT and IDS [6]. NACT involved six cycles of paclitaxel $(175 \text{ mg/m}^2 \text{ once every } 3 \text{ weeks})$ plus carboplatin (AUC6; once every 3 weeks). Bevacizumab (15 mg/kg) was administered at the physician's discretion. Computed tomography (CT) scans were performed every 3 and 6 months during and after the treatment for approximately 2 years, respectively. Serum cancer antigen (CA) 125 test was performed every month and 3 months during and after treatment for approximately 2 years, respectively. Furthermore, IDS was performed in patients who were evaluated to have had a complete or partial response using CT scans and serum CA125 levels (<100 U/mL). Patients who could not undergo surgery due to poor performance status (PS) or complications and those who did not respond to chemotherapy were excluded. Patients with clear cell carcinoma were not treated with NACT.

Additionally, patients who underwent IDS with systematic pelvic and para-aortic lymphadenectomy (lymphadenectomy [LNE] group) and those who underwent IDS without lymphadenectomy (no-lymphadenectomy [no-LNE] group) were identified. In the LNE group, pelvic and para-aortic lymphadenectomy was performed to the level of the left renal vein. Systematic lymphadenectomy was not performed in patients with poor PS or who had not undergone complete resection of residual tumor, and those who underwent suboptimal resection were excluded. All patients were administered with enoxaparin subcutaneously at 2000 IU every 12 hours, starting from 24 hours postoperatively until discharge.

2.2 Clinical and Pathological Data

Clinical and pathological data were obtained from patients' medical records. Clinical data included age, body mass index, surgical procedures, perioperative and postoperative complications, operative time, blood loss, postoperative stay, lymph node count, lymph node status, resection status, primary systematic chemotherapy, and prognostic information. Lymph nodes with a short diameter >10mm on CT before chemotherapy were defined as enlarged lymph nodes. Pathological data included histology, and the ovarian cancer stage was estimated based on the FIGO system [7]. The histology of ovarian tumors was based on the World Health Organization Committee's classification of tumors [8].

2.3 Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the Fisher's exact and Mann–Whitney U test. Survival was assessed using the Kaplan–Meier method and compared between the two groups using the log-rank test. The hazard ratio (HR) and associated 95% confidence interval (CI) were calculated using a stratified Cox proportional hazards model to identify PFS and OS, independent predictors. Factors inputted in the univariate and multivariate analyses included age, stage, histology, presence or absence of lymphadenectomy, residual tumor following IDS, and histology. Statistical significance was set at p < 0.05. All statistical analyses were conducted using the statistical package for the social sciences version 27.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

3. Results

Overall, the LNE and no-LNE groups comprised 33 and 22 patients, respectively. Clinical and pathological data are presented in Table 1. Fisher's exact test revealed no significant differences between the two groups analyzed.

Table 2 shows the surgical findings and outcomes; however, no significant differences were observed in surgical procedures between the two groups. In the LNE group, the median number of pelvic and para-aortic lymph nodes resected was 33 (range, 23–33), and 33 (range, 16–31), respectively. Systematic lymphadenectomy was performed appropriately. Complete resection was more likely to be performed in the LNE group than in the no-LNE group. The median operative time and amount of blood loss in both groups were significantly different (p < 0.05) when analyzed using the Mann–Whitney U test. The LNE group had higher blood transfusions and lymph cyst rates than the no-LNE group (p < 0.05).

The median OS was 76 and 65 months in the LNE and no-LNE groups, respectively (HR = 1.31, 95% CI = 0.621-2.789, log-rank p = 0.470). The median PFS was significantly longer in the LNE group than in the no-LNE group (37.0 vs. 20.0 months, HR = 1.91, 95% CI = 1.037–3.531, log-rank p = 0.038). The Kaplan–Meier curves for the OS and PFS of all patients are shown in Fig. 1A,B. In patients with enlarged lymph nodes before chemotherapy, the median OS was 79 and 28 months in the LNE and no-LNE groups, respectively (HR = 5.361, 95% CI = 0.864–33.270, log-rank p = 0.071). The median PFS was considerably longer in the LNE group than in the no-LNE group (36.0 vs. 15.0 months, HR = 5.272, 95% CI = 1.410–19.714, log-rank p = 0.013). The Kaplan–Meier curves for the OS and PFS of patients with enlarged lymph nodes before chemotherapy are shown in Fig. 2A,B.

