

*Correction***Correction: Demir *et al.* Retrospective analysis of pregnancy terminations and indications in a tertiary center. Clinical and Experimental Obstetrics & Gynecology. 2021; 48: 85–90**

Sureyya Saridas Demir^{1,*}, Erkan Çağliyan¹, Sabahattin Altunyurt¹, Tufan Çankaya², Elçin Bora², Derya Erçal²

¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Division of Perinatology, Dokuz Eylul University School of Medicine, 35340 Izmir, Turkey

²Department of Medical Genetics, Dokuz Eylul University School of Medicine, 35340 Izmir, Turkey

*Correspondence: drsurreyyademir@hotmail.com (Sureyya Saridas Demir)

Academic Editor: Michael H. Dahan

Submitted: 28 December 2021 Revised: 5 January 2022 Accepted: 8 January 2022 Published: 9 March 2022

The authors wish to make the following corrections to this paper [1]:

(1) The authors would like to add the 3 scholars to the list of authorship. And the newly added authors is responsible for the collection data of the paper. The corrected author information is provide below:

Sureyya Saridas Demir^{1,*}, Erkan Çağliyan¹, Sabahattin Altunyurt¹, Tufan Çankaya², Elçin Bora², Derya Erçal²

¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Division of Perinatology, Dokuz Eylul University School of Medicine, 35340 Izmir, Turkey

²Department of Medical Genetics, Dokuz Eylul University School of Medicine, 35340 Izmir, Turkey

(2) The authors would like to clarify that Turner syndrome is equivalent to monosomy X (Turner syndrome = monosomy X), So the author made the following changes in the full text:

① Replace Table 2 with the table below.

② Replace Table 4 with the table below.

③ The original “Turner syndrome in 1.3%” in the first line in the right column of the 87 page is replaced by “Turner syndrome in 2.2 %”.

(3) The authors would like to re-clarify the author’s contribution, the corrected author’s contribution are provided below:

SSD, EC, SA designed the article. SSD, TC, EB, DE collected the data SSD analyzed the data and wrote the article. All authors contributed to editorial changes to the article. All authors have read and approved the final version of the article before publication.

References

- [1] Demir SS, Çağliyan E, Altunyurt S. Retrospective analysis of pregnancy terminations and indications in a tertiary center. *Clinical and Experimental Obstetrics & Gynecology*. 2021; 48: 85–90

Table 2. Distribution of indications of termination of pregnancy by age range.

Age Range	≤29	30–34	35–39	≥40	Total
Central nervous system anomalies	28	35	10	6	79
PPROM (< 23w)	21	11	6	4	42
Multiple fetal anomalies	8	11	4	4	27
Anhydramnios (without congenital anomalies)	7	10	3	1	21
Cardiovascular system anomalies	9	7	2	2	20
Genitourinary system anomalies	8	4	1	1	14
Musculoskeletal system anomalies	7	4	2	1	14
Cystic Hygroma	3	2	1		6
Hydrops Fetalis	2	2	1		5
Thoracic anomalies	1	1			2
Use of X group drugs (methotrexate etc.) during pregnancy	1	2	2		5
Maternal Advanced stage malignancies			1	1	2
Maternal Cardiovascular and Renal diseases		1			1
Maternal Congenital metabolic liver diseases		1			1
Maternal fetal infections	1				1
Trisomy 21	6	10	12	11	39
Trisomy 18	2	5	3	6	16
Triploidy	1	3	1		5
Turner syndrome	3	2	2		7
Trisomy 13		1	2		3
Triple X syndrome		1		1	2
Duchene muscular dystrophy	1				1
Fragile X syndrome		1			1
Di George syndrome	1				1
Partial trisomy 3		1			1
Partial 4p deletion		1			1
Partial trisomy 18	1				1
Total n (%)	111 (34.9 %)	116 (36.4%)	53 (16.6%)	38 (11.9%)	318

Note: PPROM, Preterm premature rupture of membrane.

Table 4. Distribution of chromosomal anomalies among all indications.

	Number of patients	Percentage
Chromosomal disorders		
Trisomy 21	39	12.3%
Trisomy 18	16	5%
Turner syndrome	7	2.2%
Triploidy	5	1.6%
Trisomy 13	3	0.9%
Triple X syndrome	2	0.6%
Duchenne muscular dystrophy	1	0.3%
Fragile X syndrome	1	0.3%
Di George syndrome	1	0.3%
Partial trisomy 3	1	0.3%
Partial 4p deletion	1	0.3%
Partial trisomy 18	1	0.3%
Total	78	