Univariate analysis of all patients showed that residual tumor status (R0 vs. R1/2, HR = 2.379, 95% CI = 1.228–

	LNE group $(n = 33)$	No-LNE group ($n = 22$)	<i>p</i> -value
Age (years)	56.0 (48.0-65.0)	59.0 (53.5-69.0)	0.050
Body mass index	21.4 (17.2–23.9)	19.9 (17.8–22.5)	0.533
Histological type			
Serous carcinoma	25 (75.7)	20 (90.9)	0.284
Endometrioid carcinoma	2 (6.0)	1 (4.5)	
Mucinous carcinoma	2 (6.0)	0	
Low-grade serous carcinoma	3 (9.0)	0	
FIGO stage			
IIB	12 (6.0)	1 (4.5)	>0.999
IIIB	3 (9.0)	1 (4.5)	
IIIC	19 (57.5)	13 (59.1)	
IVA	1 (3.0)	1 (4.5)	
IVB	1 (3.0)	2 (9.0)	
Neoadjuvant chemotherapy cycles	6 (6–6)	6 (6–6.2)	0.682
Enlarged lymph nodes	12 (36.3)	6 (27.2)	0.565
Bevacizumab administration	12 (36.3)	9 (40.9)	0.476
PARP inhibitor administration	5 (15.1)	4 (18.1)	0.522
CA125 (U/mL)	9.2 (6.5–15.9)	13.0 (7.7–22.6)	0.783

Table 1. Clinical and pathological data.

Values are presented as median (range) or n (%).

CA125, cancer antigen 125; LNE, systematic lymphadenectomy; FIGO, International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics; PARP, poly(adenosine diphosphate)–ribose polymerase.



Fig. 1. Survival curves for the sensitivity analysis of all patients. Kaplan–Meier plots for the overall survival (A) and progression-free survival (B) of the LNE and no-LNE group. LNE, systematic lymphadenectomy.

4.610, p = 0.010) and histology (serous *vs.* others, HR = 1.903, 95% CI = 0.981–3.691, log-rank p = 0.057) were associated with PFS (Table 3). Moreover, multivariate analysis of all patients revealed that residual tumor status and histology were related to PFS. Univariate and multivariate analyses of patients with enlarged lymph nodes before chemotherapy showed that lymphadenectomy (yes *vs.* no, HR = 0.161, 95% CI = 0.036–0.721, log-rank p = 0.017) and histology (serous *vs.* others, HR = 4.751, 95% CI = 1.263–17.879, log-rank p = 0.021) were associated with PFS (Table 4).

4. Discussion

This study analyzed the effectiveness of pelvic and para-aortic lymphadenectomy in patients with advanced epithelial ovarian cancer following NACT, and results showed that systematic pelvic and para-aortic lymphadenectomy in patients with advanced epithelial ovarian cancer caused complications. In patients with enlarged lymph nodes before chemotherapy, systematic lymphadenectomy did not prolong OS; however, it significantly prolonged PFS. Multivariate analysis revealed that residual tumor status and histology were associated with PFS in



	LNE group $(n = 33)$	No-LNE group ($n = 22$)	<i>p</i> -value
Surgery			
Bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy	33 (100)	22 (100)	>0.999
Total hysterectomy	33 (100)	20 (90.9)	0.156
Omentectomy	33 (100)	21 (95.4)	0.400
Intestinal tract resection	6 (18.1)	1 (4.5)	0.222
Splenectomy	1 (3.0)	0	>0.999
Hepatectomy	1 (3.0)	0	>0.999
Other	1 (3.0)	0	>0.999
Median of pelvic lymph nodes	33 (23–33)		
Median of para-aortic lymph nodes	33 (16–31)		
Complete resection performed	28 (84.8)	11 (50.0)	0.007
Optimal resection performed	5 (15.1)	11 (50.0)	
Operating time (min)	370 (324–440)	242 (190–292)	< 0.001
Blood loss (mL)	763 (660–1204)	431 (292–532)	0.002
Blood transfusion	21 (63.6)	6 (27.2)	0.008
Fever with body temperature >38.0 °C	13 (39.3)	9 (40.9)	0.565
Infection treated with antibiotics	4 (12.1)	0	0.120
Bowel obstruction	5 (15.1)	1 (4.5)	0.218
Intensive care unit admission	4 (12.1)	3 (13.6)	0.589
Thrombosis	2 (6.0)	0	0.356
Peripheral sensory neurologic event	3 (9.0)	0	0.208
Peripheral motor neurologic event	2 (6.9)	0	0.356
Asymptomatic lymph cysts	25 (75.7)	0	< 0.001
Symptomatic lymph cysts	6 (18.1)	0	0.038

Table 2. Surgical findings and outcomes.

Values are presented as median (range) or n (%).

LNE, systematic lymphadenectomy.



Fig. 2. Survival curves for the sensitivity analysis of patients with enlarged lymph nodes before chemotherapy. Kaplan–Meier plots for the overall survival (A) and progression-free survival (B) of the LNE and no-LNE groups. LNE, systematic lymphadenectomy.

all patients and that systematic lymphadenectomy and histology were associated with PFS in patients with enlarged lymph nodes before chemotherapy.

Many studies have been conducted on systematic lymphadenectomy in advanced ovarian cancer [4,9,10]. For example, Du Bois *et al.* [10] reported that in advanced ovarian cancer, the systematic lymphadenectomy group had prolonged OS in patients with complete resection, even in the absence of preoperative or intraoperative lymph node enlargement. However, the usefulness of systematic lymphadenectomy was difficult to assess because of the bias to omit lymphadenectomy based on age, PS, stage, and other

	Univariate analysis			Multivariate analysis			
	HR	95% CI	<i>p</i> -value	HR	95% CI	<i>p</i> -value	
Age (<65 years, n = 38; >65 years, n = 17)	1.486	0.730-3.027	0.275	-	-	-	
Stage (II/III, $n = 39$; IV, $n = 16$)		1.101-3.946	0.024	-	-	-	
Lymphadenectomy (yes, $n = 33$; no, $n = 22$)		0.283-0.964	0.038	-	-	-	
Residual tumor status (R0, $n = 39$; R1/2, $n = 16$)		1.228-4.610	0.010	3.142	1.539–6.419	0.022	
Enlarged lymph nodes (yes, $n = 18$; no, $n = 37$)		0.645-2.263	0.555	-	-	-	
Histology (serous, $n = 40$; others, $n = 15$)		0.981-3.691	0.057	2.590	1.267-5.294	0.009	
Bevacizumab administration (yes, $n = 21$; no, $n = 34$)		0.516-1.773	0.087	-	-	-	
PARP inhibitor administration (yes, $n = 9$; no, $n = 46$)	0.523	0.247-1.110	0.091	-	-	-	

HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; PARP, poly(adenosine diphosphate)-ribose polymerase.

Table 4. U	U nivariate and	l multivariate anal	yses of progress	sion-free surviva	al in all	patients wit	h enlarged	lymph nodes.
							0	

	Univariate analysis			Multivariate analysis			
	HR	95% CI	<i>p</i> -value	HR	95% CI	<i>p</i> -value	
Age (<65 years, $n = 14$; >65 years, $n = 4$)	1.152	0.323-4.102	0.827	-	-	-	
Stage (II/III, $n = 10$; IV, $n = 8$)	1.362	0.490 - 3.782	0.554	-	-	-	
Lymphadenectomy (yes, $n = 12$; no, $n = 6$)	0.190	0.051 - 0.709	0.013	0.161	0.036-0.721	0.017	
Residual tumor status (R0, $n = 14$; R1/2, $n = 4$)	2.356	0.717-7.734	0.158	-	-	-	
Histology (serous, $n = 10$; others, $n = 8$)	4.303	1.256-13.741	0.020	4.751	1.263-17.879	0.021	
Bevacizumab administration (yes, n = 5; no, n = 13)	0.709	0.230-2.185	0.559	-	-	-	
PARP inhibitor administration (yes, $n = 2$; no, $n = 16$)	0.093	0.013-0.677	0.019	-	-	-	

HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; PARP, poly(adenosine diphosphate)-ribose polymerase.

patient factors. Therefore, in the LION trial, systematic lymphadenectomy did not prolong OS or PFS in patients with advanced ovarian cancer who had undergone complete macroscopic resection. Furthermore, it was associated with complications, such as longer operative time, greater blood loss, increased intensive care unit admissions, and higher rates of blood transfusions, infections, lymph cysts, and 60day mortality [4]. Similar to the LION trial, in our study, systematic lymphadenectomy did not prolong the OS and resulted in more perioperative complications, such as increased operative time, blood loss, blood transfusions, and lymphatic cysts in the lymphadenectomy group; however, it prolonged the PFS.

The LION trial was conducted on patients with advanced ovarian cancer without enlarged lymph nodes before chemotherapy. Conversely, several studies reported on systematic lymphadenectomy in patients with advanced ovarian cancer and enlarged lymph nodes before chemotherapy. In addition, Panici *et al.* [11] reported that in advanced ovarian cancer with enlarged lymph nodes, the risk of recurrence was significantly lower in the systematic lymphadenectomy group than in the group where only resection of bulky nodes was performed. Therefore, the resection of clinically suspicious or bulky nodes should be indicated in all patients with advanced ovarian cancer if complete resection is possible [12,13].

In contrast, no gross residual tumor has independently significant factors associated with the prognosis for advanced-stage ovarian cancer [14]. Recently, in phase 3 of the PAOLA-1 (PAOLA-1/ENGOT-ov25) trial [15], bevacizumab, a vascular endothelial growth factor A-targeting monoclonal antibody), poly(adenosine diphosphate)-ribose polymerase (PARP) inhibitors, and immune checkpoint inhibitors were administered to patients with ovarian cancer in combination with chemotherapy or as maintenance therapy. For patients in this trial who had undergone cytoreductive surgery without residual macroscopic tumor, PFS was significantly longer in the olaparib group than in the placebo group (HR for disease progression or death, 0.54; 95% CI = 0.42-0.71).

In our study, a good prognosis for PFS was associated with complete resection and histology of serous carcinoma in all patients, including systematic lymphadenectomy and histology of serous carcinoma in patients with enlarged lymph nodes. High-grade serous ovarian cancer has a high response rate to platinum-based chemotherapy; therefore, serous carcinoma was considered a good prognostic factor in patients with advanced ovarian cancer treated with preoperative chemotherapy [16,17]. In patients with advanced ovarian cancer with enlarged lymph nodes and who had undergone complete resection surgery, systematic lymphadenectomy was considered to be associated with a good prognosis.

Several studies have investigated systematic lymphadenectomy with IDS after NACT to manage advanced ovarian cancer [18–21]. For example, Fagotti *et al.* [18] reported that systematic pelvic lymphadenectomy and paraaortic lymphadenectomy during IDS had no value in improving PFS and OS; this procedure resulted in higher rates of complications, such as longer operative time, increased blood transfusions, and occurrence of lymphoceles. Furthermore, Song and Gao reported that the extent of lymphadenectomy (systematic or selective) had no significant impact on PFS or OS [19].

In this study, systematic lymphadenectomy prolonged PFS when IDS was performed after NACT in advanced ovarian cancer with enlarged lymph nodes before chemotherapy. In previous reports, the median number of NACT cycles varied from three to six courses. Because our report demonstrated the benefits of six NACT cycles for the high rate of complete resection or optimal IDS [22], our institution has been performing six preoperative and postoperative chemotherapy courses. Because of differences in treatment backgrounds, the studies' results and prognoses may differ.

Recently, the addition of hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy to IDS has been reported to improve PFS and OS, have no high rate of complications, and is expected to be a treatment option in the future [23].

This study has some limitations. First, this was a retrospective study conducted at a single institution. Therefore, to reduce selection bias, prospective randomized trials investigating systematic pelvic and para-aortic lymphadenectomy in patients with advanced ovarian cancer should be conducted. Second, data from cases with different treatment strategies for ovarian cancer were used in our study. In addition, this study lacks data on germline BRCA mutation and homologous recombination deficiency status (HRD). We included data from 2008 cases treated with bevacizumab and a few cases treated with PARP inhibitors. The usefulness of PARP inhibitors for patients with HRDpositive tumors and germline BRCA mutation has been reported [15,24]. Considering the results of this study, we would like to clarify germline BRCA mutation and HRD status and examine ovarian cancer cases treated with PARP inhibitors. The role of systematic lymphadenectomy should also be considered in the context of treatment strategies for ovarian cancer. However, prolonged PFS with appropriate systematic lymphadenectomy may prolong the platinumfree interval and contribute to treatment with PARP inhibitors.

Future Direction

We would conduct prospective randomized trials investigating systematic pelvic and para-aortic lymphadenectomy in patients with advanced ovarian cancer with enlarged lymph nodes and clarify the correlation of germline *BRCA* mutation and HRD status with clinical and survival outcomes in patients with advanced-stage ovarian cancer.

5. Conclusions

Patients who underwent lymphadenectomy had more perioperative complications than those who did not undergo this procedure. However, systematic lymphadenectomy significantly prolonged PFS, particularly in patients with enlarged lymph nodes. The significance of lymphadenectomy in advanced epithelial ovarian cancer with enlarged lymph nodes before NACT has not been elucidated; nonetheless, systematic lymphadenectomy after NACT has the potential to improve prognosis in especially patients with ovarian cancer who had undergone complete resection with enlarged lymph nodes.

Availability of Data and Materials

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author (EK) upon reasonable request.

Author Contributions

MKK and EK designed the research study. MKK performed the research. MKK, EK, NE, KO, KT, MN, KY and TI analyzed the data. MKK and EK wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to editorial changes in the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate

All participants provided informed consent for inclusion before participating in this study. This study was conducted following the Declaration of Helsinki, and the Clinical Research Ethics Review Committee of the Mie University Hospital approved the protocol (approval number: H2022-038). Informed consent was obtained in the form of an opt-out on the website.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank Editage (https://www.editage.com) for English language editing.

Funding

This research received no external funding.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- National Cancer Institute. Cancer Stat Facts: ovarian cancer. 2022. Available at: https://seer.cancer.gov/statfacts/html/ovary .html (Accessed: 4 October 2022).
- [2] Hacker NF. State of the art of surgery in advanced epithelial ovarian cancer. Annals of Oncology. 2013; 24: x27–x32.
- [3] Chang SJ, Bristow RE, Ryu HS. Prognostic significance of systematic lymphadenectomy as part of primary debulking surgery in patients with advanced ovarian cancer. Gynecologic Oncology. 2012; 126: 381–386.



- [4] Harter P, Sehouli J, Lorusso D, Reuss A, Vergote I, Marth C, et al. A Randomized Trial of Lymphadenectomy in Patients with Advanced Ovarian Neoplasms. The New England Journal of Medicine. 2019; 380: 822–832.
- [5] du Bois A, Reuss A, Pujade-Lauraine E, Harter P, Ray-Coquard I, Pfisterer J. Role of surgical outcome as prognostic factor in advanced epithelial ovarian cancer: a combined exploratory analysis of 3 prospectively randomized phase 3 multicenter trials: by the Arbeitsgemeinschaft Gynaekologische Onkologie Studiengruppe Ovarialkarzinom (AGO-OVAR) and the Groupe d'Investigateurs Nationaux Pour les Etudes des Cancers de l'Ovaire (GINECO). Cancer. 2009; 115: 1234–1244.
- [6] Fagotti A, Ferrandina G, Fanfani F, Ercoli A, Lorusso D, Rossi M, *et al.* A laparoscopy-based score to predict surgical outcome in patients with advanced ovarian carcinoma: a pilot study. Annals of Surgical Oncology. 2006; 13: 1156–1161.
- [7] Mutch DG, Prat J. 2014 FIGO staging for ovarian, fallopian tube and peritoneal cancer. Gynecologic Oncology. 2014; 133: 401– 404.
- [8] Karangi ML, Kurman RJ, Carangui ML, Herrington CS. WHO classification of tumours of female reproductive organs. In Kurman RJ, Carcangui ML, Herrington CS, *et al.* (eds.) WHO Classification of Tumours (pp. 121–167). 4th edn. Agency for Research on Cancer: Lyon. 2014.
- [9] Aletti GD, Dowdy S, Podratz KC, Cliby WA. Role of lymphadenectomy in the management of grossly apparent advanced stage epithelial ovarian cancer. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology. 2006; 195: 1862–1868.
- [10] Du Bois A, Reuss A, Harter P, Pujade-Lauraine E, Ray-Coquard I, Pfisterer J, *et al.* Potential role of lymphadenectomy in advanced ovarian cancer: a combined exploratory analysis of three prospectively randomized phase III multicenter trials. Journal of Clinical Oncology. 2010; 28: 1733–1739.
- [11] Panici PB, Maggioni A, Hacker N, Landoni F, Ackermann S, Campagnutta E, *et al.* Systematic aortic and pelvic lymphadenectomy versus resection of bulky nodes only in optimally debulked advanced ovarian cancer: a randomized clinical trial. Journal of the National Cancer Institute. 2005; 97: 560–566.
- [12] National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN): NCCN Guidelines: Ovarian Cancer. Version 5. 2022. Available at: https://www.nccn.org (Accessed: 16 September 2022).
- [13] Harter P, Heitz F, Ataseven B, Schneider S, Baert T, Prader S, et al. How to manage lymph nodes in ovarian cancer. Cancer. 2019; 125: 4573–4577.
- [14] Chang SJ, Hodeib M, Chang J, Bristow RE. Survival impact of complete cytoreduction to no gross residual disease for advanced-stage ovarian cancer: a meta-analysis. Gynecologic

Oncology. 2013; 130: 493-498.

- [15] Ray-Coquard I, Pautier P, Pignata S, Pérol D, González-Martín A, Berger R, *et al.* Olaparib plus Bevacizumab as First-Line Maintenance in Ovarian Cancer. The New England Journal of Medicine. 2019; 381: 2416–2428.
- [16] Shimada M, Kigawa J, Ohishi Y, Yasuda M, Suzuki M, Hiura M, et al. Clinicopathological characteristics of mucinous adenocarcinoma of the ovary. Gynecologic Oncology. 2009; 113: 331–334.
- [17] Sugiyama T, Kamura T, Kigawa J, Terakawa N, Kikuchi Y, Kita T, *et al.* Clinical characteristics of clear cell carcinoma of the ovary: a distinct histologic type with poor prognosis and resistance to platinum-based chemotherapy. Cancer. 2000; 88: 2584–2589.
- [18] Fagotti A, De Iaco P, Fanfani F, Vizzielli G, Perelli F, Pozzati F, *et al.* Systematic pelvic and aortic lymphadenectomy in advanced ovarian cancer patients at the time of interval debulking surgery: a double-institution case-control study. Annals of Surgical Oncology. 2012; 19: 3522–3527.
 [19] Song N, Gao Y. Therapeutic value of selective lymphadenec-
- [19] Song N, Gao Y. Therapeutic value of selective lymphadenectomy in interval debulking surgery for stage IIIc and IV epithelial ovarian cancer. International Journal of Gynecological Cancer. 2019; 29: 761–767.
- [20] Bund V, Lecointre L, Velten M, Ouldamer L, Bendifallah S, Koskas M, et al. Impact of Lymphadenectomy on Survival of Patients with Serous Advanced Ovarian Cancer After Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy: A French National Multicenter Study (FRANCOGYN). Journal of Clinical Medicine. 2020; 9: 2427.
- [21] Eoh KJ, Yoon JW, Lee I, Lee JY, Kim S, Kim SW, *et al.* The efficacy of systematic lymph node dissection in advanced epithelial ovarian cancer during interval debulking surgery performed after neoadjuvant chemotherapy. Journal of Surgical Oncology. 2017; 116: 329–336.
- [22] Kondo E, Nimura R, Maki S, Kaneda M, Nii M, Yoshida K, et al. Prognostic Benefit of ≥6 Cycles of Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy for Advanced Ovarian, Tubal, and Peritoneal Cancers. Anticancer Research. 2021; 41: 4157–4161.
- [23] van Driel WJ, Koole SN, Sikorska K, Schagen van Leeuwen JH, Schreuder HWR, Hermans RHM, *et al.* Hyperthermic Intraperitoneal Chemotherapy in Ovarian Cancer. The New England Journal of Medicine. 2018; 378: 230–240.
- [24] Ledermann J, Harter P, Gourley C, Friedlander M, Vergote I, Rustin G, *et al.* Olaparib maintenance therapy in patients with platinum-sensitive relapsed serous ovarian cancer: a preplanned retrospective analysis of outcomes by BRCA status in a randomised phase 2 trial. The Lancet. Oncology. 2014; 15: 852– 861.